publish a timely withdrawal of the direct final rule and address the comments in subsequent action based on this proposed rule.

Please note that if we receive adverse comment on an amendment, paragraph, or section of this rule and if that provision may be severed from the remainder of the rule, we may adopt as final those provisions of the rule that are not the subject of an adverse comment.

We do not plan to open a second comment period, so anyone interested in commenting should do so at this time. If we do not receive adverse comments, no further activity is planned. For further information, please see the direct final action.

Dated: September 2, 2008.

Jane Diamond,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region IX. [FR Doc. E8–25311 Filed 10–23–08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560-50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 63

[EPA-HQ-OAR-2008-0008; FRL-8733-2]

RIN 2060-AO91

National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutant Emissions: Group I Polymers and Resins (Epichlorohydrin Elastomers Production, HypalonTM Production, Nitrile Butadiene Rubber Production, and Styrene Butadiene Rubber and Latex Production); Marine Vessel Loading Operations; Mineral Wool Production; Pharmaceuticals Production; and Printing and Publishing Industry; Correction

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule; correction.

SUMMARY: This document corrects the preamble to a proposed rule published in the Federal Register of October 10, 2008, regarding the National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutant Emissions: Group I Polymers and Resins (Epichlorohydrin Elastomers Production, Hypalon[™] Production, Nitrile Butadiene Rubber Production, Polybutadiene Rubber Production, and Styrene Butadiene Rubber and Latex Production); Marine Vessel Loading **Operations; Mineral Wool Production;** Pharmaceuticals Production; and Printing and Publishing Industry. This correction clarifies the date of the public hearing (if a public hearing is requested).

DATES: If a public hearing is held, it will be held on October 27, 2008.

ADDRESSES: If a public hearing is held, it will begin at 10 a.m. and will be held at EPA's campus in Research Triangle Park, North Carolina, or at an alternate facility nearby.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For questions about this proposed action, contact Ms. Mary Tom Kissell, Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards, Sector Policies and Programs Division, Coatings and Chemicals Group (E143– 01), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, NC 27711; telephone number: (919) 541– 4516; fax number: (919) 685–3219; and *e-mail address: kissell.mary@epa.gov.*

Correction

If a public hearing is held, it will be held on October 27, 2008, beginning at 10 a.m. If a public hearing is held, it will be held at EPA's campus in Research Triangle Park, North Carolina, or at an alternate facility nearby.

Dated: October 16, 2008.

Robert J. Meyers,

Principal Deputy Assistant Administrator. [FR Doc. E8–25514 Filed 10–23–08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

48 CFR Part 1852

RIN 2700-AD49

Protection of the Florida Manatee

AGENCY: National Aeronautics and Space Administration. **ACTION:** Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: NASA proposes to revise the NASA FAR Supplement (NFS) to update the Protection of the Florida Manatee clause (NFS 1852.247–71) to reflect current technical requirements and organizational points of contact in order to ensure that information essential to protecting the endangered manatee will be properly conveyed to contractors working on-site at NASA Kennedy Space Center (KSC).

DATES: *Comment Date:* Interested parties should submit comments on or before December 23, 2008 to be considered in formulation of the final rule.

ADDRESSES: Interested parties may submit comments to include any comments relative to the cost associated with complying with this requirement, identified by RIN number 2700–AD49, via the Federal eRulemaking Portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the instructions for submitting comments. Comments may also be submitted to Bill Roets, NASA Headquarters, Office of Procurement, Contract Management Division, Washington, DC 20546. Comments may also be submitted by email to *william.roets-1@nasa.gov*.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Bill Roets, NASA, Office of Procurement, Contract Management Division (Suite 5K77); (202) 358–4483; *e-mail: william.roets-1@nasa.gov.*

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

A. Background

Under the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (Pub. L. 93-205), as amended, and the Marine Mammals Protection Act of 1972 (Pub. L. 92-522), as amended, the Florida Manatee was designated as an endangered species and the Indian River Lagoon system within and adjacent to NASA's KSC has been designated as a critical habitat for the Florida Manatee. In order to ensure compliance with these two acts, the NFS clause 1852.247-71, Protection of the Florida Manatee, was developed and implemented. This clause is required in NASA solicitations and contracts when deliveries or vessel operations, dockside work, or disassembly functions under the contract will involve the use of these waterways inhabited by the Manatees. The clause requires that contractors ensure that all employees and subcontractors are aware of the applicable Federal regulations relative to protecting the Florida Manatee while working in this critical habitat area. Contractors are also required to obtain the applicable Federal and/or state permits and notify and coordinate all water related activities at KSC with the Environmental Management Branch. NASA is proposing to revise this clause to ensure that accurate, current requirements and information essential to protecting the Florida Manatee are properly conveyed to NASA KSC contractors in a concise manner.

This is not a significant regulatory action and, therefore, is not subject to review under Section 6(b) of Executive Order 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review, dated September 30, 1993. This proposed rule is not a major rule under 5 U.S.C. 804.

B. Regulatory Flexibility Act

NASA certifies that this proposed rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities within the meaning of the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*, because it merely updates, for clarification and currency purposes, requirements that already exist in this