Dated: June 1, 2005. **Raymond Simon,** Assistant Secretary for Elementary and Secondary, Education. [FR Doc. 05–11190 Filed 6–3–05; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 4000–01–P** 

#### DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

[Docket No. EA-303]

# Application to Export Electric Energy; Saracen Merchant Energy LP

**AGENCY:** Office Electricity Delivery & Energy Reliability, DOE. **ACTION:** Notice of application.

**SUMMARY:** Saracen Merchant Energy LP (Saracen) has applied for authority to transmit electric energy from the United States to Canada pursuant to section 202(e) of the Federal Power Act.

**DATES:** Comments, protests or requests to intervene must be submitted on or before July 6, 2005.

ADDRESSES: Comments, protests or requests to intervene should be addressed as follows: Office Electricity Delivery & Energy Reliability (Mail Code OE–20), U.S. Department of Energy, 1000 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20585–0350 (FAX 202– 287–5736).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Steven Mintz (Program Office) 202–586– 2793 or Michael Skinker (Program Attorney) 202–586–2793.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Exports of electricity from the United States to a foreign country are regulated and require authorization under section 202(e) of the Federal Power Act (FPA) (16 U.S.C. 824a(e)).

On May 4, 2005, the Department of Energy (DOE) received an application from Saracen to transmit electric energy from the United States to Canada. Saracen is a Texas limited partnership with its principal place of business in Houston, TX. Saracen has requested an electricity export authorization with a 5year term. Saracen does not own or control any transmission or distribution assets, nor does it have a franchised service area. The electric energy which Saracen proposes to export to Canada would be purchased from electric utilities and Federal power marketing agencies within the U.S.

Saracen proposes to arrange for the delivery of electric energy to Canada over the existing international transmission facilities owned by Basin Electric Power Cooperative, Bonneville Power Administration, Eastern Maine Electric Cooperative, International Transmission Company, Joint Owners of

the Highgate Project, Long Sault, Inc., Maine Electric Power Company, Maine Public Service Company, Minnesota Power Inc., Minnkota Power Cooperative, New York Power Authority, Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation, Northern States Power, Vermont Electric Company, and Vermont Electric Transmission Company. The construction, operation, maintenance, and connection of each of the international transmission facilities to be utilized by Rainbow, as more fully described in the application, has previously been authorized by a Presidential permit issued pursuant to Executive Order 10485, as amended.

Procedural Matters: Any person desiring to become a party to this proceeding or to be heard by filing comments or protests to this application should file a petition to intervene, comment or protest at the address provided above in accordance with §§ 385.211 or 385.214 of the FERC's Rules of Practice and Procedures (18 CFR 385.211, 385.214). Fifteen copies of each petition and protest should be filed with DOE on or before the date listed above.

Comments on the Saracen application to export electric energy to Canada should be clearly marked with Docket EA–303. Additional copies are to be filed directly with Dede Russo, General Counsel, Saracen Energy Partners, LP, 2001 Hermann Drive, Suite 100, Houston, TX 77004 *and* Daniel E. Frank, Sutherland Asbill & Brennan LLP, 1275 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20004–2415.

A final decision will be made on this application after the environmental impacts have been evaluated pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, and a determination is made by the DOE that the proposed action will not adversely impact on the reliability of the U.S. electric power supply system.

Copies of this application will be made available, upon request, for public inspection and copying at the address provided above or by accessing the program's Home Page at *http:// www.fe.doe.gov.* Upon reaching the Home page, select "Electricity Regulation," and then "Pending Proceedings" from the options menus.

Issued in Washington, DC, on May 27, 2005.

#### Anthony J. Como,

Senior Advisor to the Director for Regulatory Programs, Office of Electricity Delivery & Energy Reliability.

[FR Doc. 05–11156 Filed 6–3–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6450–01–P

# DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

#### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. EG05-65-0000, et al.]

# Alpena Power Generation, L.L.C., et al.; Electric Rate and Corporate Filings

May 26, 2005.

The following filings have been made with the Commission. The filings are listed in ascending order within each docket classification.

# 1. Alpena Power Generation, L.L.C.

[Docket No. EG05-65-000]

Take notice that on May 5, 2005. Alpena Power Generation, L.L.C., (Alpena Generation) located at 310 North Second Avenue, Alpena, Michigan 49707, filed with the Commission an application for determination of exempt wholesale generator status pursuant to part 365 of the Commission's regulations. Alpena Generation states that it is a Michigan limited liability corporation located in the City of Alpena in Alpena County, Michigan, that owns and operates 30 diesel generators with an aggregate capacity rating of 54.6 mega-watts. Alpena Generation further states that all of the electric energy produced by the Facilities will be sole wholesale.

*Comment Date:* 5 p.m. on June 6, 2005.

# 2. Central Hudson Gas & Electric Corporation; Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation

[Docket Nos. ER97–1523–085, OA97–470–077, ER97–4234–075, and OA96–194–013]

Take notice that on May 23, 2005, Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation, (Niagara Mohawk) a National Grid Company, submitted a revision to its April 12, 2005 compliance filing in Docket Nos. ER97–1523–084, OA97– 470–076, OA97–470–074, and OA96– 194–012. Niagara Mohawk states that its revised compliance filing was submitted to correct certain errors in its original compliance filing.

*Comment Date:* 5 p.m. on June 13, 2005.

# 3. Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc., Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc., Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc., Ameren Services Co., et al.

[Docket Nos. ER05–6–024, EL04–135–026, EL02–111–044, and EL03–212–040]

Take notice that on May 23, 2005, the Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc. (Midwest ISO) and Midwest ISO Transmission Owners (collectively Applicants) jointly submitted for filing revisions to Schedule 22 of the Midwest ISO Open Access Transmission and Energy Markets Tariff in compliance with the Commission's November 18, 2004 order in Docket Nos. ER05-6, EL04-135, EL02–111, and EL03–212, Midwest Indep. Transmission Sys. Operator, Inc. 109 FERC ¶ 61,168 (2004) to reflect recent revisions in the PIM Interconnection, L.L.C. (PJM) transmission owners lost revenues as shown for the first time in the PJM transmission owners' April 29, 2005 filing, in Docket Nos. ER05-6-21; EL04-135-023; EL02-111-041; and EL03-212-037.

Applicants state that copies of the filing were served on the parties on the official service list in the abovecaptioned proceeding.

*Comment Date:* 5 p.m. on June 6, 2005.

# 4. Astoria Energy LLC

[Docket No. ER01-3103-009]

Take notice that on May 23, 2005, Astoria Energy LLC (Astoria) submitted for filing a supplemental application pursuant to section 205 of the Federal Power Act for: (1) the triennial renewal of its market-based rate authority and (2) the acceptance of a modification to its sole rate schedule to comply with Order No. 652. Astoria requests an effective date of March 6, 2005.

*Comment Date:* 5 p.m. on June 13, 2005.

#### 5. Bridgeport Energy, LLC

[Docket No. ER05-611-001]

Take notice that on May 20, 2005, Bridgeport Energy, LLC (Bridgeport) tendered for filing its responses to the April 20, 2005 deficiency letter from the Commission requesting additional information to assist in its decision on the cost-of-service agreement between Bridgeport and ISO–New England, Inc. (ISO–NE) filed February 18, 2005. Bridgeport states that the cost-of-service agreement compensates Bridgeport for the provision of reliability services to ISO-NE.

*Comment Date:* 5 p.m. on June 13, 2005.

#### 6. Southwest Power Pool, Inc.

[Docket Nos. ER05–652–002, RT04–1–013, ER04–48–013, and ER05–109–002]

Take notice that on May 23, 2005, Southwest Power Pool, Inc. (SPP) submitted a compliance filing providing for revisions to its Open Access Transmission Tariff (OATT) pursuant to the Commission's order issued April 22, 2005, in *Southwest Power Pool, Inc., 111*  *FERC*  $\P$  61,118. SPP requests an effective date of May 5, 2005.

SPP states that it has served a copy of its transmittal letter on each of its Members and Customers. SPP further states that a complete copy of this filing will be posted on the SPP Web site *http://www.spp.org*, and is also being served all affected state commissions.

Comment Date: 5 p.m. on June 13, 2005.

# 7. Southwest Power Pool, Inc.

#### [Docket No. ER05-666-002]

Take notice that on May 23, 2005, Southwest Power Pool, Inc. (SPP) submitted additional information pursuant to the Commission's deficiency letter issued April 21, 2005, in Docket No. ER05–666–000. Specificially, SPP submitted a response to several inquiries by the Commission regarding the proposed liability and indemnification provisions of SPP's March 1, 2005, filing in this proceeding.

SPP states that it has served a copy of this filing upon each of the parties to this proceeding.

*Comment Date:* 5 p.m. on June 13, 2005.

#### 8. Aquila, Inc.

[Docket Nos. ER05–999–000, ER05–1000– 000, ER05–1001–000, ER05–1002–000, ER05–1003–000, ER05–1004–000, ER05– 1005–000, ER05–1006–000, ER05–1007–000, and ER05–1008–000]

Take notice that on May 23, 2005, Aquila, Inc. (Aquila), filed with the Commission, pursuant to section 205 of the Federal Power Act, 16 U.S.C. 824d, and part 35 of the Commission's regulations, 18 CFR part 35, Incremental Energy Agreements with the Cities of Ashland, Attica, Greensburg, Hoisington, Kingman, Lincoln, Osborne, Pratt, Stockton, and Washington, Kansas. Aquila requests an effective date of June 1, 2005.

Comment Date: 5 p.m. on June 13, 2005.

## 9. PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.

[Docket No. ER05-1010-001]

Take notice that on May 25, 2005, PJM Interconnection, L.L.C. (PJM) submitted an amendment to its May 23, 2005, filing in Docket No. ER05–1010– 000 by submitting an unexecuted substitute interconnection service agreement among PJM, Neptune Regional Transmission System, L.L.C. and Jersey Central Power & Light Company a FirstEnergy company. PJM requests an effective date of May 20, 2005.

PJM states that copies of this filing were served upon the parties to the

agreements and the state regulatory commissions within the PJM region. *Comment Date:* 5 p.m. on June 3, 2005.

# 10. Public Service Company of New Mexico

[Docket No. ER05-1015-000]

Take notice that on April 28, 2005, the Public Service Company of New Mexico (PNM) tendered for filing a Notice of Cancellation of Supplement No. 1 (Service Schedule A) to the Interconnection Agreement of July 19, 1966, between El Paso Electric Company and PNM (PNM Rate Schedule FERC No. 9). Included in the filing are revised sheets to PNM's Rate Schedule FERC No. 9 to reflect the cancellation of Service Schedule A of the Interconnection Agreement. PNM requests an effective date of June 30, 2005.

PNM states that copies of the filing have been served on El Paso Electric Company, the New Mexico Public Regulation Commission, and the New Mexico Attorney General. PNM also states that copies of the filing are available for public inspection at PNM's offices in Albuquerque, New Mexico.

*Comment Date:* 5 p.m. on June 1, 2005.

#### **Standard Paragraph**

Any person desiring to intervene or to protest in any of the above proceedings must file in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 385.211 and 385.214) on or before 5 p.m. eastern time on the specified comment date. It is not necessary to separately intervene again in a subdocket related to a compliance filing if you have previously intervened in the same docket. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Anyone filing a motion to intervene or protest must serve a copy of that document on the Applicant. In reference to filings initiating a new proceeding, interventions or protests submitted on or before the comment deadline need not be served on persons other than the Applicant.

The Commission encourages electronic submission of protests and interventions in lieu of paper, using the FERC Online links at *http:// www.ferc.gov.* To facilitate electronic service, persons with Internet access who will eFile a document and/or be listed as a contact for an intervenor must create and validate an eRegistration account using the eRegistration link. Select the eFiling link to long on and submit the intervention or protests.

Persons unable to file electronically should submit an original and 14 copies of the intervention or protests to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426.

The filings in the above proceedings are accessible in the Commission's eLibrary system by clicking on the appropriate link in the above list. They are also available to review in the Commission's Public Reference Room in Washington, DC. There is an eSubscription link on the Web site that enables subscribers to receive e-mail notification when a document is added to a subscribed docket(s). For assistance with any FERC Online service, please email *FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov* or call (866) 208–3676 (toll free). For TYY, call (202) 502–8659.

#### Linda Mitry,

Deputy Secretary. [FR Doc. E5–2869 Filed 6–3–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[OPP-2005-0152; FRL-7717-9]

#### The Association of American Pesticide Control Officials (AAPCO)/ State FIFRA Issues Research and Evaluation Group (SFIREG); Notice of Public Meeting

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). **ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Association of American Pesticide Control Officials (AAPCO)/ State FIFRA Issues Research and Evaluation Group (SFIREG) will hold a 2–day meeting, beginning on June 27, 2005, and ending June 28, 2005. This notice announces the location and times for the meeting and sets forth the tentative agenda topics.

DATES: The meeting will be held on Monday, June 27, 2005, from 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m.; and on Tuesday, June 28, 2005, from 8:30 a.m. to noon. ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held at the Doubletree Hotel, 300 Army Navy Drive, Arlington, VA.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Georgia A. McDuffie, Field and External Affairs Division (7506C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460– 0001; telephone number: (703) 605– 0195; fax number: (703) 308–1850; email address: *mcduffie.georgia@epa.gov*, or Philip H. Gray, SFIREG Executive Secretary, P.O. Box 1249, Hardwick, VT 05843–1249; telephone number: (802) 472–6956; fax number: (802) 472–6957; e-mail address:

aapco@plainfield.bypass.com.

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### I. General Information

A. Does this Action Apply to Me?

You may be potentially affected by this action if you are interested in the SFIREG information exchange relationship with EPA regarding important issues related to human health, environmental exposure to pesticides, and insight into EPA's decision-making process. All interested parties are invited and encouraged to attend the meetings and participate as appropriate. Potentially affected entities may include, but are not limited to:

Those persons who are or may be required to conduct testing of chemical substances under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FFDCA), or the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA). Since other entities may also be interested, the Agency has not attempted to describe all the specific entities that may be affected by this action. If you have any questions regarding the applicability of this action to a particular entity, consult the persons listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.

B. How Can I Get Copies of this Document and Other Related Information?

1. Docket. EPA has established an official public docket for this action under docket identification (ID) number OPP-2005-0152. The official public docket consists of the documents specifically referenced in this action, any public comments received, and other information related to this action. Although a part of the official docket. the public docket does not include Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. The official public docket is the collection of materials that is available for public viewing at the Public Information and Records Integrity Branch (PIRIB), Rm. 119, Crystal Mall #2, 1801 S. Bell St., Arlington, VA. This docket facility is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The docket telephone number is (703) 305–5805.

2. *Electronic access*. You may access this **Federal Register** document electronically through the EPA Internet under the "**Federal Register**" listings at *http://www.epa.gov/fedrgstr/*.

An electronic version of the public docket is available through EPA's electronic public docket and comment system, EPA Dockets. You may use EPA Dockets at http://www.epa.gov/edocket/ to view public comments, access the index listing of the contents of the official public docket, and to access those documents in the public docket that are available electronically. Although not all docket materials may be available electronically, you may still access any of the publicly available docket materials through the docket facility identified in Unit I.B.1. Once in the system, select "search," then key in the appropriate docket ID number.

#### **II. Tentative Agenda**

1. OPP measures process.

2. National Worker Protection Standard (WPS) Assessment Report.

3. OPP report on the Pesticide Safety Education Program (PSEP) Review Report.

- 4. Pesticide container recycling.
- 5. Mosquito misters.
- 6. Grant guidance and funding.
- 7. Label review comments from
- SFIREG.

8. Section 18 process: Developing efficiencies in the process with national emergencies.

9. Section 18: Resistance management challenges.

10. Worker exposure: How risk is determined drift and volatilization: Secondary exposure.

- 11. Consumer labeling initiative.
- 12. Fumigant cluster.
- 13. Plant Incorporated Protectants

(PIPs): Revisions to position paper.

14. Office of Pesticide Programs and Office of Enforcement and Compliance Assurance reports.

15. E-Labeling.

#### List of Subjects

Environmental protection.

Dated: May 26, 2005.

#### Jay Ellenberger,

Director, Field and External Affairs Division, Office of Pesticide Programs.

[FR Doc. 05–11164 Filed 6–3–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–S

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

#### [FRL-7921-4] [E-Docket ID No. Ord-2005-0021]

# Workshop to Peer Review Proposed Indicators for the U.S. EPA's 2006 Report on the Environment

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency.