

understood and proper actions taken to ensure the continued airworthiness of the fleet.

All the technical aspects of this aging airplane issue need to be studied and understood in order for FAA to return the fleet to an airworthy status. The FAA will review and communicate (at the meeting) the events leading to emergency AD 2004–25–51 and the reasons for our action. The FAA will also provide its expectations for any actions the public may propose to restore the fleet to an airworthy and safe condition.

The meeting will allow the public the opportunity to discuss technical issues and communicate potential corrective actions related to the continued operational safety of the affected airplanes, specifically related to the structural fatigue of critical structure and AD 2004–25–51.

### Public Meeting Procedures

*What procedures should I follow for this public meeting?* If you plan to attend the public meeting, please be aware of the following:

- There is no admission fee or other charge to attend or participate in this meeting. You are responsible for your own transportation and accommodations for the meeting. The meeting is open to all who requested in advance to present or who register on the day of the meeting. This is subject to availability of space in the meeting room.

- FAA representatives will conduct the meeting. We will have a panel of technical experts and managers to discuss information on the subject.

- The public meeting is intended as a forum to seek additional data and supporting methodologies from industry, the general public, and operators. You must limit your presentation and submittals to data of this issue.

- The meeting will allow you to present additional information not currently available to FAA and an opportunity for FAA to explain to you the methodology and technical assumptions that support our conclusions.

- FAA experts, industry, and public participants are expected to hold a full discussion of all technical material presented at the meeting. If you present conclusions on this subject, you must submit data that supports your conclusions.

- We will try and accommodate all speakers. In order to do this, we may need to limit the time for presenters.

- We can make sign and oral interpretation available at the meeting,

as well as an assistive listening device. If you need this assistance, make your request to FAA at least 10 days prior to the public meeting.

- We will review and consider all material presented. Position papers or materials may be accepted at the discretion of the presiding officer. The FAA requests that you provide 10 copies of all materials for distribution to the panel members. You have the choice on whether you want to present copies of the material to the audience.

- The meetings are designed to solicit public views and information. Therefore, we will conduct the meeting in an informal and nonadversarial manner.

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on January 10, 2005.

**Scott L. Sedgwick,**

*Acting Manager, Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.*

[FR Doc. 05–894 Filed 1–14–05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910–13–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Aviation Administration

#### 14 CFR Part 39

**[Docket No. FAA–2005–20024; Directorate Identifier 2004–NM–66–AD]**

**RIN 2120–AA64**

#### **Airworthiness Directives; Boeing Model 747–200C and 747–200F Series Airplanes**

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

**SUMMARY:** The FAA proposes to adopt a new airworthiness directive (AD) for all Boeing Model 747–200C and 747–200F series airplanes. This proposed AD would require repetitive inspections for cracking of the left and right C–3 frame upper closure fittings of the nose cargo door, and corrective actions if necessary. This proposed AD also provides an optional modification that, if done, would terminate inspections in certain areas. This proposed AD is prompted by reports indicating that fatigue cracking was found in the inboard flange above the flight deck floor on the C–3 frame upper closure fittings of the nose cargo door. We are proposing this AD to detect and correct cracking of the C–3 frame upper closure fittings, which could extend and result in rapid depressurization of the airplane.

**DATES:** We must receive comments on this proposed AD by March 4, 2005.

**ADDRESSES:** Use one of the following addresses to submit comments on this proposed AD.

- DOT Docket Web site: Go to <http://dms.dot.gov> and follow the instructions for sending your comments electronically.

- Government-wide rulemaking Web site: Go to <http://www.regulations.gov> and follow the instructions for sending your comments electronically.

- Mail: Docket Management Facility, U.S. Department of Transportation, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Nassif Building, room PL–401, Washington, DC 20590.

- By fax: (202) 493–2251.

- Hand Delivery: Room PL–401 on the plaza level of the Nassif Building, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

For service information identified in this proposed AD, contact Boeing Commercial Airplanes, P.O. Box 3707, Seattle, Washington 98124–2207.

