

and examinations. This redundancy not only creates inefficiencies and additional costs for applicants, but also results in the offices around the world duplicating a substantial amount of work. The USPTO and many offices around the world now face a growing backlog of pending applications, and applicants must wait longer times for an application to receive an examination. Through work sharing, an office eliminates the redundancy by utilizing the work of another office thereby expediting patent prosecution.

The USPTO is conducting a roundtable to evaluate the patent community and/or the public sector's views on work sharing, including current work-sharing efforts, as well as suggestions for future work-sharing opportunities. The USPTO plans to invite a number of roundtable participants from patent user groups, practitioners, industry, independent inventor organizations, academia, and Government. To ensure that the USPTO is receiving a balanced array of views on work sharing, the USPTO also plans to have a few "at-large" participants based upon requests received in response to this notice. To ensure that all who are speaking will have a meaningful chance to do so, the number of participants in the roundtable is limited. Those who wish to participate in the roundtable must do so by written request. Members of the public who wish solely to attend and observe the roundtable need not submit a request.

Any member of the public, however, may submit written comments for consideration by the USPTO on issues raised at the roundtable or on any other issues pertaining to work sharing. Persons submitting written comments should note that the USPTO does not plan to provide a "comment and response" analysis of such comments as this notice does not constitute a notice of proposed rule making.

The USPTO plans to make the roundtable available via Web cast. Web cast information will be available on the USPTO's Internet Web site before the roundtable. The written comments and list of the roundtable participants and their associations will be posted on the USPTO's Internet Web site.

Dated: October 14, 2009.

David J. Kappos,

Under Secretary of Commerce for Intellectual Property and Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office.

[FR Doc. E9-25262 Filed 10-20-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-16-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-831]

Fresh Garlic from the People's Republic of China: Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Martha Douthit or Summer Avery, AD/CVD Operations, Office 6, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-5050 or (202) 482-4052, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On December 24, 2008, the Department published a notice of initiation of an administrative review of fresh garlic from the People's Republic of China (PRC), covering the period November 1, 2007 through October 31, 2008. *See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Request for Revocation in Part*, 73 FR 79055 (December 24, 2008) (*Initiation Notice*).

On April 3, 2009, the Fresh Garlic Producers Association (FGPA) and its individual members¹ (collectively, Petitioners) withdrew their request of review of certain companies in this administrative review. On April 28, 2009, Shenzhen Xinboda Industrial Co., Ltd. (Xinboda), also withdrew its own review request. *See* the Attachment to this notice for the list of companies for which the Department is rescinding its review.

Partial Rescission

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), the Secretary will rescind an administrative review, in whole or in part, if a party that requested the review withdraws the request within 90 days of the date of publication of the initiation notice of the requested review. Further, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), the Department is permitted to extend this time limit if it is reasonable to do so. On March 24, 2009, at the request of Petitioners, the Department extended the deadline for the withdrawal of review requests to March 31, 2009. On March 31, 2009, the Department further extended the

deadline for the withdrawal of review requests to April 3, 2009.

For all but one of the companies listed in the Attachment, Petitioners were the only party that requested the review. In addition to Petitioners' request for a review of Xinboda, the company itself requested a review. Although Xinboda's withdrawal of its request for a review was beyond the April 3 deadline, the Department has decided to accept its withdrawal given that Petitioners timely withdrew their request for review of Xinboda.

Therefore, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), we are rescinding this review with respect to all companies named in the Attachment to this notice.

Assessment Rates

The Department will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. For those companies for which this review has been rescinded and which have a separate rate, antidumping duties shall be assessed at rates equal to the cash deposit of estimated antidumping duties required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(2). The Department intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to CBP 15 days after publication of this notice. For those companies for which this review has been rescinded, but which do not have a separate rate at this time (and thus remain part of the PRC-wide entity), the Department will issue assessment instructions upon the completion of this administrative review.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers for whom this review is being rescinded of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of the antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Orders

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance

¹ The individual members of the FGPA are Christopher Ranch L.L.C., The Garlic Company, Valley Garlic, and Vessey and Company, Inc.

with 19 CFR 351.305, which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which may be subject to sanctions.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with section 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: October 8, 2009.

John M. Andersen,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

Attachment

The following companies were named in our *Initiation Notice*. Subsequently, interested parties withdrew all relevant requests for review for these companies. Therefore, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), we are rescinding this administrative review with respect to these companies.

American Pioneer Shipping
Anhui Dongqian Foods Ltd.
Anqiu Haoshun Trade Co., Ltd.
APS Qingdao
Chengwu County Yuanxiang Industry & Commerce Co., Ltd
Chiping Shengkang Foodstuff Co., Ltd.
Hangzhou Guanyu Foods Co., Ltd.
Hongqiao International Logistics Co
IT Logistics Qingdao Branch
Jinan Solar Summit International Co., Ltd.
Jining Highton Trading Co., Ltd.
Jinxian County Huaguang Food Import & Export, Ltd.
Jinxiang Dacheng Food Co., Ltd.
Jinxiang Hejia Co., Ltd.
Jinxiang Jinma Fruits Vegetables Products Co., Ltd
Jinxiang Tianheng Trade Co., Ltd.
Juye Homestead Fruits and Vegetables Co., Ltd.
Laizhou Xubin Fruits and Vegetables
Linyi City Heding District Jiuli Foodstuff Co
Ningjin Rui Feng Foodstuff Co., Ltd.
Qingdao Lianghe International Trade Co., Ltd.
Qingdao Tiantaixing Foods Co., Ltd.
Qingdao Winner Foods Co., Ltd.
Qingdao Yuankang International
Samyoung America (Shanghai) Inc.
Shandong Chengshun Farm Produce Trading Co., Ltd.
Shandong Chenhe Int'l Trading Co., Ltd.
Shandong Dongsheng Eastsun Foods Co., Ltd.

Shandong Garlic Company
Shandong Jinxiang Zhengyang Import & Export Co., Ltd.
Shandong Sanxing Food Co., Ltd.
Shandong Xingda Foodstuffs Group Co., Ltd.
Shandong Yipin Agro (Group) Co., Ltd
Shenzhen Xinboda Industrial Co., Ltd.
T&S International, LLC
Taian Eastsun Foods Co., Ltd.
Weifang Chenglong Import & Export Co., Ltd.
Weifang Naike Foodstuffs Co., Ltd.
WSSF Corporation (Weifang)
Xiamen Huamin Import Export Company
You Shi Li International Trading Co., Ltd.
Zhangzhou Xiangcheng Rainbow Greenland Food Co., Ltd.
Zhengzhou Harmoni Spice Co., Ltd.
Zhengzhou Yuanli Trading Co., Ltd.

[FR Doc. E9-25350 Filed 10-20-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

A-570-894

Certain Tissue Paper Products from the People's Republic of China: Notice of Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce (the Department) is rescinding in part the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain tissue paper products from the People's Republic of China (PRC) for the period March 1, 2008, to February 28, 2009, with respect to Sunlake Décor Co., Ltd. (Sunlake). This partial rescission is based on the withdrawal of the request for review of Sunlake by the only interested party that requested the review the petitioner.¹

EFFECTIVE DATE: October 21, 2009.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Brian Smith or Gemal Brangman, AD/CVD Operations, Office 2, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone (202) 482-1766 or (202) 482-3773, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

¹ The petitioner is Seaman Paper Company of Massachusetts, Inc.

Background

On March 2, 2009, the Department published a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain tissue paper products from the PRC. *See Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity to Request Administrative Review*, 74 FR 9077 (March 2, 2009). In response, the petitioner timely requested an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain tissue paper products from the PRC for entries of the subject merchandise during the period March 1, 2008, through February 28, 2009, for Sunlake (i.e., an exporter of the subject merchandise). Therefore, on April 21, 2009, the Department initiated a review of Sunlake. *See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Requests for Revocation in Part*, 74 FR 19042 (April 27, 2009).

In a letter dated June 30, 2009, the petitioner withdrew its request for review of Sunlake and requested that the Department rescind the review with respect to it. No other party has requested a review of Sunlake. This administrative review will continue with respect to Max Fortune Industrial Limited and Max Fortune (FETDE) Paper Products Co., Ltd. (collectively referred to as "Max Fortune") and Seaman Paper Asia Company Ltd.

Rescission, in Part, of Administrative Review

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), the Secretary will rescind an administrative review, in whole or in part, if the party who requested the review withdraws the request within 90 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review. Accordingly, the petitioner timely withdrew its request for review of Sunlake within the 90-day period and no other party requested a review of Sunlake's entries. Therefore, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), we are rescinding this administrative review with respect to Sunlake.

Assessment

The Department will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. For the company for which this review has been rescinded, antidumping duties shall be assessed, if applicable, at rates equal to the cash deposit of estimated antidumping duties required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for