

area, however, BLM concluded that an EIS was warranted. The scope of the plan amendment is unchanged. All scoping comments submitted under the April 2003 NOI have been considered by BLM in preparing the Draft Amendment and Draft EIS. Additional scoping comments are not requested.

Issues addressed in the EIS include: access for off-highway vehicle use; vehicle access for other casual uses; visitor safety; air quality and public health, watershed impacts, providing protection for listed and sensitive species and habitats; and, protection and viability of the unique forest and vegetation communities within the San Benito Mountain Research Natural Area.

The proposed route of travel and barrens designation changes to the Hollister RMP for the Clear Creek Management Area, including designation of the boundaries of the San Benito Mountain Research Natural Area, requires a formal plan amendment before the designations can be implemented. The amendment process and ORV trail designations were conducted in compliance with the Federal Land Policy Management Act of 1976 (FLPMA), planning regulations (43 CFR 1600), ORV trail designation regulations (43 CFR 8340), BLM manual guidance, and all applicable Federal laws affecting BLM land use decisions and ORV designations.

Criteria were developed to determine whether areas and routes should be designated open or closed, based on: proximity to sensitive resources (stream crossings, special designations/Research Natural Area, biological and cultural resources, mine sites), private land, erosion hazards and maintenance concerns, motorized and non-motorized recreation opportunities, and administrative and local access. This Draft Amendment and Draft EIS refines the screening criteria and procedures for implementing route and area designation as open, limited, or closed to provide sustainable recreation opportunities and to establish a clear and understandable network of routes and areas available for public use that conforms identified resource condition objectives.

The Draft RMP/Draft EIS considers and analyzes four (4) alternatives A) the Proposed Action, B) Enhanced Recreation Opportunities, C) Enhanced Environmental Protection, and D, the No Action, or Continuation of Current Management alternative. The Bureau considered route inventory data, soil loss surveys, monitoring reports, field evaluations, watershed studies, information obtained from coordination with other federal, state, and local

agencies, consultation with the Technical Review Team, and public comments in the development of the resource management plan amendment.

Dated: July 12, 2004

George E. Hill,

Acting Field Manager.

[FR Doc. 04-16437 Filed 7-16-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-40-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Reclamation

Central Valley Project Improvement Act, Water Management Plans

AGENCY: Bureau of Reclamation, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of availability.

SUMMARY: The following Water Management Plans are available for review:

- Hills Valley Irrigation District
- Lindsay-Strathmore Irrigation District
- Orange Cove Irrigation District
- San Benito Water District
- San Juan Water District

To meet the requirements of the Central Valley Project Improvement Act of 1992 (CVPIA) and the Reclamation Reform Act of 1982, the Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation) has developed and published the Criteria for Evaluating Water Management Plans (Criteria).

Note: For the purpose of this announcement, Water Management Plans (Plans) are considered the same as Water Conservation Plans. The above districts have developed a Plan, which Reclamation has evaluated and preliminarily determined to meet the requirements of these Criteria. Reclamation is publishing this notice in order to allow the public to review the plans and comment on the preliminary determinations. Public comment on Reclamation's preliminary (*i.e.*, draft) determination is invited at this time.

DATES: All public comments must be received by August 18, 2004.

ADDRESSES: Please mail comments to Leslie Barbre, Bureau of Reclamation, 2800 Cottage Way, Sacramento, California 95825, or contact at 916-978-5232 (TDD 978-5608), or e-mail at lbarbre@mp.usbr.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: To be placed on a mailing list for any subsequent information, please contact Ms. Barbre at the e-mail address or telephone number above.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: We are inviting the public to comment on our preliminary (*i.e.*, draft) determination of Plan adequacy. Section 3405(e) of the

CVPIA (Title 34 Public Law 102-575) requires the Secretary of the Interior to establish and administer an office on Central Valley Project water conservation best management practices that shall “* * * develop criteria for evaluating the adequacy of all water conservation plans developed by project contractors, including those plans required by Section 210 of the Reclamation Reform Act of 1982.” Also, according to Section 3405(e)(1), these Criteria must be developed “* * * with the purpose of promoting the highest level of water use efficiency reasonably achievable by project contractors using best available cost-effective technology and best management practices.” These Criteria state that all parties (Contractors) that contract with Reclamation for water supplies (municipal and industrial contracts over 2,000 acre-feet and agricultural contracts over 2,000 irrigable acres) must prepare Plans that contain the following information:

1. Description of the District
2. Inventory of Water Resources
3. Best Management Practices (BMPs) for Agricultural Contractors
4. BMPs for Urban Contractors
5. BMP Plan Implementation
6. BMP Exemption Justification

Reclamation will evaluate Plans based on these Criteria. A copy of these Plans will be available for review at Reclamation's Mid-Pacific (MP) Regional Office located in Sacramento, California, and the local area office.

Our practice is to make comments, including names and home addresses of respondents, available for public review. Individual respondents may request that Reclamation withhold their home address from public disclosure, and we will honor such request to the extent allowable by law. There also may be circumstances in which Reclamation would elect to withhold a respondent's identity from public disclosure, as allowable by law. If you wish us to withhold your name and/or address, you must state this prominently at the beginning of your comments. We will make all submissions from organizations, businesses, and from individuals identifying themselves as representatives or officials of organizations or businesses available for public disclosure in their entirety. If you wish to review a copy of these Plans, please contact Ms. Barbre to find the office nearest you.

Dated: June 20, 2004.

Donna E. Tegelman,

Regional Resources Manager, Mid-Pacific Region, Bureau of Reclamation.

[FR Doc. 04-16348 Filed 7-16-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-MN-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Reclamation

Glen Canyon Dam Adaptive Management Work Group (AMWG), Notice of Meeting

AGENCY: Bureau of Reclamation, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of public meeting.

SUMMARY: The Adaptive Management Program (AMP) was implemented as a result of the Record of Decision on the Operation of Glen Canyon Dam Final Environmental Impact Statement to comply with consultation requirements of the Grand Canyon Protection Act (Pub. L. 102-575) of 1992. The AMP includes a federal advisory committee (AMWG), a technical work group (TWG), a monitoring and research center, and independent review panels. The AMWG makes recommendations to the Secretary of the Interior concerning Glen Canyon Dam operations and other management actions to protect resources downstream of Glen Canyon Dam consistent with the Grand Canyon Protection Act. The TWG is a subcommittee of the AMWG and provides technical advice and recommendations to the AMWG.

DATES: The AMWG will conduct the following public meeting:

Phoenix, Arizona—August 9–11, 2004. The meeting will begin at 10 a.m. and conclude at 5 p.m. on August 9, 2004, begin at 8 a.m. and conclude at 5 p.m. on August 10, 2004, and begin at 8 a.m. and conclude at 3 p.m. on August 11, 2004. The meeting will be held at the Hyatt Regency Phoenix, 122 N. 2nd Street, Phoenix, Arizona.

Agenda: The purpose of the meeting will be to discuss results of the recent AMWG retreat, develop and prioritize resource questions and 2006 budget guidance, and discuss updates on the GCMRC Strategic Plan, Core Monitoring Plan, Long Term Experimental Plan, Humpback Chub Comprehensive Plan, FY04 deferred projects, environmental compliance progress on proposed actions, research and monitoring reports, basin hydrology, public outreach, as well as other administrative and resource issues pertaining to the AMP.

Time will be allowed for any individual or organization wishing to

make formal oral comments (limited to 5 minutes) at the meeting. To allow full consideration of information by the AMWG members, written notice must be provided to Dennis Kubly, Bureau of Reclamation, Upper Colorado Regional Office, 125 South State Street, Room 6107, Salt Lake City, Utah, 84138; telephone (801) 524-3715; faxogram (801) 524-3858; e-mail at dkubly@uc.usbr.gov at least five (5) days prior to the meeting. Any written comments received will be provided to the AMWG and TWG members.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Dennis Kubly, telephone (801) 524-3715; faxogram (801) 524-3858; or via e-mail at dkubly@uc.usbr.gov.

Dated: July 1, 2004.

Randall V. Peterson,

Manager, Environmental Resources Division, Upper Colorado Regional Office.

[FR Doc. 04-16347 Filed 7-16-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-MN-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731-TA-208 (Second Review)]

Barbed Wire and Barbless Wire Strand From Argentina

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Scheduling of an expedited five-year review concerning the antidumping duty order on barbed wire and barbless wire strand from Argentina.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice of the scheduling of an expedited review pursuant to section 751(c)(3) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(3)) (the Act) to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty order on barbed wire and barbless wire strand from Argentina would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time. For further information concerning the conduct of this review and rules of general application, consult the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207).

DATES: *Effective Date:* July 6, 2004.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Stephanie Jacobs (202-205-2383), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting

the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this review may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background.—On July 6, 2004, the Commission determined that the domestic interested party group response to its notice of institution (69 FR 17226, April 1, 2004) of the subject five-year review was adequate and that the respondent interested party group response was inadequate. The Commission did not find any other circumstances that would warrant conducting a full review.¹ Accordingly, the Commission determined that it would conduct an expedited review pursuant to section 751(c)(3) of the Act.²

Staff report.—A staff report containing information concerning the subject matter of the review will be placed in the nonpublic record on August 2, 2004, and made available to persons on the Administrative Protective Order service list for this review. A public version will be issued thereafter, pursuant to section 207.62(d)(4) of the Commission's rules.

Written submissions.—As provided in section 207.62(d) of the Commission's rules, interested parties that are parties to the review and that have provided individually adequate responses to the notice of institution,³ and any party other than an interested party to the review may file written comments with the Secretary on what determination the Commission should reach in the review. Comments are due on or before August 5, 2004, and may not contain new factual information. Any person that is neither a party to the five-year review nor an interested party may submit a brief written statement (which shall not contain any new factual information) pertinent to the review by August 5, 2004. However, should the Department

¹ A record of the Commissioners' votes, the Commission's statement on adequacy, and any individual Commissioner's statement will be available from the Office of the Secretary and at the Commission's Web site.

² Commissioners Charlotte R. Lane and Daniel R. Pearson dissenting.

³ The Commission has found the responses submitted by Davis Wire Corp., Keystone Steel and Wire Co., and Oklahoma Steel and Wire Co., Inc., to be individually adequate. Comments from other interested parties will not be accepted (see 19 CFR 207.62(d)(2)).