investigate the application and report to the Board.

Public comment on the application is invited from interested parties. Submissions (original and 3 copies) shall be addressed to the Board's Executive Secretary at one of the following addresses below:

1. Submissions via Express/Package Delivery Services: Foreign-Trade Zones Board, U.S. Department of Commerce, Franklin Court Building–Suite 4100W, 1099 14th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20005; or

2. Submissions via U.S. Postal Service: Foreign-Trade Zones Board, U.S. Department of Commerce, FCB– 4100W, 1401 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

The closing period for their receipt is November 2, 2004. Rebuttal comments in response to material submitted during the foregoing period may be submitted during the subsequent 15-day period (to November 17, 2004).

A copy of the application will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Foreign-Trade Zones Board's Executive Secretary at address No. 1 listed above and Codezol, C.D., 3309 Avenida Santiago de los Caballeros, Ponce, Puerto Rico 00734.

Dated: August 25, 2004.

Dennis Puccinelli,

Executive Secretary. [FR Doc. 04–20153 Filed 9–2–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Order No. 1348]

Expansion of Foreign-Trade Zone 36, Galveston, TX Area

Pursuant to its authority under the Foreign-Trade Zones Act of June 18, 1934, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a–81u), the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) adopts the following Order:

Whereas, the Board of Trustees of the Galveston Wharves, grantee of Foreign-Trade Zone 36, submitted an application to the Board to expand and reorganize FTZ 36 to add four parcels (112 acres) to Site 1; to remove a parcel from Site 1 (formerly Parcel 1, 2.67 acres); to add 45 acres to Site 1, Parcel 2; to add a parcel (96 acres) to Site 2; and, to add a new site (Site 3: 74 acres, 2 parcels) at Scholes International Airport, adjacent to the Houston-Galveston Customs port of entry (FTZ Docket 2–2004; filed 1/23/04);

Whereas, notice inviting public comment was given in the **Federal**

Register (69 FR 5315, 2/4/04; 69 FR 18530, 4/8/04), and the application has been processed pursuant to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations; and,

Whereas, the Board adopts the findings and recommendations of the examiner's report, and finds that the requirements of the FTZ Act and Board's regulations are satisfied, and that the proposal is in the public interest;

Now, Therefore, the Board hereby orders:

The application to reorganize and expand FTZ 36 is approved, subject to the Act and the Board's regulations, including Section 400.28.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 27th day of August 2004.

James J. Jochum,

Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Import Administration, Alternate Chairman, Foreign-Trade Zones Board.

[FR Doc. 04–20154 Filed 9–2–04; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-580-841]

Structural Steel Beams from Korea: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On September 30, 2003, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published in the **Federal Register** (68 FR 56262) a notice announcing the initiation of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on structural steel beams from the Republic of Korea (Korea). The period of review (POR) is August 1, 2002, to July 30, 2003.

We preliminarily determine that sales of structural steel beams from Korea have been made at prices below the normal value (NV) by the respondents, INI Steel Company (INI) and Dongkuk Steel Mill Co., Ltd. (DSM). If these preliminary results are adopted in the final results of this administrative review, we will instruct Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to assess antidumping duties based on all appropriate entries.

Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results. Parties who submit argument in these proceedings are requested to submit with the argument: (1) a statement of the issues, (2) a brief summary of the argument, and (3) a table of authorities.

EFFECTIVE DATE: September 3, 2004.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mark Flessner or Robert James, AD/CVD Enforcement, Office 7, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Room 7866, Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482–6312 or (202) 482–0649.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On August 1, 2003 the Department published a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on structural steel beams from Korea. (See Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity to Request Administrative Review, 68 FR 45218 (August 1, 2003)). On August 29, 2003, petitioners Nucor Corporation, Nucor Yamato Steel Co., and TXI-Chaparral Steel Co. requested that the Department conduct an administrative review of DSM and INI, which are Korean producers of subject merchandise. Also, on August 29, 2003, DSM requested that the Department conduct an administrative review of their sales of subject merchandise during the POR. On September 30, 2003, the Department published a notice of initiation of a review of structural steel beams from Korea covering the period August 1, 2002, through July 31, 2003. (See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Requests for Revocation in Part, 68 FR 56262 (September 30, 2003)). On December 17, 2003 the Department issued its antidumping duty questionnaires, covering Sections A through E, to INI and to DSM.

The Department had not disregarded sales of structural steel beams made by DSM at prices below the cost of production (COP) in the most recently completed review of DSM; therefore DSM was not initially required to respond to section D of the questionnaire. On March 2, 2004, petitioners filed an allegation that DSM had made below-cost sales. On April 6, 2004, the Department initiated a cost investigation of DSM, after which DSM was required to respond to Section D of the questionnaire.

Because we disregarded sales of certain products made by INI at prices below the COP in what was at that time the most recently completed review of structural steel beams from Korea (see Structural Steel Beams From the Republic of Korea; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative