FERC Online Support at *FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov* or toll free at (866) 208–3676, or for TTY, contact (202) 502–8659.

On September 17, 2004, Trunkline Gas and its customer, BG LNG, entered into a Supplement and Amendment to the January 28, 2004, Agreement for Construction of Facilities. The amended agreement provides BG LNG with additional operational reliability and flexibility in Trunkline Gas Field Zone to accommodate BG LNG's presently contracted, as well as potentially expanded levels of regasified LNG volumes. Under the amended agreement, Trunkline Gas and BG LNG have agreed in principle to certain modifications to their existing arrangements. These modifications include (a) changing the proposed pipeline loop from a 30-inch to a 36-inch diameter pipeline, and (b) modifying the capacity and delivery pressure at some of the proposed delivery points. The LNG Loop Project modifications will not change the proposed construction footprint or construction procedures. Trunkline Gas does not propose to change the Amended LNG Loop Project's authorized take away capacity from the Trunkline LNG Company, LLC's terminal. The LNG import terminal is currently authorized to provide a regasified LNG sendout volume of 2.1 Bcf/d on a peak day basis, and 1.8 Bcf/d on a sustained basis.

Any questions regarding the application are to be directed to William W. Grygar, Vice President of Rates and Regulatory Affairs, Trunkline Gas Company, LLC, PO Box 4967, Houston, Texas 77210.

There are two ways to become involved in the Commission's review of this project. First, any person wishing to obtain legal status by becoming a party to the proceedings for this project should, on or before the below listed comment date, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the NGA (18 CFR 157.10). A person obtaining party status will be placed on the service list maintained by the Secretary of the Commission and will receive copies of all documents filed by the applicant and by all other parties. A party must submit 14 copies of filings made with the Commission and must mail a copy to the applicant and to every other party in the proceeding. Only parties to the proceeding can ask for court review of Commission orders in the proceeding.

However, a person does not have to intervene in order to have comments considered. The second way to participate is by filing with the Secretary of the Commission, as soon as possible, an original and two copies of comments in support of or in opposition to this project. The Commission will consider these comments in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but the filing of a comment alone will not serve to make the filer a party to the proceeding. The Commission's rules require that persons filing comments in opposition to the project provide copies of their protests only to the party or parties directly involved in the protest.

Persons who wish to comment only on the environmental review of this project should submit an original and two copies of their comments to the Secretary of the Commission. Environmental commenters will be placed on the Commission's environmental mailing list, will receive copies of the environmental documents, and will be notified of meetings associated with the Commission's environmental review process. Environmental commenters will not be required to serve copies of filed documents on all other parties. However, the non-party commenters will not receive copies of all documents filed by other parties or issued by the Commission (except for the mailing of environmental documents issued by the Commission) and will not have the right to seek court review of the Commission's final order.

The Commission may issue a preliminary determination on non-environmental issues prior to the completion of its review of the environmental aspects of the project. This preliminary determination typically considers such issues as the need for the project and its economic effect on existing customers of the applicant, on other pipelines in the area, and on landowners and communities. For example, the Commission considers the extent to which the applicant may need to exercise eminent domain to obtain rights-of-way for the proposed project and balances that against the non-environmental benefits to be provided by the project. Therefore, if a person has comments on community and landowner impacts from this proposal, it is important either to file comments or to intervene as early in the process as possible.

Motions to intervene, protests and comments may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper; *see* 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's Web site under the ''e-Filing'' link. The Commission strongly encourages electronic filings.

Comment Date: December 1, 2004.

Magalie R. Salas,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. E4–3253 Filed 11–18–04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. ER95–1528–009, et al.]

Wisconsin Public Service Corporation, et al.; Electric Rate and Corporate Filings

November 12, 2004.

The following filings have been made with the Commission. The filings are listed in ascending order within each docket classification.

1. Wisconsin Public Service Corporation, Wisconsin Public Service Corporation, WPS Power Development, Inc., and WPS Energy Services, Inc., Mid-American Power, LLC, Sunbury Generation, LLC, WPS Canada Generation, Inc. and WPS New England Generation, Inc., WPS Westwood Generation, LLC, Advantage Energy Inc.

