

97% for the presence of a tattoo as indicator of having HIV, HCV, HBV, or syphilis infection. The researchers then estimated the impact on blood donor selection and disease marker testing using the results from their hospital-based case control study. However, the assumptions such as disease marker prevalence of as much as 15% in donors who are deferred for tattoos and a prevalence of 4% of the potential donor base having a tattoo (2) do not represent current temporary deferrals in Brazil and do not address the most common behavior-related deferrals. A more detailed and targeted assessment of the value of relevant deferrals could be used to help inform blood donation policies in Brazil.

In Brazilian blood collection centers, donor deferral is initiated either by the blood center staff, based on information disclosed by prospective donors, or by the donor through self-deferral. Either type of deferral occurs because of the belief that a donor's behavior, exposures, or history represents an increased risk to the safety of the blood supply.

Although the general eligibility criteria are mandated by the Brazilian Ministry of Health, the specific criteria for screening potential donors and the procedures for implementing them may vary across the regional blood collection centers. This study will focus on sexual behavior deferrals and their impact on blood safety. The two main study aims are: (1) To assess infectious disease marker prevalence in donors who are deferred for higher risk sexual and non-injection drug use behavior; and (2) To determine if the different deferral classification procedures used by different blood centers in Brazil lead to a measurable difference in disease marker prevalence in deferred donors. To do this, deferred donors who agree to participate in this study will be asked to complete an audio computer assisted self interview (ACASI) questionnaire that measures two content areas (1) motivations for attempting to donate, (2) additional information on the deferral and other potentially undisclosed deferrable behaviors. A blood sample will be collected from the deferred donors and tested for the panel of infections currently screened for in

Brazil (HIV, Hepatitis C, Hepatitis B, Human T-lymphotropic virus, syphilis, and Trypanosoma cruzi) using the same high-throughput laboratory reagents and procedures that are used to screen donations. These deferred donor marker rates will be compared to the marker rates among accepted donors with the same demographic characteristics. Marker rates in deferred donors will also be compared between the blood centers.

*Frequency of Response:* Once.  
*Affected Public:* Individuals. *Type of Respondents:* Adult Blood Donors. The annual reporting burden is as follows: *Estimated Number of Respondents:* 4,860; *Estimated Number of Responses per Respondent:* 1; *Average Burden of Hours per Response:* 0.33 (including administration of the informed consent form and questionnaire completion instructions); and *Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours Requested:* 1,604. The annualized cost to respondents is estimated at: \$10,426 (based on \$6.50 per hour). There are no Capital Costs to report. There are no Operating or Maintenance Costs to report.

Estimated number of respondents	Estimated number of responses per respondent	Average burden hours per response	Estimated total annual burden hours requested
4,860 .....	1	0.33	1,604

*Request for Comments:* Written comments and/or suggestions from the public and affected agencies are invited on one or more of the following points: (1) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the function of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) The accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (3) Ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) Ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

*Direct Comments to OMB:* Written comments and/or suggestions regarding the item(s) contained in this notice, especially regarding the estimated public burden and associated response time, should be directed to the: Office of Management and Budget, Office of

Regulatory Affairs, *OIRA\_submission@omb.eop.gov* or by fax to 202-395-6974, Attention: Desk Officer for NIH. To request more information on the proposed project or to obtain a copy of the data collection plans and instruments, contact: Dr. George Nemo, Project Officer, NHLBI, Two Rockledge Center, Suite 10042, 6701 Rockledge Drive, Bethesda, MD 20892-7950, or call 301-435-0075, or E-mail your request to *nemog@nih.gov*.

*Comments Due Date:* Comments regarding this information collection are best assured of having their full effect if received within 30-days of the date of this publication.

Dated: April 29, 2010.  
**George Nemo,**  
*Project Officer, NHLBI, National Institutes of Health.*

[FR Doc. 2010-10899 Filed 5-6-10; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4140-01-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES**

**National Institutes of Health**

**Proposed Collection; Comment Request; A Generic Submission for Formative Research, Pretesting, and Stakeholder Measures at NCI**

**SUMMARY:** Under the provisions of Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, for opportunity for public comment on proposed data collection projects, the National Cancer Institute (NCI), the National Institutes of Health (NIH) will publish periodic summaries of proposed projects to be submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval.

*Proposed Collection: Title:* A Generic Submission for Formative Research, Pretesting, and Stakeholder Measures at NCI. *Type of Information Collection Request:* New. *Need and Use of Information Collection:* In order to carry out NCI's legislative mandate, the Office of Advocacy Relations (OAR) disseminates cancer-related information to a variety of stakeholders, seeks their

input and feedback, and facilitates collaboration between the Institute and these external partners to advance NCI's authorized programs. It is beneficial for NCI, through the OAR, to pretest strategies, concepts, activities and materials while they are under development. This pre-testing, or formative evaluation, helps ensure that the products and services developed by NCI have the greatest capacity of being received, understood, and accepted by their target audiences.

