(4) For engines with 2,500 or less hours of operating time, before it accumulates 4,000 hours of operating time, but not later than September 30, 2008, inspect LP compressor fan blades and replace any blade that is found to be under-minimum material condition.

## **Previous Credit**

(f) Inspection of the fan blades for an under-minimum material condition done before the effective date of this AD that used P&WC ASB PW300–72–A24588, dated August 24, 2007; or Revision 1, dated October 26, 2007; or P&WC SB PW300–72–24595, dated October 26, 2007; or Revision 1, dated November 28, 2007, comply with the requirements specified in this AD.

#### Other FAA AD Provisions

- (g) The following provisions also apply to this AD:
- (1) Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs): The Manager, Engine Certification Office, FAA, has the authority to approve AMOCs for this AD, if requested using the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19.
- (2) Special Flight Permits: We are limiting Special Flight Permits to one repositioning maintenance flight to facilitate the subject inspection.

#### **Related Information**

- (h) Refer to Transport Canada Airworthiness Directive CF–2008–08R1, dated March 18, 2008; P&WC ASB PW300– 72–A24588, Revision 2, dated November 27, 2007; and P&WC SB PW300–72–24595, Revision 1, dated November 28, 2007, for related information.
- (i) Contact Ian Dargin, Aerospace Engineer, Engine Certification Office, FAA, Engine & Propeller Directorate, 12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, MA 01803; e-mail: ian.dargin@faa.gov; telephone (781) 238–7178; fax (781) 238–7199, for more information about this AD.

## Material Incorporated by Reference

- (j) You must use Pratt & Whitney Canada Corp. Alert Service Bulletin PW300–72– A24588, Revision 2, dated November 27, 2007, to do the actions required by this AD, unless the AD specifies otherwise.
- (1) The Director of the Federal Register approved the incorporation by reference of this service information under 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51.
- (2) For service information identified in this AD, contact Pratt & Whitney Canada Corp., 1000 Marie-Victorin, Longueuil, Quebec, Canada J4G 1A1, telephone: (800) 268–8000.
- (3) You may review copies at the FAA, New England Region, 12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, MA; or at the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA). For information on the availability of this material at NARA, call (202) 741–6030, or go to: http://www.archives.gov/federal-register/cfr/ibrlocations.html.

Issued in Burlington, Massachusetts, on June 13, 2008.

#### Peter A. White,

Assistant Manager, Engine and Propeller Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service. [FR Doc. E8–13854 Filed 6–24–08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

#### **Federal Aviation Administration**

## 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. FAA-2008-0360; Directorate Identifier 2007-NM-368-AD; Amendment 39-15570; AD 2008-13-07]

#### RIN 2120-AA64

# Airworthiness Directives; Bombardier Model DHC-8-400 Series Airplanes

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** We are adopting a new airworthiness directive (AD) for the products listed above. This AD results from mandatory continuing airworthiness information (MCAI) originated by an aviation authority of another country to identify and correct an unsafe condition on an aviation product. The MCAI describes the unsafe condition as:

Several production aircraft have been found with the elevator overload bungees installed in reverse orientation: i.e., larger end outboard rather than inboard. This bungee reversal does not impact normal operation of the elevator, and would not increase the probability of an elevator disconnect. However, if a bungee became disconnected at the inboard side, the corresponding side of the elevator may not center, and this could adversely affect the pitch control of the aircraft.

Loss of elevator pitch control could result in reduced controllability of the airplane. We are issuing this AD to require actions to correct the unsafe condition on these products.

**DATES:** This AD becomes effective July 30, 2008.

The Director of the Federal Register approved the incorporation by reference of a certain publication listed in this AD as of July 30, 2008.

ADDRESSES: You may examine the AD docket on the Internet at http://www.regulations.gov or in person at the U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Operations, M-30, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12-140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Fabio Buttitta, Aerospace Engineer, Systems and Flight Test Branch, ANE– 172, FAA, New York Aircraft Certification Office, 1600 Stewart Avenue, Suite 410, Westbury, New York 11590; telephone (516) 228–7303; fax (516) 794–5531.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Discussion

We issued a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) to amend 14 CFR part 39 to include an AD that would apply to the specified products. That NPRM was published in the **Federal Register** on March 28, 2008 (73 FR 16577). That NPRM proposed to correct an unsafe condition for the specified products. The MCAI states:

Several production aircraft have been found with the elevator overload bungees installed in reverse orientation: i.e., larger end outboard rather than inboard. This bungee reversal does not impact normal operation of the elevator, and would not increase the probability of an elevator disconnect. However, if a bungee became disconnected at the inboard side, the corresponding side of the elevator may not center, and this could adversely affect the pitch control of the aircraft.

Loss of elevator pitch control could result in reduced controllability of the airplane. Corrective action includes a visual inspection for correct installation of the elevator overload bungees, reinstallation if necessary, and installation of labels to the elevator overload bungees. You may obtain further information by examining the MCAI in the AD docket.

### Comments

We gave the public the opportunity to participate in developing this AD. We received no comments on the NPRM or on the determination of the cost to the public.

### Conclusion

We reviewed the available data and determined that air safety and the public interest require adopting the AD as proposed.

# Differences Between This AD and the MCAI or Service Information

We have reviewed the MCAI and related service information and, in general, agree with their substance. But we might have found it necessary to use different words from those in the MCAI to ensure the AD is clear for U.S. operators and is enforceable. In making these changes, we do not intend to differ substantively from the information

provided in the MCAI and related service information.

We might also have required different actions in this AD from those in the MCAI in order to follow our FAA policies. Any such differences are highlighted in a NOTE within the AD.

### **Costs of Compliance**

We estimate that this AD will affect 38 products of U.S. registry. We also estimate that it will take 1 work-hour per product to comply with the basic requirements of this AD. The average labor rate is \$80 per work-hour. Required parts will cost about \$36 per product. Where the service information lists required parts costs that are covered under warranty, we have assumed that there will be no charge for these parts. As we do not control warranty coverage for affected parties, some parties may incur costs higher than estimated here. Based on these figures, we estimate the cost of this AD to the U.S. operators to be \$4,408, or \$116 per product.

### **Authority for This Rulemaking**

Title 49 of the United States Code specifies the FAA's authority to issue rules on aviation safety. Subtitle I, section 106, describes the authority of the FAA Administrator. "Subtitle VII: Aviation Programs," describes in more detail the scope of the Agency's authority.

We are issuing this rulemaking under the authority described in "Subtitle VII, Part A, Subpart III, Section 44701: General requirements." Under that section, Congress charges the FAA with promoting safe flight of civil aircraft in air commerce by prescribing regulations for practices, methods, and procedures the Administrator finds necessary for safety in air commerce. This regulation is within the scope of that authority because it addresses an unsafe condition that is likely to exist or develop on products identified in this rulemaking action.

## **Regulatory Findings**

We determined that this AD will not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132. This AD will not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify this AD:

1. Is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866;

- 2. Is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and
- 3. Will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

We prepared a regulatory evaluation of the estimated costs to comply with this AD and placed it in the AD docket.

#### **Examining the AD Docket**

You may examine the AD docket on the Internet at http://www.regulations.gov; or in person at the Docket Operations office between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The AD docket contains the NPRM, the regulatory evaluation, any comments received, and other information. The street address for the Docket Operations office (telephone (800) 647–5527) is in the ADDRESSES section. Comments will be available in the AD docket shortly after receipt.

#### List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

## Adoption of the Amendment

■ Accordingly, under the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the FAA amends 14 CFR part 39 as follows:

# PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

■ 1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

## § 39.13 [Amended]

■ 2. The FAA amends § 39.13 by adding the following new AD:

2008–13–07 Bombardier, Inc. (Formerly de Havilland, Inc.): Amendment 39–15570. Docket No. FAA–2008–0360; Directorate Identifier 2007–NM–368–AD.

#### **Effective Date**

(a) This airworthiness directive (AD) becomes effective July 30, 2008.

## Affected ADs

(b) None

#### Applicability

(c) This AD applies to Bombardier Model DHC-8-400, DHC-8-401, and DHC-8-402 airplanes; certificated in any category; having serial numbers 4003 and subsequent.

#### Subject

(d) Air Transport Association (ATA) of America Code 27: Flight controls.

#### Reason

(e) The mandatory continuing airworthiness information (MCAI) states:

Several production aircraft have been found with the elevator overload bungees installed in reverse orientation: i.e., larger end outboard rather than inboard. This bungee reversal does not impact normal operation of the elevator, and would not increase the probability of an elevator disconnect. However, if a bungee became disconnected at the inboard side, the corresponding side of the elevator may not center, and this could adversely affect the pitch control of the aircraft.

Loss of elevator pitch control could result in reduced controllability of the airplane. Corrective action includes a visual inspection for correct installation of the elevator overload bungees, reinstallation if necessary, and installation of labels to the elevator overload bungees.

### **Actions and Compliance**

- (f) For airplanes having serial numbers 4003, 4004, 4006, and 4008 through 4159: unless already done, do the following actions.
- (1) Within 5,000 flight hours after the effective date of this AD: Visually inspect both left and right elevator overload bungees, part number (P/N) FE289000000, to determine if they are correctly installed, in accordance with Bombardier Service Bulletin 84–27–30, Revision 'C,' dated October 31, 2007. If any bungee is found installed incorrectly, remove the bungee and re-install it correctly before the next flight in accordance with the service bulletin.
- (2) Within 5,000 flight hours after the effective date of this AD: Attach label, P/N FE289006200, to both left and right elevator overload bunges to show the correct orientation of the outboard end in accordance with Bombardier Service Bulletin 84–27–30, Revision 'C,' dated October 31, 2007.
- (3) Within 5,000 flight hours after the effective date of this AD: Re-identify the P/N to read "FE289000001" on the identification plate of both the left and right elevator overload bungees in accordance with Bombardier Service Bulletin 84–27–30, Revision 'C,' dated October 31, 2007.
- (4) Actions accomplished before the effective date of this AD in accordance with Bombardier Service Bulletin 84–27–27, dated May 24, 2005, are acceptable for compliance with the corresponding actions specified in paragraphs (f)(1), (f)(2), and (f)(3) of this AD.
- (5) Actions accomplished before the effective date of this AD in accordance with Bombardier Service Bulletin 84–27–30, dated February 8, 2007; Revision 'A,' dated March 2, 2007; or Revision 'B,' dated May 3, 2007; are acceptable for compliance with the corresponding actions specified in this AD.

**Note 1:** Paragraphs (f)(2) and (f)(3) of this AD constitute Modsum 4–113537.

(g) For all airplanes: As of the effective date of this AD, no replacement/spare elevator overload bungees, P/N FE289000000, are permitted to be installed on any airplane. Only elevator overload bungees identified with new P/N

"FE289000001" on the identification plate are permitted to be installed.

#### **FAA AD Differences**

**Note 2:** This AD differs from the MCAI and/or service information as follows: No differences.

#### Other FAA AD Provisions

- (h) The following provisions also apply to this AD:
- (1) Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs): The Manager, New York Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA, has the authority to approve AMOCs for this AD, if requested using the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19. Send information to ATTN: Fabio Buttitta, Aerospace Engineer, Systems and Flight Test Branch, ANE-172, FAA, New York ACO, 1600 Stewart Avenue, Suite 410, Westbury, New York 11590; telephone (516) 228-7303; fax (516) 794-5531. Before using any approved AMOC on any airplane to which the AMOC applies, notify your appropriate principal inspector (PI) in the FAA Flight Standards District Office (FSDO), or lacking a PI, your local FSDO.
- (2) Airworthy Product: For any requirement in this AD to obtain corrective actions from a manufacturer or other source, use these actions if they are FAA-approved. Corrective actions are considered FAA-approved if they are approved by the State of Design Authority (or their delegated agent). You are required to assure the product is airworthy before it is returned to service.
- (3) Reporting Requirements: For any reporting requirement in this AD, under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act, the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) has approved the information collection requirements and has assigned OMB Control Number 2120–0056.

#### **Related Information**

(i) Refer to MCAI Canadian Airworthiness Directive CF–2007–30, dated November 28, 2007; and Bombardier Service Bulletin 84– 27–30, Revision 'C,' dated October 31, 2007; for related information.

## **Material Incorporated by Reference**

- (j) You must use Bombardier Service Bulletin 84–27–30, Revision 'C,' dated October 31, 2007, to do the actions required by this AD, unless the AD specifies otherwise.
- (1) The Director of the Federal Register approved the incorporation by reference of this service information under 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51.
- (2) For service information identified in this AD, contact Bombardier, Inc., Bombardier Regional Aircraft Division, 123 Garratt Boulevard, Downsview, Ontario M3K 1Y5, Canada.
- (3) You may review copies at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA). For information on the availability of this material at NARA, call (202) 741–6030, or go to: http://www.archives.gov/federal-register/cfr/ibrlocations.html.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on June 7, 2008.

#### Michael Kaszycki,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service. [FR Doc. E8–13921 Filed 6–24–08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

## **Federal Aviation Administration**

#### 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. FAA-2008-0182; Directorate Identifier 2007-NM-262-AD; Amendment 39-15577; AD 2008-13-14]

#### RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Empresa Brasileira de Aeronautica S.A. (EMBRAER) Model EMB-135ER, -135KE, -135KL, and -135LR Airplanes, and Model EMB-145, -145ER, -145MR, -145LR, -145MR, -145EP Airplanes

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

**ACTION:** Final rule.

SUMMARY: We are adopting a new airworthiness directive (AD) for the products listed above. This AD results from mandatory continuing airworthiness information (MCAI) originated by an aviation authority of another country to identify and correct an unsafe condition on an aviation product. The MCAI describes the unsafe condition as:

Fuel system reassessment, performed according to RBHA–E88/SFAR–88 (Regulamento Brasileiro de Homologacao Aeronautica 88/Special Federal Aviation Regulation No. 88), requires the inclusion of new maintenance tasks in the Critical Design Configuration Control Limitations (CDCCL) and in the Fuel System Limitations (FSL), necessary to preclude ignition sources in the fuel system. \* \* \*

We are issuing this AD to require actions to correct the unsafe condition on these products.

**DATES:** This AD becomes effective July 30, 2008.

The Director of the Federal Register approved the incorporation by reference of a certain publication listed in this AD as of July 30, 2008.

ADDRESSES: You may examine the AD docket on the Internet at http://www.regulations.gov or in person at the U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Operations, M-30, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12-140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Sanjay Ralhan, Aerospace Engineer, International Branch, ANM-116, Transport Airplane Directorate, FAA, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98057-3356; telephone (425) 227-1405; fax (425) 227-1149.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Discussion

We issued a supplemental notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) to amend 14 CFR part 39 to include an AD that would apply to the specified products. That supplemental NPRM was published in the **Federal Register** on May 7, 2008 (73 FR 25609). That supplemental NPRM proposed to correct an unsafe condition for the specified products. The MCAI states:

Fuel system reassessment, performed according to RBHA–E88/SFAR–88, requires the inclusion of new maintenance tasks in the Critical Design Configuration Control Limitations (CDCCL) and in the Fuel System Limitations (FSL), necessary to preclude ignition sources in the fuel system. \* \* \*

The corrective action is revising the Airworthiness Limitations Section (ALS) of the Instructions for Continued Airworthiness (ICA) to incorporate new limitations for fuel tank systems. You may obtain further information by examining the MCAI in the AD docket.

#### Comments

We gave the public the opportunity to participate in developing this AD. We considered the comments received.

## **Request To Revise Inspections**

ExpressJet requests that we revise two tasks, "28–41–01–720–001–A00 and 28–41–01–720–A00," specified in Table 1 of the supplemental NPRM. The commenter states that these tasks are related to a functional check of the component rather than the aircraft system. The commenter suggests that we identify these two components by part number and require the inspections be done before the part accumulates 10,000 flight hours since new or 10,000 flight hours since the last functional check.

We agree with the commenter that tasks 28–41–01–720–001–A00 and 28–41–04–720–001–A00 are related to a functional check of the component rather than the aircraft system (the commenter referred to task 28–41–01–720–A00, which is not listed in Table 1; we infer that the commenter intended to refer to task 28–41–04–720–001–A00). Prior to the commenter submitting its comment, the commenter raised the issue during a visit by the FAA. Since then we have discussed the issue with the manufacturer and with the Agência Nacional de Aviação Civil (ANAC),