

balanced in terms of points of view represented and the committee's function. Every effort is made to ensure that individuals from a broad representation of geographic areas, females, ethnic and minority groups, and the disabled are given consideration for membership on HHS Federal advisory committees. Appointment to this Committee shall be made without discrimination on the basis of age, race, ethnicity, gender, sexual orientation, disability, and cultural, religious, or socioeconomic status.

Nominations must state that the nominee is willing to serve as a member of SACHRP and appears to have no conflict of interest that would preclude membership. Potential candidates are required to provide detailed information concerning such matters as financial holdings, consultancies, and research grants or contracts to permit evaluation of possible sources of conflict of interest.

Dated: May 19, 2010.

**Jerry Menikoff,**

*Director, Office for Human Research Protections, Executive Secretary, Secretary's Advisory Committee on Human Research Protections.*

[FR Doc. 2010-12636 Filed 5-25-10; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4150-36-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES**

**Centers for Disease Control and Prevention**

[60Day-10-09BV]

**Proposed Data Collections Submitted for Public Comment and Recommendations**

In compliance with the requirement of Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 for opportunity for public comment on proposed data collection projects, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) will publish periodic summaries of proposed projects. To request more information on the

proposed projects or to obtain a copy of the data collection plans and instruments, call 404-639-5960 or send comments to Maryam I. Daneshvar, CDC Reports Clearance Officer, 1600 Clifton Road, MS D-74, Atlanta, GA 30333 or send an e-mail to *omb@cdc.gov*.

*Comments are invited on:* (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Written comments should be received within 60 days of this notice.

**Proposed Project**

Workload Management Study of Central Cancer Registries—New—Division of Cancer Prevention and Control, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion (NCCDPHP), Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC).

*Background and Brief Description*

CDC currently supports the National Program of Cancer Registries (NPCR), a group of central cancer registries in 45 States, the District of Columbia, and 2 territories. The central cancer registries are data systems that collect, manage, and analyze data about cancer cases and cancer deaths. NPCR-funded central cancer registries submit population-based cancer incidence data to CDC on an annual basis (OMB No. 0920-0469, exp. 1/31/2010). In addition, NPCR-funded registries submit program and performance indicator information to CDC on a semi-annual schedule (OMB No. 0920-0706, exp. 12/31/2011). CDC uses the performance indicators to evaluate the registries' use of funds, their progress toward meeting

objectives, and their infrastructure and operational attributes.

Central cancer registries report that they are chronically understaffed, and many registries are concerned about the impact of staff shortages on data quality standards. Staffing patterns are known to vary widely from registry to registry, and registries differ greatly in the number of incidence cases that they process as well as their use of information technology. Cancer registries have asked for clear staffing guidelines based on registry characteristics such as size (*i.e.*, number of new cases annually), degree of automation, and registry-specific reporting procedures.

CDC proposes to conduct a one-time Workload Management Survey (WLM) in 2010 to inform the development of staffing guidelines for central cancer registries. The WLM survey questions do not duplicate the program and performance indicator information reported to CDC on a routine basis. Respondents will be cancer registrars in the NPCR-funded central cancer registries in 45 States and the District of Columbia. Cancer registrars at each registry will maintain a paper-based Work Activities Journal for a one-week period. At the end of the week, the registry manager will consolidate the individual journal worksheets to prepare an aggregate Workload Management Survey for the registry, which will be submitted to CDC electronically.

Results of the WLM survey will enable CDC to assess the workforce necessary for meeting data reporting requirements and to estimate the impact of planned changes to surveillance data reporting. Finally, CDC will develop specific guidance so that cancer registry managers can more effectively measure workload, evaluate the need for staff and staff credentials, and advocate for adequate staffing.

Participation in the survey is voluntary. There are no costs to respondents other than their time.

**ESTIMATED ANNUALIZED BURDEN HOURS**

Type of respondents	Form name	Number of respondents	Number of responses per respondent	Average burden per response (in hours)	Total burden (in hours)
NPCR Registries .....	Workload Management Survey .....	46	1	4	184
	Work Activities Journal .....	368	1	2	736
Total .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	920

Dated: May 20, 2010.  
**Maryam I. Daneshvar,**  
*Reports Clearance Officer, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.*  
 [FR Doc. 2010-12665 Filed 5-25-10; 8:45 am]  
**BILLING CODE 4163-18-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES**  
**Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration**

**Agency Information Collection Activities: Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request**

Periodically, the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration

(SAMHSA) will publish a summary of information collection requests under OMB review, in compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). To request a copy of these documents, call the SAMHSA Reports Clearance Officer on (240) 276-1243.

**Project: FASD Diagnosis and Intervention Programs in the Fetal Alcohol Spectrum Disorder (FASD) Center of Excellence—New**

Since 2001, SAMHSA’s Center for Substance Abuse Prevention has been operating a Fetal Alcohol Spectrum Disorder (FASD) Center of Excellence which addresses FASD mainly by providing trainings and technical assistance and developing and

supporting systems of care that respond to FASD using effective evidence-based practices and interventions.

Currently the integration of evidence-based practices into service delivery organizations is being accomplished through subcontracts. One such intervention which integrates diagnosis and intervention strategies into existing service delivery organizations is the FASD Diagnosis and Intervention programs targeting children 0–18 years of age. The Diagnosis and Intervention programs use the following 11 data collection tools.

**DESCRIPTION OF INSTRUMENTS/ACTIVITY FOR THE DIAGNOSIS AND INTERVENTION PROGRAMS**

Instrument/Activity	Description
Screening and Diagnosis Tool .....	The purpose of the screening and diagnosis tool is to determine eligibility to participate in the SAMHSA FASD Center Diagnosis and Treatment Intervention. The form includes demographic, screening, and diagnostic data.
Positive Monitor Tracking .....	The Positive Monitor Tracking form is to monitor the outcome of placing a child (ages 0–3 years) on a positive monitor.
Services Child is Receiving at the time of the FASD Diagnosis	The Services Child is Receiving at the time of the FASD Diagnosis form is to record services the child is receiving at the time of an FASD diagnosis.
Services Planned and Provided based on Diagnostic Evaluation	The Services Planned and Provided based on Diagnostic Evaluation form is to record services planned and received based on the diagnostic evaluation.
Services Delivery Tracking Form .....	The Services Delivery Tracking form is for the services provided during every visit.
End of Intervention/Program Improvement Measure—Case Manager.	The End of Intervention/Program Improvement Measure—Case Manager form is for the case manager to report on the overall improvement in the child as a result of receiving services.
End of Intervention/Program Improvement Measure—Parent/Guardian.	The End of Intervention/Program Improvement Measure—Parent/Guardian form is for the parent/guardian to report on the overall improvement in the child as a result of receiving services.
End of Intervention/Program Customer Satisfaction with Service	The End of Intervention/Program Customer Satisfaction with Service form is to determine customer satisfaction (parents) with the SAMHSA FASD Center Diagnosis and Intervention project.
Outcome Measures (Children 0–7 years) .....	The Outcome Measures (Children 0–7 years) form is an outcomes measure checklist used to record measures every six months from start of service to end of service, at end of intervention, at 6 months follow-up, and 12 months follow-up.
Outcome Measures (Children 8–18 years) .....	The Outcome Measures (Children 8–18 years) form is an outcomes measure checklist used to record measures every six months from start of service to end of service, at end of intervention, at 6 months follow-up, and 12 months follow-up.
Lost to follow-up .....	The Lost to follow-up form is used if the child is no longer accessible for follow-up.

Eight subcontracts were awarded in February 2008 to integrate the FASD Diagnosis and Intervention program within existing service delivery organization sites. Using an integrated service delivery model all sites are screening children using an FASD screening tool, obtaining a diagnostic evaluation, and providing services/interventions as indicated by the diagnostic evaluation. Specific interventions are based upon the

individual child’s diagnosis. Six of the sites are integrating the FASD Diagnosis and Intervention projects either in a child mental health provider setting or in a dependency court setting and serve children ages 0–7 years. Two of the sites are delinquency courts and serve children 10–18 years of age. Data collection at all sites involves administering the screening and diagnosis tool, recording process level indicators such as type and units of

service provided; improvement in functionality and outcome measures such as school performance, stability in housing/placement, and adjudication measures (10–18 yrs only). Data will be collected at baseline, monthly, every six months from start of service to end of service, at end of intervention, at 6 months follow-up, and 12 months follow-up.

**Estimated Annualized Burden Hours**