the orders (57 FR 57420 (December 4, 1992)). Moreover, as a result of a changed circumstances review, the Department revoked the orders in part with respect to certain stainless steel camping ware: (1) made of single–ply stainless steel having a thickness no greater than 6.0 millimeters; and (2) consisting of 1.0, 1.5, and 2.0 quart saucepans without handles and with lids that also serve as fry pans (62 FR 3662 (January 24, 1997)).

**Analysis of Comments Received**

All issues raised in these reviews are addressed in the ''Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Expedited Sunset Reviews of the Antidumping Duty Orders on Top–of-the–Stove Stainless Steel Cooking Ware from the Republic of Korea and Taiwan (''Decision Memorandum'') from Barbara E. Tillman, Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, to Joseph A. Spetrini, Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated September 27, 2005, which is hereby adopted by this notice. The issues discussed in the Decision Memorandum include the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping and the magnitude of the margins likely to prevail if the orders were to be revoked. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in these reviews and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum which is on file in room B–099 of the main Commerce building.

In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the Web at http://ia.ita.doc.gov/frn/index.html. The paper copy and electronic version of the Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

**Final Results of Reviews**

We determine that revocation of the antidumping duty orders on cooking ware from Korea and Taiwan would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the following weighted–average percentage margins:

| Manufacturers/Exporters/Producers | Weighted–Average Margin (percent) |
|---|---|
| **Korea**. | |
| Bum Koo Industrial Co., Ltd. | *31.23 |
| Dae Sung Industrial Co., Ltd. | 6.11 |
| Hai Dong Stainless Industries, Co. | 12.14 |
| Kyung Dong Industrial Co., Ltd. | 8.36 |
| Namil Metal Co. Ltd. | 0.75 |
| All Others | 8.10 |
| **Taiwan**. | |
| Golden Lion Metal Industry Co., Ltd. | 15.08 |
| Lyi Mean Industrial Co., Ltd. | 26.10 |
| Song Far Industry Co., Ltd. | 25.90 |
| All Others | 22.61 |

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (''APO'') of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective orders is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing these results and notice in accordance with sections 751(c), 752, and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: September 20, 2005.

**Joseph A. Spetrini,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 05–19275 Filed 9–26–05; 8:45 am]

**Billing Code: 3510–DS–S**

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Department of the Army

### Notice of Availability (NOA) for the Record of Decision (ROD) for Activities Associated With Future Programs at the U.S. Army Dugway Proving Ground (DPG), Utah

**AGENCY:** Department of the Army, DOD.

**ACTION:** Record of decision.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of the Army announces the availability of its Record of Decision (ROD) for Activities Associated with Future Programs at the U.S. Army DPG. The ROD describes the Army's decisions with respect to the Proposed Action (implementation of DPG's planned mission for a 7-year time frame) and alternatives considered in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) and its rationale for the decision. Based on the EIS and other relevant factors, the Army has decided to implement its Proposed Action. The Proposed Action is the alternative that best fulfills DPG's statutory mission and responsibilities, giving consideration to economic, environmental, technical, and other factors. DPG will continue to implement its existing mitigation measures as well as measures described in the ROD to mitigate potential environmental and socioeconomic impacts caused by the Proposed Action.

**ADDRESSES:** Requests for copies of the ROD may be submitted to: U.S. Army Dugway Proving Ground, ATTN: CSTE–DTC–DP–PA (Paula Nicholson), Dugway, UT 84022–5000.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ms. Paula Nicholson at (435) 831–3409 or by e-mail at *nicholsn@dpg.army.mil.*

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The EIS was prepared to address the planned mission at DPG, the reasonable alternatives to the planned mission, and potential environmental impacts of DPG's future operations.

DPG is one of the few Army installations large and remote enough to permit comprehensive and realistic testing of biological and chemical defense systems, munitions and smokes, obscurants, and illuminants with a commitment to environmental protection and personal and public safety. Both DoD and non-DOD customers are posing challenges for DPG to support greater numbers of test and training events related to new enemy threats, next generation materiel, advanced conventional weapon systems, environmental concern, and demilitarization technologies.

The Proposed Action described and evaluated in the EIS is the implementation of DPG's planned mission. It includes continuation of existing DPG activities (including, but not limited to, chemical and biological defense testing, other testing programs, training, real property management, and environmental management) with future increases in most testing and training operating areas. Additionally, the Proposed Action includes diversification of DPG's operations and implementation of a Summary Development Plan identifying real property planning recommendations for DPG. The Proposed Action will enable DPG to effectively respond to the challenges of a growing and diversified mission.

In making its decision, the Army considered the results of the analysis in the EIS, including the evaluation of the other alternatives. The EIS considered the following two alternatives to the Proposed Action and the No Action Alternative: (1) Decreased mission with a major reduction in operations at DPG and (2) a maximum expanded mission with major increases in most operating areas compared to current operations. The No Action Alternative represents the status quo and assumes that existing DPG operations would continue at

approximately their current rates into the foreseeable future. All comments provided during the formal public review and comment periods were also considered, as well as national security and mission requirements.

