

2. Discussion of NRC regulations and any applicable federal and state laws pertaining to whistleblower protection, including a discussion of protected activities and adverse actions stated in 10 CFR 50.7.

3. Expectations for management to promote a SCWE

4. Expectations for employees to report concerns, especially safety concerns.

5. Other applicable procedures and processes related to implementing and maintaining a SCWE.

6. Additional training for managers and supervisors describing their specific responsibilities and obligations.

The plan for ensuring a SCWE shall be forwarded to the NRC within sixty (60) days after the date of this Order and implementation shall begin no later than ninety (90) days after the date of this Order.

3. Within forty-five (45) days after the date of this Order the licensee will modify MURR's access authorization procedures to better ensure transparency and clarity in its processes. The licensee shall maintain a process for granting access to the facility with two key components. The first component shall focus on the need for access. The second component shall involve routine background checks consistent with industry practices and other requirements contained in NRC regulations. The procedure modifications will, at a minimum, provide for the following:

(a) A requirement that personnel requesting sponsorship discuss the following issues, at a minimum:

—*Basis*: A detailed description of the basis for requesting access (escorted or unescorted) to the facility.

—*Funding*: Source of funding for the subject project; whether funding has already been obtained or when it is anticipated.

—*Resources*: Necessary project resources (e.g., personnel, equipment, reactor time).

—*Benefits to MURR*: Is the subject project a collaborative project which will lead to credit for participation; does the subject project involve collaboration with MURR staff?

—*Strategic*: Is the subject project consistent with MURR's published strategic plan and research priorities?

(b) Any sponsor denying a written request for sponsorship by an applicant shall provide the Director of MURR in writing with the basis for such denial within fifteen (15) days of receipt of such a request.

(c) Access requests shall be addressed by MURR within sixty (60) days and a

decision by the Director of MURR provided to the requestor in writing within that time. Requestors being denied access shall be informed in writing of the appeal provisions of (e).

(d) The Provost shall be informed of any denial of access by the Director of MURR.

(e) The Ombudsman Panel shall review any decision by the Director of MURR under (c) upon an appeal request in writing by the applicant within fifteen (15) days of receipt of such a denial. The Ombudsman Panel shall provide a report of recommendations regarding the denial to the Office of the Provost for its reconsideration of the Director's decision within forty-five (45) days of receipt of an appeal request.

4. The licensee shall post this Confirmatory Order at the MURR facility and inform MURR employees of its content.

5. The President of the University of Missouri shall within thirty (30) days of the date of this Order issue a letter to all individuals with access to the MURR facility which affirms the licensee's commitment to an SCWE and which provides a summary of the licensee's policy to promote an SCWE.

The Director, Office of Enforcement may relax or rescind, in writing, any of the above conditions upon a showing by the licensee of good cause.

VI

Any person adversely affected by this Confirmatory Order, other than the licensee, may request a hearing within 20 days of its issuance. Where good cause is shown, consideration will be given to extending the time to request a hearing. A request for extension of time must be made in writing to the Director, Office of Enforcement, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, and must include a statement of good cause for the extension. Any request for a hearing shall be submitted to the Secretary, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, ATTN: Chief, Rulemaking and Adjudications Staff, Washington, DC 20555. Copies of the hearing request shall also be sent to the Director, Office of Enforcement, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington DC 20555, to the Assistant General Counsel for Materials Litigation and Enforcement, to the Director of the Division of Regulatory Improvement Programs at the same address, and to MU. Because of continuing disruptions in delivery of mail to United States Government offices, it is requested that answers and requests for hearing be transmitted to the Secretary of the Commission either by means of facsimile transmission to 301-415-1101

or by e-mail to hearingdocket@nrc.gov and also to the Office of the General Counsel by means of facsimile transmission to 301-415-3725 or e-mail to OGCMailCenter@nrc.gov. If such a person requests a hearing, that person shall set forth with particularity the manner in which his interest is adversely affected by this Order and shall address the criteria set forth in 10 CFR 2.714(d).

If a hearing is requested by a person whose interest is adversely affected, the Commission will issue an Order designating the time and place of any hearing. If a hearing is held, the issue to be considered at such hearing shall be whether this Confirmatory Order shall be sustained. An answer or a request for a hearing shall not stay the effectiveness date of this Order.

Dated this 19th Day of December, 2003.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Frank Congel,

Director, Office of Enforcement.

[FR Doc. 03-31954 Filed 12-29-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[IA-03-042]

In the Matter of Scott P. Wolfe; Order Prohibiting Involvement in Certain NRC-Licensed Activities (Effective Immediately)

I

Scott P. Wolfe held Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) senior operator's license SOP-43723-1. The license authorized Mr. Wolfe to operate the Waterford-3 Steam Electric Station in accordance with the conditions of the license and 10 CFR part 55. The Waterford-3 Steam Electric Station is a nuclear power plant in Killona, Louisiana, and is operated by Entergy Operations, Inc., under the provisions of NRC operating license NPF-38.

II

On May 7, 1990, Mr. Wolfe tested positive for an illegal substance in response to a random fitness-for-duty test. The test results were confirmed positive on May 14, 1990. On June 1, 1990, Mr. Wolfe signed a "re-entry agreement" in which he agreed to participate in Entergy Operation, Inc.'s employee assistance program, agreed to abstain from the use of illegal drugs, agreed to periodic unannounced drug and alcohol testing, and confirmed his understanding that a second positive test for drugs or alcohol may result in his employment being terminated.

On July 17, 2003, Mr. Wolfe again tested positive for an illegal substance in response to a random fitness-for-duty test. The test results were confirmed positive on July 21, 2003. On July 25, 2003, Mr. Wolfe's employment with Entergy Operations, Inc., was terminated. On August 21, 2003, Entergy Operations, Inc., requested that Mr. Wolfe's NRC operator's license be terminated. On August 26, 2003, the NRC terminated Mr. Wolfe's senior operator's license.

III

The NRC holds licensed reactor operators to high performance standards and entrusts them with assuring the public health and safety in the operation of nuclear power plants. Licensed reactor operators are expected to comply with all NRC requirements, including the fitness-for-duty requirements of the NRC (10 CFR part 26) and the facility at which they are employed. Mr. Wolfe's actions have violated the NRC's and the public's trust and demonstrated that he can not be relied upon to comply with fitness-for-duty requirements.

Consequently, I lack the requisite reasonable assurance that licensed activities can be conducted in compliance with the Commission's requirements and that the health and safety of the public will be protected if Mr. Wolfe were permitted at this time to hold an NRC operator's license pursuant to 10 CFR part 55. Therefore, the public health, safety and interest require that Mr. Wolfe be prohibited from applying for or holding an NRC operator's license for a period of three years from the date of this Order. Furthermore, pursuant to 10 CFR 2.202, I find that the significance of Mr. Wolfe's conduct described above is such that the public health, safety and interest require that this Order be immediately effective.

IV

Accordingly, pursuant to sections 107, 161b, 161i, 161o, 182 and 186 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, and the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 2.202, 10 CFR part 55, and 10 CFR part 26, *it is hereby ordered, effective immediately, that:* Scott P. Wolfe is prohibited for three years from the date of this Order from applying for or holding an NRC license to operate a nuclear power plant pursuant to 10 CFR part 55.

The Director, OE, may, in writing, relax or rescind any of the above conditions upon demonstration by Mr. Wolfe of good cause.

V

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.202, Scott P. Wolfe must, and any other person adversely affected by this Order may, submit an answer to this Order, and may request a hearing on this Order, within 20 days of the date of this Order. Where good cause is shown, consideration will be given to extending the time to request a hearing. A request for extension of time must be made in writing to the Director, Office of Enforcement, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, and include a statement of good cause for the extension. The answer may consent to this Order. Unless the answer consents to this Order, the answer shall, in writing and under oath or affirmation, specifically admit or deny each allegation or charge made in this Order and shall set forth the matters of fact and law on which Mr. Wolfe or other person adversely affected relies and the reasons as to why the Order should not have been issued.

Any answer or request for a hearing shall be submitted to the Secretary, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Attn: Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff, Washington, DC 20555. Copies also shall be sent to the Director, Office of Enforcement, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, to the Assistant General Counsel for Materials Litigation and Enforcement at the same address, to the Regional Administrator, NRC Region IV, 611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 400, Arlington, Texas 76011, and to Mr. Wolfe if the answer or hearing request is by a person other than Mr. Wolfe. Because of continuing disruptions in delivery of mail to United States Government offices, it is requested that answers and requests for hearing be transmitted to the Secretary of the Commission either by means of facsimile transmission to 301-415-1101 or by e-mail to hearingdocket@nrc.gov and also to the Office of the General Counsel either by means of facsimile transmission to 301-415-3725 or by e-mail to OGCMailCenter@nrc.gov. If a person other than the licensee requests a hearing, that person shall set forth with particularity the manner in which his interest is adversely affected by this Order and shall address the criteria set forth in 10 CFR 2.714(d).

If a hearing is requested by Mr. Wolfe, or a person whose interest is adversely affected, the Commission will issue an Order designating the time and place of any hearing. If a hearing is held, the issue to be considered at such hearing shall be whether this Order should be sustained.

Pursuant to 10 CFR 2.202(c)(2)(i), Mr. Wolfe may, in addition to demanding a hearing, at the time the answer is filed or sooner, move the presiding officer to set aside the immediate effectiveness of the Order on the ground that the Order, including the need for immediate effectiveness, is not based on adequate evidence but on mere suspicion, unfounded allegations, or error.

In the absence of any request for hearing, or written approval of an extension of time in which to request a hearing, the provisions specified in Section IV above shall be final 20 days from the date of this Order without further order or proceedings. If an extension of time for requesting a hearing has been approved, the provisions specified in Section IV shall be final when the extension expires if a hearing request has not been received. An answer or a request for hearing shall not stay the immediate effectiveness of this Order.

Dated this 10th day of December, 2003.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Samuel J. Collins,

Deputy Executive Director for Reactor Programs.

[FR Doc. 03-31955 Filed 12-29-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-423]

Dominion Nuclear Connecticut, Inc.; Millstone Power Station, Unit No. 3 Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is considering issuance of an exemption from title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR) § 54.17(c) for Facility Operating License No. NPF-49, issued to Dominion Nuclear Connecticut, Inc. (DNC), for operation of Millstone Power Station, Unit No. 3 (MP3), located in Waterford, Connecticut. Therefore, as required by 10 CFR 51.21, the NRC is issuing this environmental assessment and finding of no significant impact.

Environmental Assessment

Identification of the Proposed Action

The proposed action would grant a schedular exemption from the provision of 10 CFR 54.17(c), which stipulates that a licensee may not apply for a renewed operating license earlier than 20 years before the current license expires. The exemption would allow DNC to submit a renewal application for MP3 earlier