Republic of China: Final Results of the Expedited Sunset Reviews of the Antidumping Duty Orders, 75 FR 12497 (March 16, 2010).

On May 10, 2010, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act, the ITC determined that revocation of the antidumping duty order on CVP–23 from India and the PRC would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time. See Carbazole Violet Pigment 23 from China and India: Investigation Nos. 701–TA–437 and 731–TA–1060 and 1061, USITC Publication 4151 (April 2010). See also Carbazole Violet Pigment 23 from China and India; Determinations, 75 FR 27815 (May 18, 2010).

## Scope of the Orders

The merchandise subject to these antidumping duty orders is CVP-23 identified as Color Index No. 51319 and Chemical Abstract No. 6358-30-1, with the chemical name of diindolo [3,2b:3',2'-m] 2 triphenodioxazine, 8,18dichloro-5, 15-diethyl-5, 15-dihydro-, and molecular formula of  $C_{34}H_{22}Cl_2N_4O_2$ . The subject merchandise includes the crude pigment in any form (e.g., dry powder, paste, wet cake) and finished pigment in the form of presscake and dry color. Pigment dispersions in any form (e.g., pigment dispersed in oleoresins, flammable solvents, water) are not included within the scope of the orders. The merchandise subject to the orders is classifiable under subheading 3204.17.90.40 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). Although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written descriptions of the scope of the orders are dispositive.

## **Continuation of the Orders**

As a result of these determinations by the Department and the ITC that revocation of these antidumping duty orders would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping and material injury to an industry in the United States, pursuant to section 751(d)(2) of the Act, the Department hereby orders the continuation of the antidumping duty orders on CVP–23 from India and the PRC.

U.S. Customs and Border Protection will continue to collect antidumping duty cash deposits at the rates in effect at the time of entry for all imports of subject merchandise. The effective date of continuation of these orders will be the date of publication in the **Federal Register** of this notice of continuation. Pursuant to section 751(c)(2) of the Act, the Department intends to initiate the next five-year review of these orders not later than 30 days prior to the fifth anniversary of the effective date of continuation.

These five-year sunset reviews and this notice are in accordance with Section 751(c) of the Act and published pursuant to Section 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: May 21, 2010.

## Ronald K. Lorentzen,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 2010–12822 Filed 5–26–10; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

#### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

## International Trade Administration

[C-533-839]

# Carbazole Violet Pigment 23 From India: Continuation of Countervailing Duty Order

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: As a result of the determinations by the Department of Commerce (the Department) and the International Trade Commission (ITC) that revocation of the countervailing duty (CVD) order on CVP–23 would likely lead to continuation of countervailable subsidies, and material injury to an industry in the United States, the Department is publishing a notice of continuation of this CVD order.

# DATES: Effective Date: May 27, 2010. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Martha Douthit at (202) 482–5050, or Dana Mermelstein at (202) 482–1391, AD/CVD Operations, Office 6, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## **Background**

The CVD order was published in the **Federal Register** on December 24, 2004. See Notice of Countervailing Duty Order: Carbazole Violet Pigment 23 From India, 69 FR 77995 (December 29, 2004).

On November 2, 2009, the Department initiated and the ITC instituted a sunset review of the CVD order on CVP–23 from India pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). See Initiation of Five-Year

("Sunset") Reviews, 74 FR 56593 (November 2, 2009). As a result of its review, the Department found that revocation of the CVD order would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of countervailable subsidies, and notified the ITC of the magnitude of the net countervailable subsidies likely to prevail were the order to be revoked. See Carbazole Violet Pigment 23 from India: Final Results of the Expedited Five-year (Sunset) Review of the Countervailing Duty Order, 75 FR 13257 (March 19, 2010).

On May 10, 2010, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act, the ITC determined that revocation of the CVD order on CVP–23 from India, would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonable foreseeable time. See Carbazole Violet Pigment 23 from China and India; Determinations, 75 FR 27815 (May 18, 2010).

## Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by this order is CVP-23 identified as Color Index No. 51319 and Chemical Abstract No. 6358-30-1, with the chemical name of diindolo [3,2-b:3',2'-m] triphenodioxazine, 8,18-dichloro-5,15diethy-5,15-dihydro-, and molecular formula of C<sub>34</sub>H<sub>22</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>2</sub>. The subject merchandise includes the crude pigment in any form (e.g., dry powder, paste, wet cake) and finished pigment in the form of presscake and dry color. Pigment dispersions in any form (e.g., pigments dispersed in oleoresins, flammable solvents, water) are not included within the scope of the order. The merchandise subject to this order is classifiable under subheading 3204.17.9040 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). Although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise under the order is dispositive.

## **Continuation of the Order**

As a result of the determinations by the Department and the ITC that revocation of the CVD order would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of countervailable subsidies, and material injury, to an industry in the United States, pursuant to section 751(d)(2) of the Act, the Department hereby orders the continuation of the CVD order on CVP–23 from India.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>The bracketed section of the product description, [3,2-b:3',2'-m], is not business-proprietary information. In this case, the brackets are simply part of the chemical nomenclature.

¹The bracketed section of the product description, [3,2-b:3',2'-m], is not business proprietary information; the brackets are part of the chemical nomenclature. See December 4, 2003 amendment to petition (supplemental petition) at 8.

U.S. Customs and Border Protection will continue to collect CVD cash deposits at the rates in effect at the time of entry for all imports of subject merchandise. The effective date of continuation of this order will be the date of publication in the Federal Register of this notice of continuation. Pursuant to section 751(c)(2) and 751(c)(6)(A) of the Act, the Department intends to initiate the next five-year (sunset) review of this order not later than 30 days prior to the fifth anniversary of the effective date of continuation.

This five-year (sunset) review and this notice are in accordance with section 751(c) of the Act. This notice is published pursuant to 751(c) and 771(i) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(f)(4).

Dated: May 21, 2010.

## Ronald K. Lorentzen,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 2010–12820 Filed 5–26–10; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

## **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

# International Trade Administration [A-570-827]

Certain Cased Pencils From the People's Republic of China: Extension of Time Limit for the Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce

DATES: Effective Date: May 27, 2010. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Alexander Montoro or Joseph Shuler,

Alexander Montoro or Joseph Shuler, AD/CVD Operations, Office 1, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482–0238 and (202) 482–1293, respectively.

## **Background**

On December 22, 2009, the Department of Commerce ("Department") published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain cased pencils from the People's Republic of China ("PRC"), covering the period December 1, 2007 through November 30, 2008. See Certain Cased Pencils From the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 74 FR 68047 (December 22, 2009). The final results for this

administrative review were due no later than April 21, 2010.¹ On April 21, 2010, the Department published a notice extending the time limit for completion of the final results by 30 days to May 28, 2010, because it needed additional time to analyze complex surrogate value issues. See Certain Cased Pencils From the People's Republic of China: Extension of Time Limit for the Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 75 FR 20815 (April 21, 2010).

# **Extension of Time Limit for Final Results**

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), requires the Department to issue the final results of an administrative review within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary results are published. If it is not practicable to complete the review within that time period, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend the deadline for the final results to a maximum of 180 days after the date on which the preliminary results are published. The Department now finds it is not practicable to complete the final results of this administrative review within the initial time extension of May 28, 2010, because the Department continues to need additional time to consider the complex issues related to surrogate valuation. Therefore, the Department is further extending the time limit for completion of the final results of this review by an additional 30 days to June 27, 2010, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(2). However, June 27, 2010, falls on a Sunday, and it is the Department's long-standing practice to issue a determination the next business day when the statutory deadline falls on a weekend, federal holiday, or any other day when the Department is closed. See Notice of Clarification: Application of "Next Business Day" Rule for Administrative Determination Deadlines Pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930, As Amended, 70 FR 24533 (May 10, 2005). Accordingly, the deadline for

completion of the final results is now no later than June 28, 2010.

This notice is published in accordance with sections 751(a)(3)(A) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: May 21, 2010.

## John M. Andersen,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2010–12804 Filed 5–26–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

#### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

# INTERNATIONAL TRADE ADMINISTRATION

[A-570-822]

## Certain Helical Spring Lock Washers From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce. SUMMARY: On November 9, 2009, the Department of Commerce (the "Department") published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain helical spring lock washers from the People's Republic of China ("PRC"), covering the period October 1, 2007, through September 30, 2008. See Certain Helical Spring Lock Washers From the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 74 FR 57653 (November 9, 2009) ("Preliminary Results"). We gave the interested parties an opportunity to comment on the Preliminary Results. After reviewing the interested parties' comments, we made changes to our calculations for the final results of the review. The final dumping margin for this review is listed in the "Final Results of the Review" section below.

## **EFFECTIVE DATE:** May 27, 2010.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

David Layton or Austin Redington, AD/CVD Operations, Office 1, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482–0371 or (202) 482–1664, respectively.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## **Background**

The Department published the *Preliminary Results* on November 9, 2009. On November 12, 2009, the Department sent a supplemental

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> As explained in the Memorandum from the Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, the Department has exercised its discretion to toll deadlines for the duration of the closure of the Federal Government from February 5 through February 11, 2010. As a result, all deadlines in this segment have been extended by seven days and the revised deadline for the final results became April 28, 2010. See Memorandum to the Record from Ronald Lorentzen, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, "Tolling of Administrative Deadlines As a Result of the Government Closure During Recent Snowstorm," dated February 12, 2010.