should be considered null and void. Election Systems & Software (ES&S) should not have the right to ever pursue former Premier Associates in legal matters with respect to those Agreements. The Agreements should not be void as of the Date of the Final Judgment as some of these former employees have already started working with other vendors. These former employees would be subject to legal action from ES&S since they wouldn't fall within the window set forth in the Final Judgment. These Agreements should be considered void as of the date of the employee's termination date. Also the agreements are already set to expire in September 2011 so there is no reason to have a 6 month window for any acquirer to hire these former employees. These former employees should also be able to go to work for any company in the election industry, not just the acquirer, without fear or threat from ES&S. Below is my consideration to the wording set forth in the Final Judgment.

All restrictive covenants contained within any employment agreement or separation agreement entered into between Premier Election Solutions, Inc., its parent corporation, subsidiaries, officers, directors, supervisors and/or representatives (collectively referred to as "Premier") and any individuals formerly employed by Premier who were terminated in 2009 are declared void. Premier may not institute or maintain a cause of action or any claim based on a restrictive covenant against any individual formerly employed by Premier who was terminated in 2009. Premier has consented to waive all such claims and causes of action throughout the United States of America.

Thanks for your consideration. The Public

Attention: Maribeth Petrizzi, Chief, Litigation II Section, Antitrust Division, United States Department of Justice, 450 Fifth Street, NW.; Suite 8700, Washington, DC 20530.

United States of America, et al., Plaintiff, v. Election Systems & Software, Inc., Defendant

As a friend of a former employee of Premier Election Solutions who was terminated as a result of this illegal acquisition by Election Systems & Software (ES&S), I would like to file a suggestion to the court. The former employees of Premier Elections should not be restricted to continue working their trade in elections or be prevented from earning a living for their families as a result of a noncompetition agreement and Separation Agreement in this illegal purchase. The agreements

should be considered null and void. Election Systems & Software (ES&S) should not have the right to ever pursue former Premier Associates in legal matters with respect to those Agreements. The Agreements should not be void as of the Date of the Final Judgment as some of these former employees have already started working with other vendors. These former employees would be subject to legal action from ES&S since they wouldn't fall within the window set forth in the Final Judgment. These Agreements should be considered void as of the date of the employee's termination date. Also the agreements are already set to expire in September 2011 so there is no reason to have a 6 month window for any acquirer to hire these former employees. These former employees should also be able to go to work for any company in the election industry, not just the acquirer, without fear or threat from ES&S. Below is my consideration to the wording set forth in the Final Judgment.

All restrictive covenants contained within any employment agreement or separation agreement entered into between Premier Election Solutions, Inc., its parent corporation, subsidiaries, officers, directors, supervisors and/or representatives (collectively referred to as "Premier") and any individuals formerly employed by Premier who were terminated in 2009 are declared void. Premier may not institute or maintain a cause of action or any claim based on a restrictive covenant against any individual formerly employed by Premier who was terminated in 2009. Premier has consented to waive all such claims and causes of action throughout the United States of America.

Thanks for your consideration.

The Public.

[FR Doc. 2010–15368 Filed 6–25–10; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–11–M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

Importer of Controlled Substances; Notice of Registration

By Notice dated March 16, 2010, and published in the **Federal Register** on March 24, 2010 (75 FR 14188), Sigma Aldrich Manufacturing LLC., 3500 Dekalb Street, St. Louis, Missouri 63118, made application by renewal to the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) to be registered as an importer of the basic classes of controlled substances listed in schedules I and II:

Drug	Schedule
Cathinone (1235)	1
Methcathinone (1237)	1
Aminorex (1585)	1
Gamma Hydroxybutyric Acid (2010).	1
Methaqualone (2565)	1
Alpha-ethyltryptamine (7249)	1
Ibogaine (7260) Lysergic acid diethylamide (7315)	
Marihuana (7360)	i
Tetrahydrocannabinols (7370)	
Mescaline (7381) 4-Bromo-2,5-	
dimethoxyamphetamine (7391).	
4-Bromo-2,5- dimethoxyphenethylamine	
(7392).	
4-Methyl-2,5-	I
dimethoxyamphetamine (7395). 2,5-Dimethoxyamphetamine	1
(7396).	
3,4-Methylenedioxyamphetamine	I
(7400). N-Hydroxy-3,4-	1
methylenedioxyamphetamine	
(7402).	
3,4-Methylenedioxy-N- ethylamphetamine (7404).	I
3,4-	1
Methylenedioxymethamphetami-	
ne (MDMA) (7405). 4-Methoxyamphetamine (7411)	1
Bufotenine (7433)	i
Diethyltryptamine (7434)	1
Dimethyltryptamine (7435) Psilocybin (7437)	
Psilocyn (7438)	i
1-[1-(2- Thienyl)cyclohexyl]piperidine	1
(7470).	
N-Benzylpiperazine (BZP) (7493)	
Heroin (9200) Normorphine (9313)	
Etonitazene (9624)	i
Amphetamine (1100)	
Methamphetamine (1105) Methylphenidate (1724)	
Amobarbital (2125)	11
Pentobarbital (2270) Secobarbital (2315)	
Glutethimide (2550)	ii ii
Nabilone (7379)	11
Phencyclidine (7471) Cocaine (9041)	
Codeine (9050)	ii
Diprenorphine (9058)	
Oxycodone (9143) Hydromorphone (9150)	
Diphenoxylate (9170)	ii
Ecgonine (9180)	
Ethylmorphine (9190) Hydrocodone (9193)	
Levorphanol (9220)	I
Meperidine (9230) Methadone (9250)	
Morphine (9200)	
Thebaine (9333)	П
Opium, powdered (9639) Levo-alphacetylmethadol (9648)	
Oxymorphone (9652)	
Fentanyl (9801)	П

The company plans to import the listed controlled substances for sale to

research facilities for drug testing and analysis.

