publication of the notification reopening scoping on April 29, 2010, and this notification of postponed scoping will be held together with comments received during the initial scoping period of November 13, 2008 through January 13, 2009. No further comments are being solicited for scoping of the Lease Sale 220 EIS pending decision by the Secretary of the Interior.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For information on the status for the Sale 220 EIS scoping, please contact Mr. Gary D. Goeke, Chief, Environmental Assessment Section, Leasing and Environment (MS 5410), Minerals Management Service, Gulf of Mexico OCS Region, 1201 Elmwood Park Boulevard, New Orleans, LA 70123–2394, telephone (504) 736–3233.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: With respect to proposed Lease Sale 220, MMS issued a Notice of Intent to prepare an EIS published in the Federal Register on November 13, 2008 (Vol. 73, No. 220, page 67201), and included a 45-day scoping period. No scoping meetings were announced at that time. The MMS decided to extend the comment period and made announcements in a press release and other media. On January 7, 2009, MMS published a notice in the Federal Register (Vol. 74, No. 4, page 727) extending the scoping comment period to 60 days. That comment period expired on January 13, 2009. Scoping had been reopened in the Federal Register notice published on April 29, 2010 (Vol. 75, No. 82, page 22623). Today's notice postpones indefinitely scoping for the Sale 220 EIS until or unless MMS provides further notification.

Dated: May 4, 2010.

S. Elizabeth Birnbaum,

Director, Minerals Management Service. [FR Doc. 2010–10981 Filed 5–6–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-MR-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-689]

In the Matter of Certain Dual Access Locks and Products Containing Same; Notice of Commission Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination Granting Motions for Summary Determination of Non-Infringement and Finding No Violation of Section 337; Termination of the Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade

Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review an initial determination ("ID") granting two motions for summary determination of non-infringement and no violation of section 337 issued by the presiding administrative law judge ("ALJ") on March 18, 2010, in the above-captioned investigation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michael K. Haldenstein. Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-3041. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at http://www.usitc.gov. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at http:// edis.usitc.gov. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on October 21, 2009, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, based on an amended complaint filed on October 5, 2009, by Safe Skies, LLC and David Tropp of Brooklyn, New York. 74 FR 54065 (October 21, 2009). The Commission named the following companies as respondents: C&C Luggage Manufacturing Co., Ltd. of China; Formosa Tai Rank Industrial Corp. of Taiwan; Hangzhou Gema Suitcases & Bags Co., Ltd. of China; La Pearl Luggage and Leather Goods Co., Ltd. of China; Hinomoto Jomae, Ltd. of Japan; Sinox Company, Ltd. of Taiwan; Yi Feng Manufacturing, Co., Ltd. of China; Jin Tay Industries Co., Ltd. of Taiwan; FULLYEAR-Brother Enterprise, Co., Ltd. of Taiwan; Zhuhai SkyGood Tech. Industrial Corp., Ltd. of China; Ningbo Xianfeng Art & Craft Co., Ltd. of China; Paloma Enterprises Co., Ltd. of Taiwan; Tekraft Industrial Co., Ltd. of Taiwan; Hangzhou Travelsky Co., Ltd. of China; The Sun Lock Company Ltd. of Hong Kong; Alloy Metal Manufactory, Ltd. of Hong Kong; Cometform, Ltd. of England; Design Go Ltd. of England; Franzen International of Germany; M-Power Lock Manufactory of Hong Kong.

The complaint alleged violations of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain dual access locks and products containing same that infringe claims 1-21 of U.S. Patent No. 7,021,537 and claims 1-20 of U.S. Patent No. 7,036,728. The complaint further alleged that an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337. The complainants requested that the Commission issue a general exclusion order and cease and desist orders.

On March 18, 2010, the ALJ issued an ID (Order No. 6) granting a motion of the Commission investigative attorney ("IA") and a joint motion on behalf of 19 of the above-named respondents for summary determination of noninfringement of all asserted claims. Only respondent Formosa Tai Ran Industrial Corp. did not join in the joint motion for summary determination. On April 1, 2010, complainants filed a petition for review of the ID. On April 8, 2010, the IA filed an opposition to the petition for review. On April 9, 2010, the 19 respondents filed a joint opposition to the petition for review and a motion for leave to file their response out of time, which the Commission has granted.

Having examined the record in this investigation, including the ALJ's ID, the petition for review and the responses thereto, the Commission has determined not to review the ID and terminate the investigation with a finding of no violation of section 337.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in sections 210.42–.46 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.42–.46).

By order of the Commission. Issued: May 3, 2010.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission. [FR Doc. 2010–10758 Filed 5–6–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Office of Justice Programs

[OMB Number 1121-0166]

Agency Information Collection Activities: Extension of a Currently Approved Collection: Comments Requested

ACTION: 30-Day Notice of information collection under review: Extension of a

currently approved collection. Bureau of Justice Assistance Application Form: Public Safety Officers' Disability Benefits.

The Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs, will be submitting the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed information collection is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. This proposed information collection was previously published in the **Federal Register** [Volume 75, Number 42, page 9928–9929 on March 4, 2010], allowing for a 60-day comment period.

The purpose of this notice is to allow for an additional 30 days for public comment until June 7, 2010. This process is conducted in accordance with

5 CFR 1320.10.

Written comments and/or suggestions regarding the items contained in this notice, especially the estimated public burden and associated response time, should be directed to OMB, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention: Department of Justice Desk Officer, Washington, DC 20503. In addition, comments may be submitted to OMB via facsimile to (202) 395-5806. Comments may also be submitted to M. Berry by phone at 202-616-6500/1-866-268-0079; by mail at Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs, U.S. Department of Justice, 810 7th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20531; via facsimile at 202-305-1367; or by e-mail at M.A.Berry@ojp.usdoj.gov.

Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should do one or more of the

following:

—Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

—Ēvaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

—Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be

collected; and

—Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, *e.g.*, permitting electronic submission of responses.

Overview of This Information Collection

- (1) Type of Information Collection: Extension of a currently approved collection.
- (2) Title of the Form/Collection: Public Safety Officers' Disability Benefits.
- (3) Agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department of Justice sponsoring the collection: OJP FORM 3650/7 Public Safety Officers' Disability Benefits.
- (4) Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract:

Primary: Dependents of public safety officers who were killed or permanently and totally disabled in the line of duty.

Abstract: The Public Safety Officers' Benefits Act of 1976, 42 U.S.C. 3796, authorizes the Public Safety Officers' Benefits Office, Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs, U.S. Department of Justice to pay a benefit to claimant public safety officers found to have been permanently and totally disabled as the direct result of a catastrophic line-of-duty injury sustained on or after November 29, 1990.

Others: None.

- (5) An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time needed for an average respondent to respond is as follows: It is estimated that no more than 100 respondents will apply a year. Each application takes approximately 120 minutes to complete.
- (6) An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection: Total Annual Reporting Burden: 100 × 120 minutes per application = 12,000 minutes/60 minutes per hour = 200 hours.

If additional information is required, please contact Lynn Bryant, Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Justice Management Division, Policy and Planning Staff, Patrick Henry Building, Suite 1600, 601 D Street, NW., Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: May 4, 2010.

Lynn Bryant,

Department Clearance Officer, PRA, United States Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. 2010–10863 Filed 5–6–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-18-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Rare Earth Industry and Technology Association

Notice is hereby given that, on March 22, 2010, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 et seq. ("the Act"), the Rare Earth Technology Consortium ("RETC") has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing (1) the identities of the parties to the venture and (2) the nature and objectives of the venture. The notifications were filed for the purpose of invoking the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances.

Pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act, the identities of the parties to the venture are: Rare Earth Industry and Tecimology Association, Greenwood Village, CO; Global Tungsten & Powders Corp., Towanda, PA; General Electric Company, Niskayuna, NY; Arnold Magnetic Technologies Corp., Rochester, NY; Electron Energy Corp., Landisville, PA; Colorado School of Mines, Golden, CO; Worcester Polytechnic Institute, Worcester, MA; Iowa State University, Ames, IA; University of Delaware, Newark, DE; Rare Element Resources, Vancouver, British Columbia, CANADA; and Molycorp Minerals LLC, Greenwood Village, CO. The general areas of RETC's planned activities are to (a) improve the business conditions of entities engaged in all points of the production chain for rare earths magnets and other materials and products beginning with extraction of rare earths and ending with marketing and sale of such materials and products ("Rare Earth Companies"), while providing guidance for such companies to act as responsible stewards of rare earth resources; (b) promote the common business interests of Rare Earth Companies by establishing a consortium compromised of commercial, charitable, academic and state and local government organizations that work together to identify, develop, manage and implement rare earth projects that benefit for profit, nonprofit, government and charitable entities, including, without limitation, military and commercial entities, and in doing so to commercialize rare earth research, technology and use for dual-purpose