

of appreciation, travel requests, military airlift requests and other related documents.

**AUTHORITY FOR MAINTENANCE OF THE SYSTEM:**

5 U.S.C. 301, Departmental Regulations; 44 U.S.C. Chapter 31, Records Management by Federal Agencies; DoD Directive 5015.02, DoD Records Management Program; DoD Directive 5110.4, Washington Headquarters Services (WHS); and E.O. 9397 (SSN).

**PURPOSE(S):**

Information is collected on behalf of the Secretary of Defense to support the functions of the Department of Defense and maintain a record of actions taken and responses to the President, White House staff, other Cabinet officials, Congress, state and local officials, corporate officials, members of the Department of Defense and the public.

**ROUTINE USES OF RECORDS MAINTAINED IN THE SYSTEM, INCLUDING CATEGORIES OF USERS AND THE PURPOSES OF SUCH USES:**

In addition to those disclosures generally permitted under 5 U.S.C. 552a(b) of the Privacy Act of 1974, these records may specifically be disclosed outside the Department of Defense as a routine use pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a(b)(3) as follows:

The DoD 'Blanket Routine Uses' set forth at the beginning of the OSD's compilation of systems of records notices apply to this system.

**POLICIES AND PRACTICES FOR STORING, RETRIEVING, ACCESSING, RETAINING, AND DISPOSING OF RECORDS IN THE SYSTEM:**

**STORAGE:**

Maintained in paper files and electronic storage media.

**RETRIEVABILITY:**

Last name and first name initial of the individual, subject and date of the document.

**SAFEGUARDS:**

Records are maintained in a controlled facility. Physical entry is restricted by the use of locks, guards, and is accessible only to authorized personnel. Access to records is limited to person(s) responsible for servicing the record in performance of their official duties and who are properly screened and cleared for need-to-know. Access to computerized data is restricted by Common Access Card (CAC).

**RETENTION AND DISPOSAL:**

Records are cut off annually and destroyed when 7 years old.

**SYSTEM MANAGER(S) AND ADDRESS:**

Chief, Correspondence Control Division, Executive Services Directorate, Washington Headquarters Services, 1155 Defense Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301-1155.

**NOTIFICATION PROCEDURE:**

Individuals seeking to determine whether information about themselves is contained in this system should address written inquiries to the Chief, Correspondence Control Division, Executive Services Directorate, Washington Headquarters Services, Room 3C843, 1155 Defense Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301-1155.

The requests should contain the individual's last name, first name initial, subject and document date.

**RECORD ACCESS PROCEDURES:**

Individuals seeking access to records about themselves contained in this system of records should address written inquiries to the Office of the Secretary of Defense/Joint Staff Freedom of Information Act, Requester Service Center, Office of Freedom of Information, 1155 Defense Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301-1155.

Individuals should provide the name and number of this system of records notice, the individual's last name, first name initial, subject, date of document and be signed.

**CONTESTING RECORD PROCEDURES:**

The Office of the Secretary of Defense rules for accessing records, for contesting contents and appealing initial agency determinations are published in Office of the Secretary of Defense Administrative Instruction 81; 32 CFR part 311; or may be obtained from the system manager.

**RECORD SOURCE CATEGORIES:**

Individuals and those writing on their behalf, and official records.

**EXEMPTIONS CLAIMED FOR THE SYSTEM:**

During the course of preparing a response to some types of incoming communications from the public, exempt materials from other systems of records may in turn become part of the case records in this system. To the extent that copies of exempt records from those 'other' systems of records are entered into this correspondence case record, the Office of the Secretary of Defense hereby claims the same exemptions for the records from those 'other' systems that are entered into this system, as claimed for the original primary systems of records which they are a part.

[FR Doc. E9-15623 Filed 7-1-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001-06-P

**DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

**Department of the Army; Corps of Engineers**

**Deauthorization of Water Resources Projects**

**AGENCY:** Army Corps of Engineers, DoD.

**ACTION:** Notice of project deauthorizations.

**SUMMARY:** The Corps of Engineers is publishing the list of water resources projects deauthorized under the provisions of section 1001(b)(2) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986, Public Law 99-662, as amended, (33 U.S.C 579a(b)(2)), and lists of projects removed from the deauthorization list due to obligations of funds, or deauthorized earlier.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Headquarters, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Washington, DC 20314-1000, Attention: CECW-IN, Ms. Agnes W. Chen, Tel. (202) 761-4175.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Water Resources Development Act of 1986, Public Law 99-662, 100 Stat. 4082-4273, as amended, provides for the automatic deauthorization of water resource projects and separable elements of projects.

Section 1001(b)(2), 33 U.S.C. 579a(b)(2), requires the Secretary of the Army to submit to the Congress a biennial list of unconstructed water resources projects and separable elements of projects for which no obligations of funds have been incurred for planning, design or construction during the prior seven full fiscal years. If funds are not obligated within thirty months from the date the list was submitted, the project/separable elements are deauthorized. Notwithstanding these provisions, projects may be specifically deauthorized or reauthorized by law. (**Note:** The provision of section 1001(b)(2) prior to the 2007 amendments apply to this action.)

For purposes of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986, "separable element" is defined in section 103(f), Public Law 99-662, 33 U.S.C. 2213(f).

In accordance with section 1001(b)(2), the Assistant Secretary of the Army (Civil Works) submitted a list of 31 projects and separable elements to Congress on 29 September 2006. From this list, 27 projects/separable elements were deauthorized on March 29, 2009, 2 were removed due to obligation of funds, and 2 were deauthorized on 02 April 2002 and 01 May 1997 respectively.

District		Primary state	Purpose
<b>Projects Deauthorized on 29 March 2009 Under Section 1001(B)(2) WRDA 1986, as Amended</b>			
MVM	AUGUSTA TO CLARENDON LEVEE, LOWER WHITE RIVER, AR (UNCOMPLETED PORTION)	AR	FC
MVM	CLARENDON LEVEE, LOWER WHITE RIVER, AR	AR	FC
MVM	WEST MEMPHIS & VICINITY, AR	AR	FC
NAE	HARTFORD	CT	E
NAE	NEW HAVEN	CT	E
MVR	GREEN BAY LEVEE & DRAINAGE DISTRICT NO. 2, IA	IA	FC
NAE	FALL RIVER AND NEW BEDFORD	MA	E
NAE	SAUGUS RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, MA	MA	FC
NAB	BALTIMORE HARBOR AND CHANNELS, MD & VA (50-FT DEEPENING)	MD	N
NAE	CASCO BAY IN VICINITY OF PORTLAND	ME	E
NAE	PENOBSCOT RIVER IN VICINITY OF BANGOR	ME	E
MVS	COLDWATER CREEK, MO	MO	FC
MVS	MALINE CREEK, MO	MO	FC
NWO	PLATTE RIVER FLOOD & RELATED STREAMBANK EROSION CONTROL, NE	NE	SP
NAE	EPPING	NH	E
NAE	MANCHESTER	NH	E
NAN	NEW YORK HBR AND ADJACENT CHANNELS, CLAREMONT TERMINAL, NJ	NJ	N
NWP	COLUMBIA RIVER, SEAFARER'S MEMORIAL, HAMMOND, OR	OR	N
NAB	TIOGA-HAMMOND LAKES, PA (MILL RUN RECREATION)	PA	FC
NAE	CLIFF WALK, NEWPORT, RI	RI	SP
NAE	NARRAGANSETT BAY IN VICINITY OF PROVIDENCE, RI	RI	E
NAE	NARRAGANSETT BAY OVERFLOW MGMT FACILITY	RI	E
NAE	NARRAGANSETT TOWN BEACH, NARRAGANSETT, RI	RI	N
NAE	QUONSET POINT—DAVISVILLE	RI	N
NWO	GREGORORY COUNTY HYDROELECTRIC PUM STORAGE FACILITY, SD	SD	H
MVM	MUD LAKE PUMPING STATION, TN	TN	FC
MVM	REELFOOT LAKE—LAKE NO 9, TN & KY	TN	FC
Total: 27			
<b>Projects Removed from Deauthorization List Due to Obligations of Funds for Planning, Design or Construction</b>			
NAE	BRIDGEPORT	CT	E
LRE	ECORSE CREEK, MI	MI	FC
Total: 2			
<b>Project Deauthorization on 02 April 2002</b>			
NWW	LITTLE WOOD RIVER GOODING/SHOSHONE, ID	ID	FC
Total: 1			
<b>Project Deauthorization on 01 May 1997</b>			
NWO	OAHE DAM, LAKE OAHE (WILDLIFE RESTORATION), SD & ND	SD	E
Total: 1			

**Key To Abbreviations**

MVD MISSISSIPPI VALLEY DIVISION  
MVM MEMPHIS DISTRICT  
MVN NEW ORLEANS DISTRICT  
MVS ST. LOUIS DISTRICT  
MVK VICKSBURG DISTRICT  
MVR ROCK ISLAND DISTRICT  
MVP ST. PAUL DISTRICT  
NAD NORTH ATLANTIC DIVISION  
NAB BALTIMORE DISTRICT  
NAN NEW YORK DISTRICT  
NAO NORFOLK DISTRICT  
NAP PHILADELPHIA DISTRICT  
NAE NEW ENGLAND DISTRICT  
NWD NORTHWESTERN DIVISION  
NWP PORTLAND DISTRICT  
NWS SEATTLE DISTRICT  
NWW WALLA WALLA DISTRICT  
NWK KANSAS CITY DISTRICT  
NWO OMAHA DISTRICT

LRD GREAT LAKES & OHIO RIVER DIVISION  
LRH HUNTINGTON DISTRICT  
LRL LOUISVILLE DISTRICT  
LRN NASHVILLE DISTRICT  
LRP PITTSBURGH DISTRICT  
LRB BUFFALO DISTRICT  
LRC CHICAGO DISTRICT  
LRE DETROIT DISTRICT  
POD PACIFIC OCEAN DIVISION  
POA ALASKA DISTRICT  
POH HONOLULU DISTRICT  
SAD SOUTH ATLANTIC DIVISION  
SAC CHARLESTON DISTRICT  
SAJ JACKSONVILLE DISTRICT  
SAM MOBILE DISTRICT  
SAS SAVANNAH DISTRICT  
SAW WILMINGTON DISTRICT  
SPD SOUTH PACIFIC DIVISION  
SPL LOS ANGELES DISTRICT  
SPK SACRAMENTO DISTRICT

SPN SAN FRANCISCO DISTRICT  
SPA ALBUQUERQUE DISTRICT  
SWD SOUTHWESTERN DIVISION  
SWF FORT WORTH DISTRICT  
SWG GALVESTON DISTRICT  
SWL LITTLE ROCK DISTRICT  
SWT TULSA DISTRICT

*Purpose*

E ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION  
FC FLOOD CONTROL  
H WATER SUPPLY  
N NAVIGATION  
SP EROSION CONTROL

**Authority:** This notice is required by the Water Resources Development Act of 1986, Public Law 99-662, section 1001(c), 33 U.S.C. 579a(c), and the Water Resources

Development Act of 1988, Public Law 100-676, section 52(d), 102 Stat. 4012, 4045.

**Terrence C. "Rock" Salt,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary of the Army (Civil Works).*

[FR Doc. E9-15663 Filed 7-1-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3710-92-P

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Department of the Army

#### Notice of Availability of the Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS) for the Maneuver Center of Excellence (MCOE) Actions at Fort Benning, GA

**AGENCY:** Department of the Army, DoD.

**ACTION:** Notice of Availability (NOA).

**SUMMARY:** The Department of the Army announces the availability of the MCOE FEIS, which evaluates the potential environmental and socioeconomic impacts associated with the MCOE activities at Fort Benning. The FEIS covers the construction, operation, facilities maintenance, personnel increases, and training activities associated with the proposed MCOE actions, as well as increased training throughput due to Grow the Army missions at Fort Benning.

**DATES:** The waiting period for the MCOE FEIS will end 30 days after publication of a notice of availability in the **Federal Register** by the Environmental Protection Agency.

**ADDRESSES:** To obtain a copy of the EIS, contact Mr. John Brent, Fort Benning Directorate of Public Works, Environmental Management Division, 6650 Meloy Hall, Building 6, Room 308, Fort Benning, GA 31905 or e-mail at: [john.brent@us.army.mil](mailto:john.brent@us.army.mil).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ms. Bridgett Siter at (706) 545-6169 or Mr. Anthony O'Bryant at (706) 545-4591 in the Fort Benning Public Affairs Office.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The MCOE FEIS covers the construction, operation, facilities maintenance, personnel increases, and training activities associated with the proposed MCOE actions at Fort Benning. The Proposed Action includes construction, operation, and maintenance of facilities and training areas in support of the Armor School and Infantry training mission.

In 2007, the Army completed its 2005 Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC)/Transformation Actions FEIS and subsequent Record of Decision (ROD) for Fort Benning. Since the announcement of the BRAC/

Transformation EIS ROD in 2007, some projects that were reasonably foreseeable in Fiscal Year (FY) 14 have now been funded, programmed and planned, and new projects have been identified. In addition, some of the projects, originally identified for implementation in the FY08 to FY13 timeframe, have changed in location, size, and timing and these changes are substantial enough to require a re-evaluation. The MCOE FEIS analyzes these changes.

The MCOE FEIS also evaluates new facilities and training areas to support the increased training requirements of military personnel and students associated with Grow the Army missions at Fort Benning. The permanent increase in the Army end strength, which is being implemented in accordance with Congressional authorizations, will allow the Army to realign its force structure to a force that is capable of meeting national security and defense objectives. This action would bring the Fort Benning population (excluding dependents) to a total of 35,837 military, civilian, and contractor personnel, as well as 16,624 military students (daily average).

Alternatives analyzed in the MCOE FEIS are: (1) Alternative A (the Army's Preferred Alternative) which consists of 43 projects and entails cantonment area impacts, and maneuver and range development impacts to 10,045 acres total; (2) Alternative B which consists of 48 projects in the cantonment, maneuver and range areas, and impacts 24,596 acres total; and (3) the No Action Alternative under which FY09 through FY13 BRAC/Transformation projects identified in the BRAC/Transformation EIS are evaluated. Because the BRAC/Transformation actions have been approved for implementation, regardless of the decision taken under the MCOE proposed action, they are included in the No Action Alternative.

The FEIS analyses indicate that the implementation of the proposed action would have significant impacts on cultural resources, soils, water resources, special status species (particularly the Red-cockaded Woodpecker (RCW), relict trillium, and gopher tortoise), and Unique Ecological Areas (UEA). The action could potentially cause compatibility issues for lands adjacent to the ranges due to noise. Aesthetic and visual resources would be affected, but insignificantly. In terms of socioeconomic, development would take place and population increases would result in economic gains under both action alternatives, but there could be negative impacts if the local market cannot support this

increase. On-post traffic congestion and Main Gate build-up during peak hours are anticipated, but these impacts would be minimized once road expansions and new access points are completed. There would be short-term construction emissions increases, but they would not significantly affect regional air quality in the long term. Noise contours associated with training would grow both on- and off-post, increasing the potential for annoyance and complaints in adjacent communities. Utilities, safety, and hazardous and toxic materials and waste would not be significantly impacted. Through formal consultation with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, minimization measures, including a Reasonable and Prudent Alternative (RPA), were developed to minimize the impacts to RCW expressed in a Jeopardy Biological Opinion. The RPA also includes a requirement that field training associated with the Scout Leaders Course (Army Reconnaissance Course) and MCOE heavy mechanized training courses be moved from the Southern Maneuver Training Area to an off-post site yet to be determined. This relocation would take place 5 years from the start of the Scout Leaders Course and would be the subject of further NEPA analysis.

All the alternatives would have significant, adverse noise impacts due to expansion of noise levels greater than 75 dBA (Zone III) into approximately 96 family housing buildings adjacent to Dixie Road. The family housing on post has been privatized via the Residential Communities Initiative (RCI). Fort Benning is working with the RCI program representatives to determine the most feasible mitigation options to attenuate noise, which will be analyzed in a separate NEPA document when more information and options are identified.

For all alternatives, significant adverse impacts to land use, biological resources, water resources, and soils would be reduced with the implementation of proposed mitigation measures. Significant adverse impacts to special status species (RCW), vegetation, and UEA would be reduced through the implementation of the proposed mitigation measures and the RPA, but residual impacts would still be potentially significant as a result of the magnitude of the impacts, loss of habitat, and operation and maintenance activities.

An electronic version of the FEIS can be viewed or downloaded from the following Web site: [http://www.hqda.army.mil/acsim/brac/nepa\\_eis\\_docs.htm](http://www.hqda.army.mil/acsim/brac/nepa_eis_docs.htm).