

- Wallowa County Chieftain,  
Enterprise, Oregon
- Willamette National Forest*
- Forest Supervisor decisions  
Middle Fork District Ranger decisions  
McKenzie River District Ranger  
decisions  
Sweet Home District Ranger decisions  
Register-Guard, Eugene, Oregon  
Detroit District Ranger decisions—  
Salem Statesman Journal, Salem,  
Oregon
- Washington National Forests**
- Colville National Forest*
- Forest Supervisor decisions  
Three Rivers District Ranger decisions  
Statesman-Examiner, Colville,  
Washington  
Republic District Ranger decisions—  
Republic News Miner, Republic,  
Washington  
Sullivan Lake District Ranger decisions  
Newport District Ranger decisions  
Newport Miner, Newport, Washington
- Gifford Pinchot National Forest*
- Forest Supervisor decisions  
Mount Adams District Ranger decisions  
Mount St. Helens National Volcanic  
Monument Manager decisions  
The Columbian, Vancouver,  
Washington  
Cowlitz Valley District Ranger  
decisions—The Chronicle, Chehalis,  
Washington
- Mt. Baker-Snoqualmie National Forest*
- Forest Supervisor decisions—Seattle  
Post Intelligencer, Seattle,  
Washington  
Mt. Baker District Ranger decisions—  
Skagit Valley Herald, Mt. Vernon,  
Washington  
Snoqualmie District Ranger decisions  
(north half of district)—Valley Record,  
North Bend, Washington  
Snoqualmie District Ranger decisions  
(south half of district)—Enumclaw  
Courier Herald, Enumclaw,  
Washington  
Darrington District Ranger decisions  
Skykomish District Ranger decisions  
Everett Herald, Everett, Washington
- Okanogan and Wenatchee National  
Forests*
- Forest Supervisor decisions—The  
Wenatchee World, Wenatchee,  
Washington  
Newspaper which may provide  
additional notice of Forest Supervisor  
decisions:  
The Yakima Herald-Republic,  
Yakima, Washington  
Methow Valley District Ranger  
decisions—Methow Valley News,  
Twisp, Washington
- Tonasket District Ranger decisions—  
Wenatchee World, Wenatchee,  
Washington  
Newspaper which may provide  
additional notice of District Ranger  
decisions:  
Okanogan Valley Gazette-Tribune,  
Oroville, Washington  
Cle Elum District Ranger decisions—  
Ellensburg Daily Record, Ellensburg,  
Washington  
Newspaper which may provide  
additional notice of District Ranger  
decisions:  
The Yakima Herald-Republic,  
Yakima, Washington  
Chelan District Ranger decisions  
Entiat District Ranger decisions  
Lake Wenatchee and Leavenworth  
District Ranger decisions  
The Wenatchee World, Wenatchee,  
Washington  
Naches District Ranger decisions  
The Wenatchee World, Wenatchee,  
Washington  
Newspaper which may provide  
additional notice of District Ranger  
decisions:  
The Yakima Herald-Republic,  
Yakima, Washington
- Olympic National Forest*
- Forest Supervisor decisions:  
The Olympian, Olympia, Washington  
Newspapers which may provide  
additional notice of Forest Supervisor  
decisions:  
Mason County Journal, Shelton,  
Washington  
Peninsula Daily News, Port Angeles,  
Washington  
The Daily World, Aberdeen,  
Washington  
The Forks Forum, Forks, Washington,  
Hood Canal District Ranger decisions—  
Peninsula Daily News, Port Angeles,  
Washington  
Newspaper which may provide  
additional notice of District Ranger  
decisions:  
Mason County Journal, Shelton,  
Washington  
Pacific District Ranger decisions (south  
portion of district):  
The Daily World, Aberdeen,  
Washington  
Newspapers which may provide  
additional notice of District Ranger  
decisions:  
Peninsula Daily News, Port Angeles,  
Washington  
The Forks Forum, Forks, Washington  
Pacific District Ranger decisions (north  
portion of district)—Peninsula Daily  
News, Port Angeles, Washington  
Newspapers which may provide  
additional notice of District Ranger  
decisions:  
The Forks Forum, Forks, Washington
- The Daily World, Aberdeen,  
Washington  
Dated: October 3, 2003.  
**Jim Golden,**  
*Deputy Regional Forester.*  
[FR Doc. 03–25977 Filed 10–14–03; 8:45 am]  
**BILLING CODE 3410–11–M**
- 
- DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**
- Submission for OMB Review;  
Comment Request**
- DOC has submitted to the Office of  
Management and Budget (OMB) for  
clearance the following proposal for  
collection of information under the  
provisions of the Paperwork Reduction  
Act (44 U.S.C. chapter 35).  
**AGENCY:** U.S. Census Bureau.  
*Title:* 2004 Panel of the Survey of  
Income and Program Participation  
(SIPP), Core Instrument and Wave I  
Topical Modules.  
*Form Number(s):* SIPP 24105(L)  
Director's Letter; SIPP/CAPI Automated  
Instrument; SIPP 21003 Reminder Card.  
*Agency Approval Number:* None.  
*Type of Request:* New collection.  
*Burden:* 98,685 hours.  
*Number of Respondents:* 97,650.  
*Avg Hours Per Response:* 30 minutes.  
*Needs and Uses:* The U.S. Census  
Bureau plans to conduct the 2004 Panel  
of the Survey of Income and Program  
Participation (SIPP). This clearance  
request is to accommodate the core  
instrument for the life of the 2004 Panel,  
the topical modules for the Wave 1  
interviews, and the reinterview  
instrument, which will be used during  
the life of the 2004 Panel. The  
reinterview instrument will be used for  
quality control analysis of data collected  
by the SIPP field representatives.  
The SIPP is designed as a continuing  
series of national panels of interviewed  
households that are introduced every  
few years, with each panel having  
durations of 3 to 4 years. The 2004  
Panel is scheduled for four years and  
will include twelve waves which will  
begin February 1, 2004. All household  
members 15 years old or over are  
interviewed a total of twelve times  
(twelve waves), at 4-month intervals,  
making the SIPP a longitudinal survey.  
The survey is molded around a  
central "core" of labor force and income  
questions that remain fixed throughout  
the life of a panel. The core is  
supplemented with questions designed  
to answer specific needs. These  
supplemental questions are included  
with the core and are referred to as  
"topical modules." The topical modules  
for the 2004 Panel Wave 1 are

Reciprocity History and Employment History. These topical modules were previously conducted in the SIPP 2001 Panel Wave 1 instrument. The 2004 Panel Wave 1 interviews will be conducted beginning February 1, 2004 and concluding on May 31, 2004.

Data provided by the SIPP are being used by economic policymakers, the Congress, state and local governments, and Federal agencies that administer social welfare or transfer payment programs, such as the Department of Health and Human Services and the Department of Agriculture. The SIPP represents a source of information for a wide variety of topics and allows information for separate topics to be integrated to form a single and unified database so that the interaction between tax, transfer, and other government and private policies can be examined. Government domestic policy formulators depend heavily upon the SIPP information concerning the distribution of income received directly as money or indirectly as in-kind benefits and the effect of tax and transfer programs on this distribution. They also need improved and expanded data on the income and general economic and financial situation of the U.S. population. The SIPP has provided these kinds of data on a continuing basis since 1983, permitting levels of economic well-being and changes in these levels to be measured over time. Monetary incentives to encourage non-respondents to participate is planned for all waves of the 2004 SIPP Panel.

*Affected Public:* Individuals or households.

*Frequency:* Every 4 months.

*Respondent's Obligation:* Voluntary.

*Legal Authority:* Title 13 U.S.C., Section 182.

*OMB Desk Officer:* Susan Schechter, (202) 395-5103.