The consideration of future programs ensures that the general type and intensity of most of DPG's future activities were addressed. A range of factors such as future technology developments, available budgets, and changing defense threats often alter test plans. The Proposed Action within the Future Programs EIS includes only those activities that are reasonably foreseeable and for which DPG is the proponent or can make a decision about the activity. Specific program designations and equipment/materials to be tested may change between the time that the EIS was prepared and the actual test date. Accordingly, the EIS identifies the general characteristics of reasonably foreseeable test programs, rather than providing definitive and specific test information.

DPG will continue to implement its existing mitigation measures, as well as measures described in the ROD, to mitigate potential environmental and socioeconomic impacts caused by the Proposed Action. DPG and the Army are committed to protecting human health, sustaining their environments, and maintaining regulatory compliance.

Copies of the ROD and the Final EIS are available for review purposes only (no extra copies of the documents will be available at these locations) at the following libraries: Whitmore Library, 2197 East 7000 South (Ft. Union Blvd.), Salt Lake City; University of Utah, J. Willard Marriott Library, 15th East and South Campus Drive, Salt Lake City; Dugway Public Library, 5124 Kister Avenue, Dugway; Tooele City Public Library, 128 W. Vine Street, Tooele.

Dated: September 22, 2005.

**Daphne Kamely,**

*Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Army, (Environment, Safety and Occupational Health), OASA(I&E).*

[FR Doc. 05–19246 Filed 9–26–05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3710–08–M

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Department of the Army; Corps of Engineers

### Transfer of Jurisdiction of a Portion of Joliet Army Ammunition Plant to the Department of Agriculture for the Midewin National Tallgrass Prairie

**AGENCY:** U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, DoD.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** On 02 September 2005, in accordance with PL 104–106, Title XXIX, Subtitle A, entitled ''Illinois Land Conservation Act of 1995'', the Department of the Army signed a Secretariat Memorandum to transfer approximately 2,640 Acres of land at Joliet Army Ammunition Plant, Illinois to the Department of Agriculture for use by the Forest Service as the Midewin National Tallgrass Prairie. The purpose of this notice is to effect that transfer pursuant to the provisions of Section 2912(e)(2) of PL 104–106.

This is a partial transfer of the entire acreage contemplated by the statute. Additional transfers will be made in the future. A map entitled ''2004 USDA Assignment Parcel Locator Map'' and legal descriptions of the MFG area revised 18 January 2005 and of the LAP area revised 11 August 2005 of the property which is the subject of the partial transfer are on file with the U.S. Army Engineer District, Corps of Engineers, Louisville, Kentucky and the Office of the Regional Forester, USDA, Forest Service.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. Albert J. Edwardo, 502–315–6969.

**ADDRESSES:** Documents are on file at locations:

1. U.S. Army Engineer District, Louisville, Corps of Engineers, P.O. Box 59, Louisville, Kentucky 40201–0059.
2. Office of the Regional Forester, USDA, Forest Service, 626 East Wisconsin Avenue, Suite 800, Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53203.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** None.

**Michael G. Barter,**

*Chief, Real Estate Division.*

[FR Doc. 05–19217 Filed 9–26–05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3710–92–P

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Department of the Army; Corps of Engineers

### Inland Waterways Users Board

**AGENCY:** Department of the Army, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, DoD.

**ACTION:** Notice of open meeting.

**SUMMARY:** In Accordance with 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92–463), announcement is made of the forthcoming meeting.

*Name of Committee:* Inland Waterways Users Board (Board).

*Date:* October 13, 2005.

*Location:* Heathman Lodge, 7801 NE Greenwood Drive, Vancouver, Washington 98662, (1–360–254–3100).

*Time:* Registration will begin at 8:30 a.m. and the meeting is scheduled to adjourn at 12 p.m.

*Agenda:* The Board will hear briefings on the status of both the funding for inland navigation projects and studies, and the Inland Waterways Trust Fund. The Board will also consider its priorities for the next fiscal year.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. Norman T. Edwards, Headquarters, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, CEMP–POD, 441 G Street, NW., Washington, DC 20314–1000; Ph: 202–761–1934.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The meeting is open to the public. Any interested person may attend, appear before, or file statement with the committee at the time and in the manner permitted by the committee.

**Brenda S. Bowen,**

*Army Federal Register Liaison Officer.*

[FR Doc. 05–19270 Filed 9–26–05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3710–92–M

## DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

### Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

**AGENCY:** Department of Education.

**SUMMARY:** The Leader, Information Management Case Services Team, Regulatory Information Management Services, Office of the Chief Information Officer invites comments on the submission for OMB review as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

**DATES:** Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before October 27, 2005.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments should be addressed to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention: Rachel Potter, Desk Officer, Department of Education, Office of Management and Budget, 725 17th Street, NW., Room 10222, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503 or faxed to (202) 395–6974.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Section 3506 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35) requires that the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) provide interested Federal agencies and the public an early opportunity to comment on information collection requests. OMB may amend or waive the requirement for public consultation to the extent that public participation in the approval process would defeat the purpose of the information collection, violate State or Federal law, or substantially interfere with any agency's ability to perform its