You can examine the contents of this AD docket on the Internet at <http://dms.dot.gov>, or in person at the Docket Management Facility, U.S. Department of Transportation, 400 Seventh Street, SW., room PL–401, on the plaza level of the Nassif Building, Washington, DC.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ivan Li, Aerospace Engineer, Airframe Branch, ANM–120S, FAA, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055–4056; telephone (425) 917–6437; fax (425) 917–6590.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **Comments Invited**

We invite you to submit any written relevant data, views, or arguments regarding this proposed AD. Send your comments to an address listed under **ADDRESSES**. Include “Docket No. FAA–2005–20024; Directorate Identifier 2004–NM–66–AD” in the subject line of your comments. We specifically invite comments on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed AD. We will consider all comments submitted by the closing date and may amend the proposed AD in light of those comments.

We will post all comments we receive, without change, to <http://dms.dot.gov>, including any personal information you provide. We will also post a report summarizing each substantive verbal contact with FAA personnel concerning this proposed AD. Using the search function of that website, anyone can find and read the

website, anyone can find and read the comments in any of our dockets, including the name of the individual who sent the comment (or signed the comment on behalf of an association, business, labor union, etc.). You can review DOT's complete Privacy Act Statement in the **Federal Register** published on April 11, 2000 (65 FR 19477-78), or you can visit <http://dms.dot.gov>.

### Examining the Docket

You can examine the AD docket on the Internet at <http://dms.dot.gov>, or in person at the Docket Management Facility office between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The Docket Management Facility office (telephone (800) 647-5227) is on the plaza level of the Nassif Building at the DOT street address stated in the **ADDRESSES** section. Comments will be available in the AD docket shortly after the DMS receives them.

### Discussion

We have received reports indicating that fatigue cracking was found in the inboard flange above the flight deck floor on the C-3 frame upper closure fittings of the nose cargo door on Boeing Model 747-200F series airplanes. The affected airplanes had accumulated approximately 20,000 to 23,500 total flight cycles. While cracks have been found previously in the C-3 frame upper closure fittings, these reports were of cracks in the inboard flange of a fitting. This condition, if not corrected, could result in the cracks extending, which could result in rapid depressurization of the airplane.

The C-3 frame upper closure fittings of the nose cargo door on Model 747-200C series airplanes are identical to those on Model 747-200F series airplanes. Therefore, these airplanes may be subject to the same unsafe condition.

### Relevant Service Information

We have reviewed Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 747-53A2495, dated December 18, 2003. The service bulletin describes procedures for performing a detailed visual inspection for cracking of the C-3 frame upper closure fittings, including the flight deck floor tang, and corrective actions if necessary. The corrective actions include repairing, or replacing the fitting with a new fitting. The service bulletin describes procedures for repairs; however, if you find cracking that is outside certain limits, the service bulletin recommends that you contact Boeing for instructions for repairing the upper closure fitting, or

replacing it with a new fitting. The compliance times for the initial inspection vary depending on the number of flight cycles that the airplane has accumulated as of the date of the initial release of the service bulletin (December 18, 2003), and whether certain modifications have been accomplished. Accomplishing the actions specified in the service information is intended to adequately address the unsafe condition.

The service bulletin also describes procedures for modifying the upper closure fittings. This modification involves doing an open-hole high frequency eddy current (HFEC) inspection for cracking of certain fastener holes, repairing if necessary, cold-working uncracked fastener holes, and installing new fasteners. If you do this modification, you do not need to continue inspecting the upper part of the closure fitting, though you must continue to inspect the flight deck floor tang.

### Other Relevant Rulemaking

We previously issued AD 91-11-01, amendment 39-6997 (56 FR 22306, May 15, 1991). That AD requires repetitive inspections for cracking of the frame structure and skin in the fuselage Section 41, and repair if necessary. That AD refers to Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 747-53A2265, Revision 7, dated January 25, 1990, as the appropriate source of service information for the required actions. That AD also states that installing new, improved body frame structure in accordance with Boeing Service Bulletin 747-53-2272, dated January 12, 1987, constitutes terminating action for the required repetitive inspections for the structure replaced and other adjacent structure.

### Explanation of Compliance Times

Paragraph (f) of this proposed AD refers to Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 747-53A2495, Figure 1 (for Group 1 and 2 airplanes) or Figure 2 (for Group 3 and 4 airplanes), as applicable, as the source for the compliance time for the initial inspection required by that paragraph (except as discussed under "Differences Between the Proposed AD and Service Bulletin"). For airplanes in Groups 1 and 2 in Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 747-53A2495, the compliance threshold for the initial inspection is based on whether the Zone 7 modification in accordance with Boeing Service Bulletin 747-53-2272 (the optional terminating action provided by AD 91-11-01) has been accomplished. If that modification has not been accomplished, the service bulletin specifies that the inspection in

Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 747-53A2495 must be accomplished at the same time as the next scheduled inspection in accordance with Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 747-53A2265 (which is currently required by AD 91-11-01). If the Zone 7 modification in accordance with Boeing Service Bulletin 747-53-2272 has been accomplished, the service bulletin specifies a compliance threshold of 3,000 flight cycles after the Zone 7 modification was installed. If the applicable threshold has passed, the service bulletin provides a grace period ranging from 250 to 1,000 flight cycles after the initial release of Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 747-53A2495, depending on the number of flight cycles the airplane has accumulated as of the initial release of that service bulletin.

For airplanes in Groups 3 and 4, the service bulletin specifies a compliance time of prior to the accumulation of 16,000 total flight cycles, or within 1,000 flight cycles after the initial release of Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 747-53A2495, whichever is later.

### FAA's Determination and Requirements of the Proposed AD

We have evaluated all pertinent information and identified an unsafe condition that is likely to exist or develop on other airplanes of this same type design. Therefore, we are proposing this AD, which would require the actions in Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 747-53A2495, described previously, except as discussed under "Differences Between the Proposed AD and Service Bulletin."

### Differences Between the Proposed AD and Service Bulletin

Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 747-53A2495 specifies that you may contact the manufacturer for instructions on how to replace or repair any cracked upper closure fitting, but this proposed AD would require you to replace or repair any cracked upper closure fitting in one of the following ways:

- Using a method that we approve; or
- Using data that meet the certification basis of the airplane that have been approved by an Authorized Representative for the Boeing Delegation Option Authorization Organization who has been authorized by the FAA to make those findings.

Where Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 747-53A2495 specifies compliance times relative to the date of the initial release of the service bulletin, this proposed AD would require compliance relative to the effective date of the AD.

Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 747-53A2495 provides the following

information in Note 4 of the Accomplishment Instructions: “For the purposes of this service bulletin, do not count flight-cycles with a cabin pressure differential of 2.0 [pounds per square inch (psi)] or less. However, any flight-cycle with momentary spikes in cabin pressure differential above 2.0 psi must be included as a full-pressure flight-cycle. Cabin pressure records must be maintained for each airplane. Fleet

averaging of cabin pressure is not allowed.” We have determined that an adjustment of flight cycles due to a lower cabin differential pressure is not substantiated and will not be allowed for use in determining the flight cycle threshold for this proposed AD.

**Clarification of Inspection Terminology**

In this proposed AD, the “detailed visual inspection” specified in Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 747-53A2495 is

referred to as a “detailed inspection.” We have included the definition for a detailed inspection in a note in the proposed AD.

**Costs of Compliance**

This proposed AD would affect about 78 airplanes worldwide. The following table provides the estimated costs for U.S. operators to comply with this proposed AD.

**ESTIMATED COSTS**

Action	Work hours	Average labor rate per hour	Parts	Cost per airplane	Number of U.S.-registered airplanes	Fleet cost
Inspection .....	2	\$65	None .....	\$130, per inspection cycle	20	\$2,600, per inspection cycle.

**Authority for this Rulemaking**

Title 49 of the United States Code specifies the FAA’s authority to issue rules on aviation safety. Subtitle I, Section 106, describes the authority of the FAA Administrator. Subtitle VII, Aviation Programs, describes in more detail the scope of the Agency’s authority.

We are issuing this rulemaking under the authority described in Subtitle VII, Part A, Subpart III, Section 44701, “General requirements.” Under that section, Congress charges the FAA with promoting safe flight of civil aircraft in air commerce by prescribing regulations for practices, methods, and procedures the Administrator finds necessary for safety in air commerce. This regulation is within the scope of that authority because it addresses an unsafe condition that is likely to exist or develop on products identified in this rulemaking action.

**Regulatory Findings**

We have determined that this proposed AD would not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132. This proposed AD would not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that the proposed regulation:

1. Is not a “significant regulatory action” under Executive Order 12866;
2. Is not a “significant rule” under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and
3. Will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities

under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

We prepared a regulatory evaluation of the estimated costs to comply with this proposed AD. See the **ADDRESSES** section for a location to examine the regulatory evaluation.

**List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39**

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

**The Proposed Amendment**

Accordingly, under the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the FAA proposes to amend 14 CFR part 39 as follows:

**PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES**

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

**§ 39.13 [Amended]**

2. The FAA amends § 39.13 by adding the following new airworthiness directive (AD):

**Boeing:** Docket No. FAA-2005-20024; Directorate Identifier 2004-NM-66-AD.

**Comments Due Date**

(a) The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) must receive comments on this AD action by March 4, 2005.

**Affected ADs**

(b) None.

**Applicability**

(c) This AD applies to all Boeing Model 747-200C and 747-200F series airplanes, certificated in any category.

**Unsafe Condition**

(d) This AD was prompted by a report that a fatigue crack was found in the inboard flange of the left C-3 frame upper closure

fitting above the flight deck floor. We are issuing this AD to detect and correct cracking of the C-3 frame upper closure fittings, which could extend and result in rapid depressurization of the airplane.

**Compliance**

(e) You are responsible for having the actions required by this AD performed within the compliance times specified, unless the actions have already been done.

**Repetitive Inspections**

(f) Do a detailed inspection of the left and right C-3 frame upper closure fittings of the nose cargo door, including the flight deck floor tang, according to the Accomplishment Instructions of Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 747-53A2495, dated December 18, 2003. Do the initial inspection at the applicable compliance time specified in Figure 1 (Group 1 and 2 airplanes) or 2 (Group 3 and 4 airplanes) of the service bulletin, as applicable; except, where the service bulletin specifies a compliance time relative to the date of the initial release of the service bulletin, this AD requires compliance relative to the effective date of this AD. Repeat the inspection thereafter at intervals not to exceed 3,000 flight cycles, except as provided by paragraph (h) of this AD.

**Note 1:** For the purposes of this AD, a detailed inspection is: “An intensive examination of a specific item, installation, or assembly to detect damage, failure, or irregularity. Available lighting is normally supplemented with a direct source of good lighting at an intensity deemed appropriate. Inspection aids such as mirror, magnifying lenses, etc., may be necessary. Surface cleaning and elaborate procedures may be required.”

**Repair/Replacement**

(g) If any cracking is found during any inspection required by this AD: Before further flight, do applicable repairs or replace the fitting with a new fitting, according to the Accomplishment Instructions of Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 747-53A2495, dated December 18, 2003; except, where the bulletin specifies to contact Boeing for

appropriate action, before further flight, repair in accordance with a method approved by the Manager, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA; or in accordance with data meeting the certification basis of the airplane approved by an Authorized Representative for the Boeing Delegation Option Authorization Organization who the Manager, Seattle ACO, has authorized to make this finding. For a repair method to be approved by the Manager, Seattle ACO, as required by this paragraph, the Manager's approval letter must specifically reference this AD.

#### Optional Modification

(h) Doing all actions associated with the modification of the upper closure fitting, including performing an open-hole high frequency eddy current inspection for cracking of certain fastener holes and all applicable corrective actions; according to Figure 4 of the Accomplishment Instructions of Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 747-53A2495, dated December 18, 2003; terminates the repetitive inspections of the upper part of the upper closure fitting required by paragraph (f) of this AD. However, inspections of the flight deck floor tang must continue, as required by paragraph (f) of this AD.

**Note 2:** There is no terminating action available at this time for the inspections of the flight deck floor tang required by paragraph (f) of this AD.

#### No Threshold Adjustment

(i) While Note 4 of the Accomplishment Instructions of Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 747-53A2495, dated December 18, 2003, provides for adjusting the flight cycle threshold specified in the service bulletin by not counting flight cycles with a cabin pressure differential of 2.0 pounds per square inch or less, this AD does not allow this adjustment.

#### Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs)

(j)(1) The Manager, Seattle ACO, has the authority to approve AMOCs for this AD, if requested in accordance with the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19.

(2) An AMOC that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used for any repair required by this AD, if it is approved by an Authorized Representative for the Boeing Delegation Option Authorization Organization who has been authorized by the Manager, Seattle ACO, to make those findings. For a repair method to be approved, the repair must meet the certification basis of the airplane and the approval must specifically refer to this AD.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on January 7, 2005.

**Ali Bahrami,**

Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate,  
Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 05-900 Filed 1-14-05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-13-P**

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

### 17 CFR Parts 240, 242, and 249

[Release No. 34-51019; File No. S7-39-04]

RIN 3235-AJ33

#### Fair Administration and Governance of Self-Regulatory Organizations; Disclosure and Regulatory Reporting by Self-Regulatory Organizations; Recordkeeping Requirements for Self-Regulatory Organizations; Ownership and Voting Limitations for Members of Self-Regulatory Organizations; Ownership Reporting Requirements for Members of Self-Regulatory Organizations; Listing and Trading of Affiliated Securities by a Self-Regulatory Organization

**AGENCY:** Securities and Exchange Commission.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule; extension of comment period.

**SUMMARY:** The Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") is extending the comment period for a release proposing to adopt new rules and amend existing rules under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 relating to the fair administration, transparency, governance, and ownership of self-regulatory organizations ("SROs"), which was published for comment in the *Federal Register* on December 8, 2004 ("SRO Proposed Rulemaking"). The original comment period would have expired on January 24, 2005. The new extended comment period will expire on March 8, 2005.

**DATES:** Comments should be submitted on or before March 8, 2005.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

#### Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/proposed>); or
- Send an e-mail to [rule-comments@sec.gov](mailto:rule-comments@sec.gov). Please include File Number S7-39-04 on the subject line; or
- Use the Federal eRulemaking Portal (<http://www.regulations.gov>). Follow the instructions for submitting comments.

#### Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Jonathan G. Katz, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549-0609.

All submissions should refer to File Number S7-39-04. This file number

should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help us process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/proposed>). Comments also are available for public inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549. All comments received will be posted without change; we do not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Nancy J. Sanow, Assistant Director, at (202) 942-0796, or Richard Holley III, Attorney, at (202) 942-8086, Division of Market Regulation, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549-1001.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On December 8, 2004, the Commission published for comment the SRO Proposed Rulemaking.<sup>1</sup> These proposed new rules and amendments to existing rules relate to the governance, administration, transparency, and ownership of SROs that are national securities exchanges or registered securities associations, and the periodic reporting of information by these SROs regarding their regulatory programs. The proposals also relate to the listing and trading by SROs of their own or affiliated securities.

The Commission received requests from interested persons to extend the comment period for this release to March 8, 2005, to coincide with the comment period for the Concept Release Concerning Self-Regulation.<sup>2</sup> The Commission believes that extending the comment period for the SRO Proposed Rulemaking is appropriate in order to give the public additional time to comment on the matters the release addresses. Accordingly, the comment period for the SRO Proposed Rulemaking is extended to March 8, 2005.

By the Commission.

Dated: January 11, 2005.

**Jill M. Peterson,**

Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 05-886 Filed 1-14-05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 8010-01-P**

<sup>1</sup> See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 50699 (Nov. 18, 2004), 69 FR 71126 (Dec. 8, 2004).

<sup>2</sup> See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 50700 (Nov. 18, 2004), 69 FR 71256 (Dec. 8, 2004).