[Docket Nos. ER95–1528–0090, ER96–1088–034, ER96–1858–014, ER99–3420–003, ER99–1936–002, ER01–1114–002, ER97–2758–009]

Take notice that on November 5, 2004, WPS Resources Corporation (WPSR) on behalf of the following subsidiaries: Wisconsin Public Service Corporation; WPS Energy Services, Inc.; WPS Power Development, Inc.; Mid-American Power, LLC; Sunbury Generation, LLC; WPS Canada Generation, Inc.; WPS New England Generation, Inc.; WPS Westwood Generation, LLC, and Advantage Energy, Inc., tendered for filing tariff sheets that modify their market-based rate tariffs to add the Market Behavior Rules as adopted by the Commission. WPSR states that on September 27, 2004, it submitted a request for three-year renewal of the market-based rate authority for each of the subsidiaries. WPSR requests an effective date of December 17, 2003.

WPSR states that a copy of the filing was served on all parties listed on the Commission's official service lists in the referenced proceedings and the Public Service Commission of Wisconsin.

Comment Date: 5 p.m. eastern time on November 26, 2004.

2. NewCorp Resources Electric Cooperative, Inc.

[Docket No. ER02–2001–000]

Take notice that on September 3, 2004, NewCorp Resources Electric Cooperative, Inc. filed a Request for Waiver of Order No. 2001 Electric Quarterly Reports Requirements.

Comment Date: 5 p.m. eastern time on December 3, 2004.

3. Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc.

[Docket No. ER04–458–004]

Take notice that on November 8, 2004, the Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc., (Midwest ISO) submitted a compliance filing pursuant to the Commission's Order issued July 8, 2004, in Docket Nos. ER04–458–000 and ER04–458–001, 108 FERC ¶ 61,027 (2004).

The Midwest ISO states that it has electronically served a copy of this filing upon all Midwest ISO Members, Member representatives of Transmission owners and Non-Transmission Owners, the Midwest ISO Advisory Committee participants, as well as all State commissions within the region. In addition, the Midwest ISO states that the filing has been posted on the Midwest ISO Web site at *http://www.midwestiso.org* under the heading ''Filings to FERC'' for other interested parties. The Midwest ISO further states that it will provide hard copies to any interested parties upon request.

Comment Date: 5 p.m. eastern time on November 29, 2004.

4. Alpena Power Generation, L.L.C.

[Docket No. ER04–1004–002]

Take notice that on November 5, 2004, Alpena Power Generation, L.L.C. (Alpena Generation) tendered for filing a second supplement to its application for market-based rate authority filed on July 9, 2004, as amended on August 27, 2004, in response to the Commission's October 22, 2004, deficiency letter in Docket Nos. ER04–1004–000 and ER04–1004–001.

Alpena Generation states that copies of the filing were served on the public utility's jurisdictional customers and the Michigan Public Service Commission.

Comment Date: 5 p.m. eastern time on November 26, 2004.

5. Orion Power MidWest, L.P.

[Docket Nos. ER05–92–000 and ER05–92–001]

Take notice that on October 28, 2004, as amended on November 2, 2004, Orion Power MidWest, L.P. (OPMW) filed a revised tariff sheet designated as First Revised Sheet No. 1, Orion Power MidWest, L.P. FERC Electric Tariff, First Revised Volume No. 1. OPMW requests an effective date of December 1, 2004.

OPMW states that copies of the filing were served on OPMW's jurisdictional customers.

Comment Date: 5 p.m. eastern time on November 23, 2004.

6. PPL Electric Utilities Corporation

[Docket No. ER05–169–001]

Take notice that on November 5, 2004, PPL Electric Utilities Corporation (PPL Electric) submitted an amendment to its November 2, 2004, filing of revisions to PPL Electric Rate Schedule FERC No. 180, a transmission agreement between PPL Electric and Allegheny Electric Cooperating, Inc. (Allegheny).

PPL Electric states that copies of the filing were served on Allegheny.

Comment Date: 5 p.m. eastern time on November 26, 2004.

7. Allegheny Energy Supply Company, LLC

[Docket No. ER05–180–000]

Take notice that on November 4, 2004, Allegheny Energy Supply Company, LLC (AE Supply) filed a Notice of Cancellation of Hatfield's Ferry LLC, FERC Electric Tariff, Original Volume No. 1. AE Supply requests an effective date of January 1, 2005.

AE Supply states that a copy of the Notice of Cancellation has been served on all persons with currently effective service agreements under the rate schedule referenced above.

Comment Date: 5 p.m. eastern time on November 26, 2004.

8. AYP Energy, Inc.

[Docket No. ER05–181–000]

Take notice that on November 4, 2004, AYP Energy, Inc. (AYP) filed a Notice of Cancellation of AYP Energy, Inc., First Revised Rate Schedule No. 1. AYP requests an effective date of January 1, 2005.

