

**§ 93.424 [Amended]**

■ 5. Section 93.424 is amended as follows:

- a. In paragraph (a)(1), the word “or” is added immediately after the semicolon.
- b. In paragraph (a)(2), the word “; or” is removed and a period is added in its place.
- c. Paragraph (a)(3) is removed.

Done in Washington, DC, this 10th day of June 2003.

**Peter Fernandez,**

*Acting Administrator, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service.*

[FR Doc. 03-15113 Filed 6-13-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3410-34-P**

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

### 10 CFR Part 35

**RIN 3150-AH08**

#### Medical Use of Byproduct Material: Clarifying and Minor Amendments; Confirmation of Effective Date

**AGENCY:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Direct final rule; confirmation of effective date.

**SUMMARY:** The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is confirming the effective date of July 7, 2003, for the direct final rule that was published in the **Federal Register** of April 21, 2003, (68 FR 19321). This direct final rule amended the NRC's regulations to clarify certain sections of Part 35, correct the title for the National Institute of Standards and Technology, and eliminate a restriction regarding training for ophthalmic use of strontium-90.

**DATES:** The effective date of July 7, 2003 is confirmed for this direct final rule.

**ADDRESSES:** Documents related to this rulemaking, including comments received, may be examined at the NRC Public Document Room, Room O-1F23, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, MD. These same documents may also be viewed and downloaded electronically via the rulemaking Web site (<http://ruleforum.llnl.gov>). For information about the interactive rulemaking Web site, contact Ms. Carol Gallagher (301) 415-5905; e-mail [CAG@nrc.gov](mailto:CAG@nrc.gov).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Dr. Anthony N. Tse, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, telephone (301) 415-6233 (e-mail: [ant@nrc.gov](mailto:ant@nrc.gov)).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On April 21, 2003, (68 FR 19321), the NRC

published a direct final rule amending its regulations in 10 CFR part 35. This direct final rule clarified: the definitions of authorized users, authorized medical physicists, authorized nuclear pharmacists, and radiation safety officers; the notification requirements if the patient is in a medical emergency or dies; and the recordkeeping requirements for calibration of brachytherapy sources. In addition, the amendments corrected the title for the National Institute of Standards and Technology; clarified that prior to October 24, 2004, individuals who meet the training and experience requirements in Subpart J may undertake responsibilities specified in certain sections in Subparts B and D-H; and eliminated a restriction that training for ophthalmic use of strontium-90 can only be conducted in medical institutions. In the direct final rule, NRC stated that if no significant adverse comments were received, the direct final rule would become final on July 7, 2003. The NRC did not receive any comments that warranted withdrawal of the direct final rule. Therefore, this rule will become effective as scheduled.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 10th day of June, 2003.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Michael T. Lesar,**

*Chief, Rules and Directives Branch, Division of Administrative Services, Office of Administration.*

[FR Doc. 03-15122 Filed 6-13-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7590-01-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Aviation Administration

#### 14 CFR Part 71

**[Docket No. FAA-15311; Airspace Docket No. 03-ASO-6]**

#### Amendment of Class D, E4, E5 Airspace; Elizabeth City, NC

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This action amends the Class D, E4 and E5 airspace at Elizabeth City, NC. The name of the airport has changed from Elizabeth City CGAS/Municipal Airport to Elizabeth City CGAS/Regional Airport and the geographic position coordinated of the airport have changed.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** 0901 UTC, September 4, 2003.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Walter R. Cochran, Manager, Airspace

Branch, Air Traffic Division, Federal Aviation Administration, P.O. Box 20636, Atlanta, Georgia 30320; telephone (404) 305-5627.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### History

The name of the airport has changed from Elizabeth City CGAS/Municipal Airport to Elizabeth City CGAS/Regional Airport and the geographic position coordinated of the airport have changed. Therefore, the descriptions of the Class D, E4 and E5 airspace at Elizabeth City, NC, must be amended to reflect these changes. This rule will become effective on the date specified in the **EFFECTIVE DATE** section. Since this action has no impact on the users of the airspace in the vicinity of the Elizabeth City CGAS/Regional Airport, notice and public procedure under 5 U.S.C. 553(b) are unnecessary. Designations for Class D Airspace, Class E Airspace Areas Designated as an Extension to Class D or Class E Surface Area and Class E Airspace Areas Extending Upward from 700 feet or More Above the Surface of the Earth are published in paragraphs 5000, 6004 and 6005 respectively, of FAA Order 7400.9K, dated August 30, 2002, and effective September 16, 2002, which is incorporated by reference in 14 CFR 71.1. The Class D and E airspace designations listed in this document will be published subsequently in the Order.

##### The Rule

This amendment to part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 71) amends Class D, E4 and E5 airspace at Elizabeth City, NC.

The FAA has determined that this regulation only involves an established body of technical regulations for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current. It, therefore, (1) is not a “significant regulatory action” under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a “significant rule” under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979); and (3) does not warrant preparation of a regulatory evaluation as the anticipated impact is so minimal. Since this is a routine matter that will only affect air traffic procedures and air navigation, it is certified that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

##### List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 71

Airspace, Incorporation by reference, Navigation (air).