Copies of the above information collection proposal can be obtained by calling or writing Diana Hynek, Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer, (202) 482-0266, Department of Commerce, room 6625, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230 (or via the Internet at [dhynek@doc.gov](mailto:dhynek@doc.gov)).

Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to Susan Schechter, OMB Desk Officer, either by fax (202-395-7245) or e-mail ([susan\\_schechter@omb.eop.gov](mailto:susan_schechter@omb.eop.gov)).

Dated: October 8, 2003.

**Madeleine Clayton,**

*Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.*

[FR Doc. 03-26018 Filed 10-14-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-07-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

DOC has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. chapter 35).

**AGENCY:** Census Bureau.

*Title:* Current Population Survey, Annual Social and Economic Supplement—2004.

*Form Number(s):* CPS-580, CPS-580(L)SP, CPS-676, CPS-676(SP).

*Agency Approval Number:* 0607-0354.

*Type of Request:* Revision of a currently approved collection.

*Burden:* 32,500 hours.

*Number of Respondents:* 78,000.

*Avg Hours Per Response:* 25 minutes.

*Needs and Uses:* The purpose of this request for review is to obtain clearance for the Annual Social and Economic Supplement (ASEC), formerly known as the Annual Demographic Survey (ADS), which we will conduct in conjunction with the February, March, and April 2004 Current Population Survey (CPS). Congressional passage of the State Children's Health Insurance Program, or Title XXI, led to a mandate from Congress, in 1999, that the sample size for the CPS, and specifically the Annual Social and Economic Supplement (ASEC), be increased to a level whereby more reliable estimates can be derived for the number of individuals participating in this program at the state level. By administrating the ASEC in February, March, and April, rather than only in March as in the past, we have been able to achieve this goal. The Census Bureau, the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS), and the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) sponsor this supplement.

The ASEC can be divided into five logical series of questions as follows: Work Experience; Personal Income and Noncash Benefits; Household Noncash Benefits; Welfare Reform Items; and Migration.

ASEC data are used by social planners, economists, Government officials, and market researchers to gauge the social and economic well-being of the Nation as a whole, and selected population groups of interest.

*Affected Public:* Individuals or households.

*Frequency:* Annually.

*Respondent's Obligation:* Voluntary.

*Legal Authority:* Title 13 U.S.C., Section 182 and Title 29 U.S.C., Sections 1-9.

*OMB Desk Officer:* Susan Schechter, (202) 395-5103.

Copies of the above information collection proposal can be obtained by calling or writing Diana Hynek, Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer, (202) 482-0266, Department of Commerce, room 6625, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230 (or via the Internet at [dhynek@doc.gov](mailto:dhynek@doc.gov)).

Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to Susan Schechter, OMB Desk Officer either by fax (202-395-7245) or email ([susan\\_schechter@omb.eop.gov](mailto:susan_schechter@omb.eop.gov)).

Dated: October 8, 2003.

**Madeleine Clayton,**

*Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.*

[FR Doc. 03-26016 Filed 10-14-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-07-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

DOC has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. chapter 35).

**AGENCY:** U.S. Census Bureau.

*Title:* 2004 Census Test.

*Form Number(s):* DB-1: questionnaire; DB-5, DB-6A, DB-6B, DB-6C, DB-8: envelopes; DB-31(P): privacy notice; DB-16(L), DB-16(L)(UL), DB-17(L): cover letters; DB-5(L): advance letter; DB-9: reminder post card; DB-1(F): respondent flashcard booklet.

*Agency Approval Number:* None.

*Type of Request:* New collection.

*Burden:* 33,530 hours.

*Number of Respondents:* 200,000.

*Avg Hours Per Response:* 10 minutes.

*Needs and Uses:* The U.S. Census Bureau requests authorization from the Office of Management and Budget to conduct the 2004 Census Test. The goal of the 2004 Census Test is to obtain information needed to inform decisions about adopting, refining, or rejecting potential new methods, content, and wording for the 2010 Census. The 2004 Census Test will evaluate the effectiveness of: