link to log on and submit the intervention or protests.

Persons unable to file electronically should submit an original and 14 copies of the intervention or protest to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First St., NE., Washington, DC 20426.

The filings in the above-referenced proceeding are accessible in the Commission's eLibrary system by clicking on the appropriate link in the above list. They are also available for review in the Commission's Public

Reference Room in Washington, DC. There is an eSubscription link on the Web site that enables subscribers to receive e-mail notification when a document is added to a subscribed docket(s). For assistance with any FERC Online service, please e-mail FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov or call (866) 208–3676 (toll free). For TTY, call (202) 502–8659.

#### Kimberly D. Bose,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2010–14152 Filed 6–11–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

### **DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

# Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

Notice of Interviews, Teleconferences, Regional Workshops and Multi-Stakeholder Technical Conference on the Integrated Licensing Process

June 7, 2010.

ILP Effectiveness Evaluation 2010	Docket No. AD10-7-
	000.
Exelon Generation Company, LLC	Project No. 2355-011.
Evalor Congretion Company IIC	Project No. 405-087.
Merced Irrigation District	Project No. 2179-042.
Merced Irrigation District Pacific Gas & Electric Company	Project No. 2310-173.
Nevada Irrigation District	Project No. 2266-096.
Sabine River Authority of Texas and State of Louisiana	Project No. 2305-020.
Sabine River Authority of Texas and State of Louisiana	Project No. 12607-001.
Free Flow Power Corporation	Project No. 12829-001.
Free Flow Power Corporation	Project No. 12861-001.
Free Flow Power Corporation	Project No. 12912-001.
Free Flow Power Corporation	Project No. 12915-001.
Free Flow Power Corporation  Free Flow Power Corporation  Free Flow Power Corporation	Project No. 12921-001.
Free Flow Power Corporation	Project No. 12930-001.
Free Flow Power Corporation	Project No. 12938-001.
and the second s	.,

On May 18, 2010, the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) staff issued notice of a second effectiveness evaluation of the Integrated Licensing Process (ILP). The purpose of this evaluation is to seek further feedback on experiences in the ILP and to explore ideas to better implement the ILP. The May 18, 2010 Notice describes this effort, which includes conducting interviews and teleconferences with a cross-section of stakeholders, four regional workshops, and a multistakeholder effectiveness technical conference in Washington, DC. To facilitate this review. FERC has contracted with Kearns & West to conduct the 2010 ILP Effectiveness Evaluation.

As indicated in the May 18, 2010 Notice members of Kearns & West are conducting phone interviews with a number of representatives of agencies, tribes, non-governmental organizations, licensees, Commission staff, and others involved in 19 hydropower licensing proceedings in which the applicants have completed the pre-filing efforts and filed license applications using the ILP. To gather additional insight into the ILP formal dispute resolution process, staff has decided to expand the interview efforts to include all the proceedings where the ILP formal dispute resolution process has been used. The additional proceedings are

identified in the caption above. Because of *ex parte* concerns, discussions will be limited to process rather than the merits of any proceeding before the Commission.

See the May 18, 2010 Notice for additional details regarding the ILP effectiveness study.

For additional information, please contact David Turner at 202–502–6091 or david.turner@ferc.gov.

## Kimberly D. Bose,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2010-14151 Filed 6-11-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

## **DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

# Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy

## Notice of the Carbon Sequestration— Geothermal Energy—Science Joint Workshop

**AGENCY:** Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, DOE.

**ACTION:** Notice of the Carbon Sequestration—Geothermal Energy— Science Joint Workshop.

**SUMMARY:** The DOE Geothermal Technologies Program, Office of Science-Geosciences Program and Office of Fossil Energy-Carbon Sequestration Program will be holding a joint workshop on Common Research Themes for Carbon Storage and Geothermal Energy, June 15–16, 2010. Experts from industry, academia, national labs, and State and Federal geological surveys will discuss geosciences research needs for subsurface reservoir characterization, development, and management. The resulting report will provide the collaborating offices with information key to coordinating high priority research in common areas. Further information, including the final report, when available, can be found on the Geothermal Technologies Program Web site—http://www.geothermal. energy.gov.

DATES: The Carbon Sequestration—Geothermal Energy—Science Joint Workshop will be held June 15, 2010, from 7:30 a.m.—7:30 p.m. EDT and June 16, 2010, from 7:30 a.m.—7:30 p.m. EDT.

ADDRESSES: The Carbon Sequestration—Geothermal Energy—Science Joint Workshop Conference will be held at the Hilton Washington, DC/Rockville Executive Meeting Center, 1750 Rockville Pike, in Rockville, MD.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Alison LaBonte, AAAS Science and Technology Policy Fellow, via e-mail at alison.labonte@ee.doe.gov. Further information on DOE's Geothermal Technologies Program can be viewed at http://www.geothermal.energy.gov/.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Advance registration is required. If you would like to register, please contact Lee-Ann Talley via e-mail at *Lee-Ann.Talley@orise.orau.gov.* 

Issued in Washington, DC, on June 9, 2010. **Steven Chalk,** 

Chief Operating Officer, Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, U.S. Department of Energy.

[FR Doc. 2010-14257 Filed 6-11-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-P

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[Docket No. EPA-R02-OAR-2010-0482; FRL-9161-5]

Adequacy Status of the Submitted 2009 PM<sub>2.5</sub> Motor Vehicle Emission Budgets for Transportation Conformity Purposes for New Jersey

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice of adequacy.

**SUMMARY:** In this notice, EPA is notifying the public that we have found that the motor vehicle emissions budgets for PM<sub>2.5</sub> and NO<sub>X</sub> in the submitted attainment demonstration state implementation plans for the New Jersey portions of the New York-Northern New Jersey-Long Island, NY-NJ–CT, and Philadelphia-Wilmington, PA-NJ-DE, PM<sub>2.5</sub> nonattainment areas to be adequate for transportation conformity purposes. The transportation conformity rule (40 CFR part 93) requires that the EPA conduct a public process and make an affirmative decision on the adequacy of budgets before they can be used by metropolitan planning organizations in conformity determinations. As a result of our finding, two metropolitan planning organizations in New Jersey (the North Jersey Transportation Planning Authority and the Delaware Valley

Regional Planning Commission) must use the new 2009  $PM_{2.5}$  budgets for future transportation conformity determinations.

**DATES:** This finding is effective June 29, 2010.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Matt Laurita, Air Programs Branch, Environmental Protection Agency—Region 2, 290 Broadway, 25th Floor, New York, New York 10007–1866, (212) 637–3895, laurita.matthew@epa.gov.

The finding and the response to comments will be available at EPA's conformity Web site: http://www.epa.gov/otaq/stateresources/transconf/adequacy.htm.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### **Background**

On April 1, 2009, New Jersey submitted attainment demonstration state implementation plans to EPA for both the New York-Northern New Jersey-Long Island, NY-NJ-CT (New York), and Philadelphia-Wilmington, PA-NJ-DE (Philadelphia), PM<sub>2.5</sub> nonattainment areas. The purpose of New Jersey's submittal was to demonstrate the State's progress toward attaining the 1997 PM<sub>2.5</sub> National Ambient Air Quality Standard (62 FR 38652, July 18, 1997). New Jersey's submittal included motor vehicle emissions budgets ("budgets") for 2009 for use by the State's metropolitan planning organizations in making transportation conformity determinations. On August 19, 2009, EPA posted the availability of the budgets our Web site for the purpose of soliciting public comments. The comment period closed on September 18, 2009, and we received no comments.

Today's notice is simply an announcement of a finding that we have already made. EPA Region 2 sent a letter to New Jersey on May 4, 2010, stating that the 2009 motor vehicle emissions budgets in New Jersey's SIPs for both the New York and Philadelphia PM<sub>2.5</sub> nonattainment areas are adequate because they are consistent with the required attainment demonstration. In

the letter we also clarified that the adequate 2009 budgets do not replace previously approved "early progress" budgets for either NJTPA (71 FR 38770, July 10, 2006) or the Mercer County portion of DVRPC (73 FR 24868, May 6, 2008). However, since the previously approved budgets were established voluntarily (i.e. not to satisfy a specific Clean Air Act requirement), and the budgets submitted on April 1, 2009, were part of a required attainment demonstration, the budgets being found adequate today will co-exist with the previously approved budgets, and the more protective budgets will take precedence in any regional emissions analysis performed by either MPO.

Transportation conformity is required by section 176(c) of the Clean Air Act. EPA's conformity rule requires that transportation plans, programs, and projects conform to SIPs and establishes the criteria and procedures for determining whether or not they conform. Conformity to a SIP means that transportation activities will not produce new air quality violations, worsen existing violations, or delay timely attainment of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards.

The criteria by which we determine whether a SIP's motor vehicle emission budgets are adequate for conformity purposes are outlined in 40 CFR 93.118(e)(4). Please note that an adequacy review is separate from EPA's completeness review, and it also should not be used to prejudge EPA's ultimate approval of the SIP. Even if we find a budget adequate, the SIP could later be disapproved.

We have described our process for determining the adequacy of submitted SIP budgets in 40 CFR 93.118(f). We have followed this rule in making our adequacy determination. The motor vehicle emissions budgets being found adequate today are listed in Table 1. EPA's finding will also be announced on EPA's conformity Web site: http://www.epa.gov/otaq/stateresources/transconf/adequacy.htm.

TABLE 1—2009 ATTAINMENT PM<sub>2.5</sub> MOTOR VEHICLE EMISSIONS BUDGETS FOR NEW JERSEY [Tons per year]

Metropolitan planning organization	PM <sub>2.5</sub>	$NO_X$
North Jersey Transportation Planning Authority	842 105 341	44,321 5,323 17,319