Additionally, since OAR is responsible for matching advocates to NCI programs and initiatives across the cancer continuum, it is necessary to measure the satisfaction of both internal and external stakeholders with this

collaboration. This customer satisfaction research helps ensure the relevance, utility, and appropriateness of the many initiatives and products that OAR and NCI produce. The OAR will use a variety of qualitative (focus groups, interviews) and quantitative (paper, phone, in-person, and Web surveys) methodologies to conduct this research, allowing NCI to: (1) Understand characteristics (attitudes, beliefs, and behaviors) of the intended target audience and use this information in the development of effective strategies, concepts and activities; (2) use a feedback loop to help refine, revise, and enhance OAR's efforts—ensuring that they have the greatest relevance, utility,

appropriateness, and impact for/to target audiences; and (3) expend limited program resource dollars wisely and effectively. *Frequency of Response:* On occasion. *Affected Public:* Individuals or households; Businesses or other for profit; Not-for-profit institutions and organizations; Federal Government; State, Local, or Tribal Government. *Type of Respondents:* Adult cancer research advocates; members of the public; health care professionals; organizational representatives. The table below outlines the estimated burden hours required for a three-year approval of this generic submission. There are no Capital Costs, Operating Costs, and/or Maintenance Costs to report.

A.12-1—ESTIMATE OF ANNUAL BURDEN HOURS

Survey/instrument	Number of respondents	Frequency of response	Average hours per response	Annual burden hours
Self-Administered Post-Activity Questionnaires .....	1,200	1	20/60 (.33)	400
Other Self-Administered Questionnaires .....	600	1	20/60 (.33)	200
Individual In-Depth Interviews .....	75	1	1.0	75
Focus Group Interviews .....	100	1	1.5	150
<b>Totals .....</b>	<b>1,975</b>	<b>.....</b>	<b>.....</b>	<b>825</b>

*Request for Comments:* Written comments and/or suggestions from the public and affected agencies are invited on one or more of the following points: (1) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the function of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) The accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (3) Ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) Ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** To request more information on the proposed project or to obtain a copy of the data collection plans, contact Elizabeth Neilson, Advocacy Relations Manager, Office of Advocacy Relations (OAR), NCI, NIH, 31 Center Drive, Bldg. 31, Room 10A28, MSC 2580, Bethesda, MD 20892, call non-toll-free number 301-451-3321 or e-mail your request, including your address to: [neilson@mail.nih.gov](mailto:neilson@mail.nih.gov).

*Comments Due Date:* Comments regarding this information collection are best assured of having their full effect if received within 60 days of the date of this publication.

Dated: April 29, 2010.  
**Vivian Horovitch-Kelley,**  
*NCI Project Clearance Liaison, National Institutes of Health.*  
 [FR Doc. 2010-10897 Filed 5-6-10; 8:45 am]  
**BILLING CODE 4140-01-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES**

**Office of Refugee Resettlement**

**Administration for Children and Families; Single-Source Program Expansion Supplement Grant**

**AGENCY:** Office of Refugee Resettlement, ACF, HHS.

**ACTION:** Notice to award a single-source program expansion supplement grant.

*CFDA Number:* 93.576.  
*Legislative Authority:* This program is authorized by section 412 (c)(1)(A) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (INA) [8 U.S.C. 1522 (c)(1)(A)], as amended, which authorizes the Director "to make grants to, and enter into contracts with, public or private nonprofit agencies for projects specifically designed—(i) To assist

refugees in obtaining the skills which are necessary for economic self-sufficiency, including projects for job training, employment services, day care, professional refresher training, and other recertification services; (ii) to provide training in English where necessary (regardless of whether the refugees are employed or receiving cash or other assistance); and (iii) to provide where specific needs have been shown and recognized by the Director, health (including mental health) services, social services, educational and other services."

*Amount of Award:* \$150,000.  
*Project Period:* December 1, 2009–September 29, 2010.

**SUMMARY:** The Office of Refugee Resettlement (ORR) announces the award of a \$150,000 single-source program expansion supplement to expand the provision of technical assistance to the Ethiopian Community Development Council, Inc. (ECDC), located in Arlington, VA.

Current economic conditions have confronted community-based organizations (CBO) with a dire need for assistance yet limited resources to respond effectively. This supplemental award will support greater outreach and enhanced collaboration to meet these challenges.

Provision of technical assistance is essential to support the long-term