No comments or objections have been received. DEA has considered the factors in 21 U.S.C. 823(a) and 952(a), and determined that the registration of Sigma Aldrich Manufacturing LLC. to import the basic classes of controlled substances is consistent with the public interest, and with United States obligations under international treaties, conventions, or protocols in effect on May 1, 1971, at this time. DEA has investigated Sigma Aldrich Manufacturing LLC. to ensure that the company's registration is consistent with the public interest. The investigation has included inspection and testing of the company's physical security systems, verification of the company's compliance with State and local laws, and a review of the company's background and history. Therefore, pursuant to 21 U.S.C. 952(a) and 958(a), and in accordance with 21 CFR 1301.34, the above named company is granted registration as an importer of the basic classes of controlled substances listed.

Dated: June 17, 2010.

Joseph T. Rannazzisi,

Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration.

[FR Doc. 2010–15557 Filed 6–25–10; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–09–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

Importer of Controlled Substances; Notice of Registration

By Notice dated December 17, 2009, and published in the **Federal Register** on January 4, 2010 (75 FR 160), Mylan Technologies Inc., 110 Lake Street, Saint Albans, Vermont 05478, made application by renewal to the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) to be registered as an importer of the basic classes of controlled substances listed in schedule II:

Drug	Schedule
Methylphenidate (1724)	
Fentanyl (9801)	

The company plans to import the listed controlled substances for analytical research and clinical trials.

No comments or objections have been received. DEA has considered the factors in 21 U.S.C. 823(a) and 952(a), and determined that the registration of Mylan Technologies Inc. to import the basic classes of controlled substances is

consistent with the public interest, and with United States obligations under international treaties, conventions, or protocols in effect on May 1, 1971, at this time. DEA has investigated Mylan Technologies Inc. to ensure that the company's registration is consistent with the public interest. The investigation has included inspection and testing of the company's physical security systems, verification of the company's compliance with state and local laws, and a review of the company's background and history. Therefore, pursuant to 21 U.S.C. 952(a) and 958(a), and in accordance with 21 CFR 1301.34, the above named company is granted registration as an importer of the basic classes of controlled substances listed.

Dated: June 17, 2010.

Joseph T. Rannazzisi,

Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration.

[FR Doc. 2010–15556 Filed 6–25–10; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–09–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

Importer of Controlled Substances; Notice of Registration

By Notice dated March 16, 2010, and published in the **Federal Register** on March 24, 2010 (75 FR 14187), Meridian Medical Technologies, 2555 Hermelin Drive, St. Louis, Missouri 63144, made application by renewal to the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) to be registered as an importer of Morphine (9300), a basic class of controlled substance listed in schedule II.

The company manufactures a product containing morphine in the United States. The company exports this product to customers around the world, including in Europe. The company has been asked to ensure that its product sold to European customers meets standards established by the European Pharmacopeia, which is administered by the Directorate for the Quality of Medicines (EDQM). In order to ensure that its product will meet European specifications, the company seeks to import morphine supplied by EDQM to use as reference standards. This is the sole purpose for which the company will be authorized by DEA to import morphine.

No comments or objections have been received. DEA has considered the factors in 21 U.S.C. 823(a) and 952(a), and determined that the registration of

Meridian Medical Technologies to import the basic classes of controlled substances is consistent with the public interest, and with United States obligations under international treaties, conventions, or protocols in effect on May 1, 1971, at this time. DEA has investigated Meridian Medical Technologies to ensure that the company's registration is consistent with the public interest. The investigation has included inspection and testing of the company's physical security systems, verification of the company's compliance with state and local laws, and a review of the company's background and history. Therefore, pursuant to 21 U.S.C. 952(a) and § 958(a), and in accordance with 21 CFR 1301.34, the above named company is granted registration as an importer of the basic classes of controlled substances listed.

Dated: June 17, 2010.

Joseph T. Rannazzisi,

Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration. [FR Doc. 2010–15555 Filed 6–25–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-09-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

Importer of Controlled Substances; Notice of Registration

By Notice dated March 16, 2010, and published in the **Federal Register** on March 24, 2010 (75 FR 14186), Roche Diagnostics Operations Inc., *Attn:* Regulatory Compliance, 9115 Hague Road, Indianapolis, Indiana 46250, made application by renewal to the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) to be registered as an importer of the basic classes of controlled substances listed in schedules I and II:

Drug	Schedule
Lysergic acid diethylamide (7315) Tetrahydrocannabinols (7370) Alphamethadol (9605) Cocaine (9041) Ecgonine (9180) Methadone (9250) Morphine (9300)	

The company plans to import the listed controlled substances for the manufacture of diagnostic products for distribution to its customers.

No comments or objections have been received. DEA has considered the factors in 21 U.S.C. 823(a) and 952(a), and determined that the registration of Roche Diagnostics Operations Inc. to