

change previous conclusions presented in the FES on occupational exposure.

The offsite exposure from releases during routine operations has been previously evaluated in section 5.9.1 of the FES. During the low-power license, the plant was restricted to no more than 5 percent of rated power and the generation of radioactivity at the plants was significantly smaller than would have occurred if the plants were at full-power operation. Therefore, the addition of approximately six to eight months of operation per plant that the licensee has requested does not change previous conclusions presented in the FES on annual public doses.

The proposed action will not significantly increase the probability or consequences of accidents, no changes are being made in the types of effluents that may be released off site, and there is no significant increase in occupational or public radiation exposure. Therefore, there are no significant radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

With regard to potential nonradiological impacts, the proposed action does not have a potential to affect any historic sites. It does not affect nonradiological plant effluents and has no other environmental impact. Therefore, there are no significant nonradiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Accordingly, the NRC concludes that there are no significant environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Environmental Impacts of the Alternatives to the Proposed Action

As an alternative to the proposed action, the staff considered denial of the proposed action (*i.e.*, the “no-action” alternative). Denial of the application would result in no change in current environmental impacts. The environmental impacts of the proposed action and the alternative action are similar.

Alternative Use of Resources

The action does not involve the use of any different resource than those previously considered in the FES [or more recently, the Environmental Impact Statement] for the PVNGS, Units 1, 2, and 3.

Agencies and Persons Consulted

On July 3, 2003, the staff consulted with the Arizona State official, Mr. William Wright, of the Arizona Radiation Regulatory Agency, regarding the environmental impact of the

proposed action. The State official had no comments.

Finding of No Significant Impact

On the basis of the environmental assessment, the NRC concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the NRC has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed action.

For further details with respect to the proposed action, see the licensee's letter dated August 28, 2002. Documents may be examined, and/or copied for a fee, at the NRC's Public Document Room (PDR), located at One White Flint North, Public File Area O1 F21, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland. Publicly available records will be accessible electronically from the Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) Public Electronic Reading Room on the Internet at the NRC Web site, <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. Persons who do not have access to ADAMS or who encounter problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS, should contact the NRC PDR Reference staff by telephone at 1-800-397-4209 or 301-415-4737, or by e-mail to pdr@nrc.gov.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 7th day of July 2003.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Stephen Dembek,

Chief, Section 2, Project Directorate IV, Division of Licensing Project Management, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 03-17579 Filed 7-10-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Draft Regulatory Guide; Issuance; Availability Correction

On June 6, 2003, the NRC published a Notice of Availability on Draft Regulatory Guide DG-1121, “Guidelines for Categorizing Structures, Systems, and Components in Nuclear Power Plants According to their Safety Significance,” that contained a number of errors. This Notice of Availability is being reprinted to correct these errors.

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) has issued for public comment a proposed guide in its Regulatory Guide Series. Regulatory guides are developed to describe and make available to the public such information as methods acceptable to the NRC staff for implementing specific parts of the NRC's regulations, techniques used by

the staff in evaluating specific problems or postulated accidents, and data needed by the staff in its review of applications for permits and licenses.

The draft guide is temporarily identified by its task number, DG-1121, which should be mentioned in all correspondence concerning this draft guide. Draft Regulatory Guide DG-1121, “Guidelines for Categorizing Structures, Systems, and Components in Nuclear Power Plants According to their Safety Significance,” is being developed to describe a process that is acceptable to the NRC staff for the development and assessment of evaluation models that may be used to comply with the NRC's regulations with respect to the categorization of structures, systems, and components that are considered in risk-informing special treatment requirements. This guide conforms to a proposed amendment to 10 CFR 50.69 that was published in the **Federal Register** (68 FR 26511) on May 16, 2003.

This draft guide has not received complete staff approval and does not represent an official NRC staff position.

Comments will be most helpful if received by August 1, 2003. You may submit comments by any one of the following methods. Please include the draft guide number (DG-1121) in the subject line of your comments. Comments on regulatory guides submitted in writing or in electronic form will be made available to the public in their entirety on the NRC rulemaking Web site. Personal information will not be removed from your comments.

Mail comments to: Rules and Directives Branch, Office of Administration, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001.

E-mail comments to: NRCREP@nrc.gov. You may also submit comments via the NRC's rulemaking Web site at <http://ruleforum.llnl.gov>. Address questions about our rulemaking Web site to Carol Gallagher (301) 415-5905; e-mail CAG@nrc.gov. Address questions about the content of the draft guide to Mr. David Diec, (301) 415-2834; e-mail dtd@nrc.gov.

Hand deliver comments to: Rules and Directives Branch, Office of Administration, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852, between 7:30 am and 4:15 p.m. on Federal workdays.

Fax comments to: Rules and Directives Branch, Office of Administration, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission at (301) 415-5144.

Publicly available documents related to this regulatory guide may be examined and copied for a fee at the

NRC's Public Document Room (PDR), Public File Area O1F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland. The regulatory guide and related documents, including comments, can be viewed and downloaded electronically via the NRC's rulemaking Web site at <http://ruleforum.llnl.gov>.

Also, publicly available documents created or received at the NRC are available electronically at the NRC's Electronic Reading Room at <http://www.nrc.gov/NRC/reading-rm/adams.html>. From this site, the public can gain entry into the NRC's Agencywide Document Access and Management System (ADAMS), which provides text and image files of NRC's public documents. If you do not have access to ADAMS or if there are problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS, contact the NRC Public Document Room (PDR) Reference staff at 1-800-397-4209, 301-415-4737 or by e-mail to PDR@nrc.gov.

Although a deadline is given for comments on this draft guide, comments and suggestions in connection with items for inclusion in guides currently being developed or improvements in all published guides are encouraged at any time. Requests for single copies of draft or final regulatory guides (which may be reproduced) or for placement on an automatic distribution list for single copies of future draft guides in specific divisions should be made in writing to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, Attention: Reproduction and Distribution Services Section, or by fax to (301) 415-2289; e-mail Distribution@nrc.gov. Telephone requests cannot be accommodated. Regulatory guides are not copyrighted, and NRC approval is not required to reproduce them.

(5 U.S.C. 552(a))

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 3rd day of July 2003.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Karen M. Fitch,

Deputy Director, Program Management, Policy Development and Analysis Staff, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research.

[FR Doc. 03-17582 Filed 7-10-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

POSTAL SERVICE BOARD OF GOVERNORS

Sunshine Act Meeting

Board Votes To Close July 21, 2003, Meeting

At its meeting on June 2, 2003, and by paper vote July 3-7, 2003, the Board of Governors of the United States Postal Service voted unanimously to close to public observation its meeting scheduled for July 21, 2003, in McLean, Virginia.

ITEMS TO BE CONSIDERED:

1. Strategic Planning.
2. Personnel Matters.

GENERAL COUNSEL CERTIFICATION: The General Counsel of the United States Postal Service has certified that the meeting was properly closed under the Government in the Sunshine Act.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Requests for information about the meeting should be addressed to the Secretary of the Board, William T. Johnstone, at (202) 268-4800.

William T. Johnstone,

Secretary

[FR Doc. 03-17775 Filed 7-9-03; 3:27 pm]

BILLING CODE 7710-12-M

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-48129; File No. SR-ISE-2003-16]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change by the International Securities Exchange, Inc., Relating to Fee Changes

July 3, 2003.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"),¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on June 4, 2003, the International Securities Exchange, Inc. ("ISE" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II and III below, which Items have been prepared by the ISE. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange is proposing changes to its Schedule of Fees in order to extend

the term of certain existing fee waivers, to remove language to a fee waiver that has expired, and to eliminate the fee for the Rule 11Ac1-6 Order Report.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below and is set forth in Sections A, B, and C below.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange is proposing changes to its Schedule of Fees in order to extend the term of certain existing fee waivers, to remove language referring to a certain fee waiver that is not being renewed and to eliminate the fee for the Rule 11Ac1-6 Order Report.

With respect to the fee waivers, the Exchange is proposing to extend the terms, as follows: (i) the waiver of customer Execution Fees is extended through June 30, 2004;³ (ii) the waiver of firm proprietary Execution Fees in the iShares S&P 100 Index Fund is extended through June 30, 2004;⁴ (iii) the waiver of the firm proprietary Surcharge in the iShares S&P 100 Index Fund is extended through June 30, 2004;⁵ (iv) the waiver of the Marketing Fee is extended until December 31, 2003;⁶ (v) the waiver of the Comparison Fee for customer trades is extended through June 30, 2004;⁷ (vi) the waiver of the Click@/Trade Review Terminal Software License & Maintenance Fee for a second and subsequent terminals is extended through June 30, 2004;⁸ and (vii) the waiver of the EAM/Trade Review Terminal Session/API Fee associated with a second and

³ Initial fee waiver made in Securities Exchange Act Release No. 42473 (February 29, 2000), 65 FR 11818 (March 6, 2000).

⁴ Initial fee waiver made in Securities Exchange Act Release No. 46698 (October 21, 2002), 67 FR 65818 (October 28, 2002).

⁵ *Id.*

⁶ Initial fee waiver made in Securities Exchange Act Release No. 46189 (July 11, 2002), 67 FR 47587 (July 17, 2002).

⁷ Initial fee waiver made in Securities Exchange Act Release No. 42473 (February 29, 2000), 65 FR 11818 (March 6, 2000).

⁸ Initial fee waiver made in Securities Exchange Act Release No. 45840 (April 29, 2002), 67 FR 30408 (May 6, 2002).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.