AYP states that a copy of the Notice of Cancellation has been served on all persons with currently effective service agreements under the rate schedule referenced above.

Comment Date: 5 p.m. eastern time on November 26, 2004.

9. Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc.

[Docket No. ER05–182–000]

Take notice that on November 4, 2004, the Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc., (Midwest ISO) filed an Interconnection and Operating Agreement among the Electric Generation Business Function of Northern States Power Company d/b/a Xcel Energy, the Functionally Unbundled Transmission Function of Northern States Power Company d/ba Xcel and the Midwest ISO.

Midwest ISO states that the filing was served on the parties to the Interconnection Agreement.

Comment Date: 5 p.m. eastern time on November 26, 2004.

10. Carolina Power & Light Company

[Docket No. ER05–183–000]

Take notice that on November 4, 2004, Carolina Power & Light Company, doing business as Progress Energy Carolina, (CP&L) tendered for filing a Generator Balancing Service Schedule as Schedule 4B under the Open Access Transmission Tariffs of CP&L and Florida Power Corporation. CP&L requests an effective date of January 1, 2005.

CP&L states that copies of the filing were served on the North Carolina Utilities Commission, the South Carolina Public Service Commission and CP&L's jurisdictional customers.

Comment Date: 5 p.m. eastern time on November 26, 2004.

11. PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.

[Docket No. ER05–184–000]

Take notice that on November 4, 2004, PJM Interconnection, L.L.C. (PJM) filed amendments to the PJM Open Access Transmission Tariff and the Amended and Restated Operating Agreement of PJM Interconnection, L.L.C. to create a special membership for its real-time option Economic Load Response Program. PJM requests an effective date of November 5, 2004.

PJM states that copies of the filing were served on all PJM members and each state electric utility regulatory commission in the PJM region.

Comment Date: 5 p.m. eastern time on November 26, 2004.

12. PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.

[Docket No. ER05–185–000]

Take notice that on November 4, 2004, PJM Interconnection, L.L.C. (PJM) filed proposed costs of generating units for providing black start service in the Commonwealth Edison Company zone to be recovered under Schedule 6A and of the PJM Tariff in lieu of the formula rate specified in the Tariff.

PJM states that copies of the filing were served on all PJM members and each state electric utility regulatory commission in the PJM region.

Comment Date: 5 p.m. eastern time on November 26, 2004.

13. Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc.

[Docket No. ER05–186–000]

Take notice that on November 5, 2004, the Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc., (Midwest ISO) filed a Large Generator Interconnection Agreement among Butler Ridge, LLC, American Transmission Company, LLC and the Midwest ISO.

Midwest ISO states that the filing was served on the parties to the Interconnection Agreement.

Comment Date: 5 p.m. eastern time on November 26, 2004.

14. Virginia Electric and Power Company

[Docket No. ER05–187–000]

Take notice that on November 5, 2004, Virginia Electric and Power Company (Dominion) tendered for filing a new Appendix E–2 for the Service Agreement under its Open Access Transmission Tariff, FERC Electric Tariff Second Revised Volume No. 5, for Network Integration Transmission Service between Dominion and North Carolina Electric Membership Corporation (NCEMC). Dominion states that the revised service agreement adds charges to reimburse Dominion for costs associated with the conversion of Mapleton Delivery Point for Roanoke Electric Cooperation.

Dominion states that copies of the filing were served on the NCEMC, the North Carolina Utilities Commission and the Virginia State Corporation Commission.

Comment Date: 5 p.m. eastern time on November 26, 2004.

15. Entergy Services, Inc.

[Docket No. ER05–188–000]

Take notice that on November 5, 2004, Entergy Louisiana, Inc. (Entergy Louisiana) tendered for filing an Interconnection Agreement between Entergy Louisiana and Perryville Energy Partners, L.L.C., designated as Original Service Agreement No. 381 under Entergy Services, Inc.'s FERC Electric Tariff, Second Revised Volume No. 3.

Comment Date: 5 p.m. eastern time on November 26, 2004.

16. Entergy Services, Inc.

[Docket No. ER05–189–000]

Take notice that on November 5, 2004, Entergy Services, Inc., on behalf of Entergy Louisiana, Inc. (Entergy Louisiana), tendered for filing a Notice of Termination of Original Service Agreement No. 102 under Entergy Services, Inc.'s FERC Electric Tariff, First Revised Volume No. 3 and Supplement No. 1 thereto, the Interconnection and Operating Agreement and Generator Imbalance Agreement between Entergy Louisiana and Cleco Midstream Resources, LLC.

Comment Date: 5 p.m. eastern time on November 26, 2004.

17. Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc. and Northern Indiana Public Service Company

[Docket No. ER05–190–000]

Take notice that on November 5, 2004, the Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc., (Midwest ISO) and Northern Indiana Public Service Company (NIPSCO) (collectively, Applicants) filed a joint application under section 205 of the Federal Power Act for approval of transition to formulae rate. Applicants submitted proposed revisions to the Open Access Transmission Tariff of the Midwest ISO to reflect NIPSCO's transition from stated rates to the formulae rates under Attachment O, Rate Formulae of the Tariff. Applicants request an effective date of December 1, 2004.

Applicants state that copies of this filing have been served electronically on all Midwest ISO members, member representatives of transmission customers, and the Midwest ISO Advisory Committee participants, as well as all State commissions within the affected regions. In addition, Applicants state that the filing has been posted on the Midwest ISO's Web site at *http://www.midwestiso.org* under the heading ''Filings to FERC.''

Comment Date: 5 p.m. eastern time on November 26, 2004.

18. Perryville Energy Partners, L.L.C.

[Docket No. ER05–191–000]

Take notice that on November 5, 2004, Perryville Energy Partners, L.L.C. (PEP) tendered for filing an Interconnection and Service Charge Agreement (Agreement) between PEP and Entergy Louisiana, Inc. (Entergy Louisiana) requesting that the Commission permit the Agreement to become effective as of the date that PEP's sale to Entergy Louisiana of the Perryville 718 megawatt natural gas-fired generating facility located in Ouachita Parish near Perryville, Louisiana, becomes effective.

PEP states that copies of the filing were served on Entergy Louisiana and the Louisiana Public Service Commission.

Comment Date: 5 p.m. eastern time on November 26, 2004.

19. PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.

[Docket No. ER05–192–000]

Take notice that on November 1, 2004, PJM Interconnection, L.L.C. (PJM) submitted for filing (1) seven service agreements that were previously filed with and accepted by the Commission, and (2) five service agreements that have been filed with the Commission and for which Commission action is pending to redesignate them with new service agreement numbers. PJM requests waiver to permit the prior Commission-approved effective dates for the agreements previously accepted for filing by the Commission and to permit the effective dates originally requested for the agreements for which Commission action is currently pending.

PJM states that copies of the filing were served on the parties to the agreements and the state regulatory commissions within the PJM region.

Comment Date: 5 p.m. eastern time on November 22, 2004.

Standard Paragraph

Any person desiring to intervene or to protest this filing must file in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a notice of intervention or motion to intervene, as appropriate. Such notices, motions, or protests must be filed on or before the comment date. Anyone filing a motion to intervene or protest must serve a copy of that document on the Applicant and all parties to this proceeding.

The Commission encourages electronic submission of protests and interventions in lieu of paper using the ''eFiling'' link at *http://www.ferc.gov.* Persons unable to file electronically should submit an original and 14 copies of the protest or intervention to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426.

This filing is accessible on-line at *http://www.ferc.gov,* using the ''eLibrary'' link and is available for review in the Commission's Public Reference Room in Washington, DC. There is an ''eSubscription'' link on the Web site that enables subscribers to receive e-mail notification when a document is added to a subscribed docket(s). For assistance with any FERC Online service, please e-mail *FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov,* or call

(866) 208–3676 (toll free). For TTY, call (202) 502–8659.

Magalie R. Salas,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. E4–3260 Filed 11–18–04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP02–90–003]

AES Ocean Express, L.L.C. (Ocean Express); Notice of Intent To Prepare an Environmental Assessment for the Proposed Modifications to the Ocean Express Pipeline Project and Request for Comments on Environmental Issues

November 15, 2004.

The staff of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC or Commission) and the Minerals Management Service (MMS) will prepare an environmental assessment (EA) that will discuss the environmental impacts of the Modifications to the Ocean Express Pipeline Project proposed by Ocean Express in Broward County, Florida, State Waters of Florida, and Federal Waters of the United States.[1] The Ocean Express Pipeline Project received a certificate of public convenience and necessity from the Commission on January 29, 2004 in Docket Nos. CP02–90, *et al.* Ocean Express has requested necessary authorizations for a pipeline right-of-way in Federal waters from the MMS. Ocean Express has now proposed changes to their original proposal, and those proposed changes will be reviewed by Commission and MMS staff. The Ocean Express Pipeline Project modifications reflect the incorporation of tunnel construction methodology for the nearshore portion of the pipeline, as well as certain other design changes, for the natural gas pipeline between the United States and the Bahamas. This EA will be used by the Commission in its decision-making process to determine whether the project modifications are in the public convenience and necessity. The MMS will have primary responsibility for offshore analysis in U.S. waters and will coordinate with the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers regarding Florida State waters review.

