proposed action and concludes that the proposed license amendment and associated changes to the TSs are administrative in nature and have no effect on the physical aspect or operation of components or structures within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR part 20.

The proposed action will not significantly increase the probability or consequences of accidents, no changes are being made in the types or amounts of any effluents that may be released offsite, and there is no significant increase in occupational or public radiation exposure. Therefore, there are no significant radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

With regard to potential nonradiological impacts, the proposed action does not have a potential to affect any historic sites. It does not affect nonradiological plant effluents and has no other environmental impacts. Therefore, there are no significant nonradiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Accordingly, the NRC concludes that there are no significant environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Environmental Impacts of the Alternatives to the Proposed Action: As an alternative to the proposed action, the staff considered denial of the proposed action (*i.e.*, the "no-action" alternative). Denial of the application would result in no change in current environmental impacts. The environmental impacts of the proposed action and the alternative action are similar.

Alternative Use of Resources: The action does not involve the use of any different resource than those previously considered in the Final Environmental Statement for the Turkey Point Nuclear Plant, Units 3 and 4, dated July 1972.

Agencies and Persons Consulted: Based upon a letter dated March 8, 1991, from Ms. Mary E. Clark of the State of Florida, Department of Health and Rehabilitative Services, to Ms. Deborah A. Miller, Licensing Assistant, NRC, the State of Florida does not desire notification of issuance of license amendments.

#### Finding of No Significant Impact

On the basis of the environmental assessment, the NRC concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the NRC has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed action.

For further details with respect to the proposed action, see the licensee's letter dated December 20, 2002. Documents may be examined, and/or copied for a fee, at the NRC's Public Document Room (PDR), located at One White Flint North, Public File Area O1 F21, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland. Publicly available records will be accessible electronically from the Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) Public Electronic Reading Room on the Internet at the NRC Web site, http:// www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html. Persons who do not have access to ADAMS or who encounter problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS, should contact the NRC PDR Reference staff by telephone at 1-800-397-4209 or 301-415-4737, or by e-mail to pdr@nrc.gov.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 25th day of August 2003.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

### Eva A. Brown,

Project Manager, Section 2, Project Directorate II, Division of Licensing Project Management, Nuclear Regulation Reactor. [FR Doc. 03–22105 Filed 8–28–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

#### NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

#### NUREG/CR–6595, Revision 1, An Approach for Estimating the Frequencies of Various Containment Failure Modes and Bypass Events, Draft Report for Comment

**AGENCY:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Announcement of issuance for public comment, availability.

**SUMMARY:** The Nuclear Regulatory Commission has issued for public comment a document entitled: NUREG/ CR–6595 Revision 1, "An Approach for Estimating the Frequencies of Various Containment Failure Modes and Bypass Events, Draft Report for Comment".

**DATES:** Please submit comments by October 31, 2003. Comments received after this date will be considered if it is practical to do so, but the NRC staff is able to ensure consideration only for comments received on or before this date.

**ADDRESSES:** NUREG/CR–6595 Revision 1, "An Approach for Estimating the Frequencies of Various Containment Failure Modes and Bypass Events, Draft Report for Comment" is available for inspection and copying for a fee at the NRC Public Document Room, Public

File Area O1–F21, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland. Publically available documents created or received at the NRC after November 1, 1999, are available electronically at the NRC's Electronic Reading Room at http:// www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html. From this site, the public can gain entry into the NRC's Agencywide Document Access and Management System (ADAMS), which provides text and image files of NRC's public documents. The ADAMS Accession Number for NUREG/CR-6595, Revision 1 is ML032330225. If you do not have access to ADAMS or if there are problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS, contact the NRC Public Document Room (PDR) Reference staff at 1-800-397-4209, 301-415-4737 or by e-mail to pdr@nrc.gov.

A free single copy of NUREG/CR– 6595 Revision 1, "An Approach for Estimating the Frequencies of Various Containment Failure Modes and Bypass Events, Draft Report for Comment", to the extent of supply, may be requested by writing to Office of the Chief Information Officer, Reproduction and Distribution Services Section, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Printing and Graphics Branch, Washington, DC 20555–0001; facsimile: 301–415–2289; e-mail: DISTRIBUTION@nrc.gov.

Please submit comments to Chief, Rules and Directives Branch, Office of Administration, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555– 0001. You may also deliver comments to 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland, between 7:30 a.m. and 4:30 p.m. Federal workdays, or e-mail to: *NRCREP@nrc.gov.* 

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Daniel O'Neal, Division of Risk Analysis and Applications, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001. Telephone: 301–415– 4146, e-mail: *dmo@nrc.gov*.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: NUREG/ CR-6595 Revision 1, "An Approach for Estimating the Frequencies of Various Containment Failure Modes and Bypass Events, Draft Report for Comment' incorporates updated information and expands on the NUREG/CR-6595 report, published in January 1999. The updated full power analyses take into account recent direct containment heating studies and information gathered from the Individual Plant Examination Level 2 studies. In addition, a new chapter provides event trees which reflect containment insights for shutdown conditions.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 21st day of August 2003.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Scott F. Newberry,

Director, Division of Risk Analysis and Applications, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research.

[FR Doc. 03–22103 Filed 8–28–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

#### POSTAL SERVICE

#### Board of Governors; Sunshine Act Meeting

TIMES AND DATES: 11 a.m., Monday, September 8, 2003; and 8:30 a.m., Tuesday, September 9, 2003.

**PLACE:** Washington, DC, at U.S. Postal Service Headquarters, 475 L'Enfant Plaza, SW., in the Benjamin Franklin Room.

**STATUS:** September 8–11 a.m. (Closed); September 9–8:30 a.m. (Open).

## MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

#### Monday, September 8-11 a.m. (Closed)

1. Office of Inspector General Fiscal Year 2004 Budget.

2. Financial Update.

3. Fiscal Year 2004 Integrated

Financial Plan.

4. Strategic Planning.

5. Personnel Matters and Compensation Issues.

# Tuesday, September 9–8:30 a.m. (Open)

1. Minutes of Previous Meetings, August 4–5, August 7, and August 11,

2003. 2. Remarks of the Postmaster General

and CEO.

3. Postal Rate Commission Fiscal Year 2004 Budget. (Chairman David Fineman).

4. Fiscal Year 2004 Annual Performance Plan/Five-Year Strategic Plan—Government Performance and Results Act. (Chairman David Fineman).

5. Fiscal Year 2004 Operating Plan. (Mr. Dick Strasser).

6. Fiscal Year 2004 Capital Investment Plan. (Mr. Dick Strasser).

7. Fiscal Year 2004 Financing Plan. (Mr. Dick Strasser).

8. Preliminary Fiscal Year 2005

Appropriation Řequest. (Mr. Dick Strasser).

9. Capital Investment.

a. Advanced Facer Canceller System (AFCS) Improvements. (Mr. Tom Day).

10. Tentative Agenda for the October 2–3, 2003, meeting in Wilmington,

Delaware.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

William T. Johnstone, Secretary of the Board, U.S. Postal Service, 475 L'Enfant

Plaza, SW., Washington, DC 20260– 1000. Telephone (202) 268–4800.

#### William T. Johnstone,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 03–22340 Filed 8–27–03; 2:09 am] BILLING CODE 7710–12–M

# SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–48393; File No. SR–NYSE– 2002–64]

#### Self-Regulatory Organizations; The New York Stock Exchange, Inc.; Order Granting Approval of Proposed Rule Change and Amendment No. 1 Thereto To Amend the Interpretation of NYSE Rule 345A

August 22, 2003.

On December 16, 2002, the New York Stock Exchange, Inc. ("NYSE" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission"), pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act")<sup>1</sup> and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,<sup>2</sup> a proposed rule change to amend its interpretation of NYSE Rule 345A ("Continuing Education for Registered Persons"). On June 11, 2003, the Exchange amended the proposed rule change.

The proposed rule change, as amended, was published for comment in the **Federal Register** on July 17, 2003.<sup>3</sup> The Commission received no comments on the proposal.

The Commission finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to a national securities exchange<sup>4</sup> and, in particular, the requirements of Section 6 of the Act<sup>5</sup> and the rules and regulations thereunder. The Commission finds specifically that the proposed rule change is consistent with Section  $6(c)(3)(A)^{6}$  of the Act, which requires the Exchange to prescribe standards of training, experience and competence for persons associated with Exchange members and member organizations.

*It Is Therefore Ordered*, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act,<sup>7</sup> that the

<sup>4</sup> In approving this proposed rule change, the Commission has considered the proposed rule's impact on efficiency, competition, and capital formation. 15 U.S.C. 78c(f).

<sup>5</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f.

6 15 U.S.C. 78f(c)(3)(A)(i).

7 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).

proposed rule change (SR–NYSE–2002– 64) be, and it hereby is, approved. For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.<sup>8</sup>

52065

#### Margaret H. McFarland,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 03–22089 Filed 8–28–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 8010–01–P

# SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–48392; File No. SR–PCX– 2003–17]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Pacific Exchange, Inc.; Order Granting Approval of Proposed Rule Change and Amendment No. 1 Thereto by the Pacific Exchange, Inc. Relating to the Exchange's Rules Under the Minor Rule Plan

August 22, 2003.

On April 15, 2003, the Pacific Exchange, Inc. ("PCX" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission"), pursuant to section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act")<sup>1</sup> and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,<sup>2</sup> a proposed rule change to amend the Recommended Fine Schedule ("RFS") of the Exchange's Minor Rule Plan ("MRP") in order to increase the fines for Late Trade Reporting violations pursuant to PCX Rule 6.69(a). The Exchange amended the proposed rule change on June 6, 2003.

The proposed rule change, as amended, was published for comment in the **Federal Register** on July 17, 2003.<sup>3</sup> The Commission received no comments on the proposal.

The Commission finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to a national securities exchange <sup>4</sup> and, in particular, the requirements of Section 6 of the Act <sup>5</sup> and the rules and regulations thereunder, and finds specifically that the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b)(6) <sup>6</sup> of the Act. The Commission believes that the rule change should strengthen the ability of

- <sup>1</sup>15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).
- <sup>2</sup>17 CFR 240.19b-4.
- $^3$  See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 48158 (July 17, 2003), 68 FR 42449.

<sup>4</sup> In approving this proposed rule change, the Commission has considered the proposed rule's impact on efficiency, competition, and capital formation. 15 U.S.C. 78c(f).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 48159 (July 17, 2003), 68 FR 42447.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f.

<sup>615</sup> U.S.C. 78f(b)(6).