The FERC is the lead agency and the MMS is a Federal cooperating agency for this project because the MMS has jurisdiction by law as well as special expertise regarding the potential environmental impacts associated with that portion of the proposed pipeline that would be installed on the Outer Continental Shelf.

This notice is being sent to landowners, individuals, organizations, and government entities that expressed an interest in the original project and received a copy of FERC's *Final Environmental Impact Statement for the Ocean Express Pipeline Project* (issued November 28, 2003). No new landowners are affected by the proposed modifications. It is also being sent to all identified potential right-of-way grantors. If you are a landowner receiving this notice, you may be contacted by a pipeline company representative about the acquisition of an easement to construct, operate, and maintain the proposed facilities. The pipeline company would seek to negotiate a mutually acceptable agreement. However, if the project is approved by the Commission, that approval conveys with it the right of eminent domain. Therefore, if easement negotiations fail to produce an agreement, the pipeline company could initiate condemnation proceedings in accordance with state law.

FERC prepared a fact sheet entitled ''An Interstate Natural Gas Facility On My Land? What Do I Need To Know?''. This fact sheet addresses a number of typically asked questions, including the use of eminent domain and how to participate in the Commission's proceedings. It is available for viewing on the FERC Internet Web site (*http://www.ferc.gov*).

Summary of the Proposed Project

As certificated, the Ocean Express Pipeline Project would consist of a new 24-inch-diameter interstate natural gas pipeline, and certain ancillary facilities, that would extend approximately 54.5 miles from a receipt point on the Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ) boundary between the United States and the Bahamas to two delivery points in Broward County, Florida, one at an interconnection with the existing Florida Gas Transmission System (FGT) pipeline at the Florida Power and Light (FPL) Fort Lauderdale Power Plant, and the other at an interconnection with the FPL gas line that serves the FPL Fort Lauderdale plant. Ocean Express's proposed modifications reflect the incorporation of tunnel construction methodology for the nearshore portion of its pipeline, as well as certain other design changes. Ocean Express developed the proposed modifications to address the local gas markets demand for peak period deliverability and certain delays that it has encountered in meeting its proposed construction schedule.

Ocean Express explains that the use of the tunnel construction methodology would allow it to construct the nearshore portion the pipeline using an approximately 14,000-foot-long tunnel, with certain minor route changes to accommodate the methodology, as opposed to the horizontal directional drills (HDDs) that the Commission has already approved. Ocean Express also proposes to increase the pipeline diameter from 24 inches to 26 inches and internally coat the pipeline, to allow for increased hourly flow rates, but does not propose to increase the certificated capacity (842,000 dekatherms/day) of its pipeline. Additionally, Ocean Express proposes to install a pressure reducing station inside the tunnel to reduce the onshore Maximum Allowable Operating Pressure (MAOP) to 1,480 pounds per square inch gauge (psig) or less, from the certificated MAOP of 2,200 psig. An aboveground tunnel shaft/access building and gas vent would also be installed at the Dania Beach Boulevard Traffic Circle.

Ocean Express designed the proposed tunnel construction installation to further minimize the potential for direct impacts and the risk of inadvertent impacts to sensitive marine resources, particularly the hardbottom and coral reef resources that occur in the nearshore environment of the project area. The proposed tunnel modification would replace previously certificated plans to perform two HDDs under the nearshore reef systems, with the HDDs connected by a direct pipelay segment between two of the dominant reef trends. The tunnel modification would avoid the need for offshore construction work spaces to the west of the dominant reef trends. Ocean Express indicates that elimination of those work spaces would minimize direct impacts and significantly reducing the potential for inadvertent impacts in proximity to the reefs (*e.g.*, unanticipated spills, anchor impacts, work vessel passage over reefs, etc.). Additionally, Ocean Express states that the equipment used to construct the tunnel would not use drilling fluids under high pressure, thereby eliminating the potential risk of an inadvertent release of drilling muds, or frac-out, which could potentially have

[1] Ocean Express's application was filed with the Commission on September 9, 2004, as supplemented on September 15, 2004 and September 20, 2004, under section 7 of the Natural Gas Act and part 157 and part 284 of the Commission's Regulations.