widely distributed throughout the southeastern United States. Since then the species has become extremely rare and was, until recently, commonly accepted as extirpated from its known range in the United States. The ivorybilled woodpecker's disappearance is closely linked with logging and clearing of the contiguous forest habitats which once covered much of the southeastern United States. Additionally, as habitats became fragmented and access to the birds increased, collecting and other direct mortality may have had a significant impact.

Despite this species' having been listed since 1967, no recovery plan was prepared, in large part due to the lack of any clear, undisputed evidence (since 1944) of the species' continued existence. However, evidence supporting the presence of at least one bird in the Bayou de View area of Cache River National Wildlife Refuge in 2004, as well as additional supporting information, generated the need to complete a recovery plan. Given the limited information on the current number of individuals throughout the species' range and the limited knowledge on biology, habitat requirements, and genetic information, we recognize the need to generate scientific information to better address the threats and limiting factors to this species and to develop additional specific recovery criteria.

The recovery strategy initially focuses on learning more about the species' status and ecology, including documenting known locations and characterizing these habitats. Population goals are not identified, but are acknowledged as key to recovery. Initial efforts include development of models and additional research that will generate these spatially explicit population goals. Neither an appropriate time to recovery nor cost estimate are meaningful at this time, due to the difficulty in reliably locating individual birds or their roosting or nesting cavities.

Recovery Objectives

This recovery plan identifies many interim actions needed to achieve long-term viability for the ivory-billed woodpecker and to accomplish these goals. Recovery of the ivory-billed woodpecker focuses on the following objectives:

- 1. Identify and delineate any existing populations.
- 2. Identify and reduce risks to any existing population.
- 3. Protect and enhance suitable habitat once populations are identified.

4. Reduce or eliminate threats sufficient to allow successful restoration of multiple populations when those populations are identified.

The emphasis for recovery will be on the distribution of additional viable populations in the historic range of the species. Discovery, documentation, and subsequent management of additional populations must meet scientifically accepted goals for the promotion of viable populations of listed species.

At present, the limited knowledge on the population abundance, distribution, habitat requirements, and biology of the ivory-billed woodpecker prevents development of more specific recovery criteria. The following interim criteria will lead us to the development of more specific, quantifiable criteria that should be met before we consider the delisting of this species:

- 1. Survey potential habitats for any occurrences of the species.
- 2. Determine current habitat use and needs of any existing populations.
- 3. Conserve and enhance habitat on public land where ivory-billed woodpeckers are located. Acquire additional acreage, if needed, from willing sellers and list in the public habitat inventory.
- 4. Conserve and enhance habitat on private lands through the use of voluntary agreements (e.g., conservation easements, habitat conservation plans) and public outreach.
- 5. Analyze viability of any existing populations (numbers, breeding success, population genetics, and ecology).
- 6. Determine the number and geographic distribution of subpopulations needed to create conditions favorable to a self-sustaining metapopulation and to evaluate habitat suitable for species re-introduction.

The draft recovery plan was completed and released for public comment on August 22, 2007 (72 FR 47064). We solicited review and comment from local, State, and Federal agencies and the public on the draft recovery plan. We considered all comments we received during the comment period, peer review comments, and additional recovery team comments prior to the decision to approve of the revised recovery plan. Responses to these comments are found in Appendix K of the recovery plan. We welcome continuing public comment on this recovery plan, and we will consider all substantive comments on an ongoing basis to inform the implementation of recovery activities and future updates to the recovery plan.

Authority: The authority for this action is section 4(f) of the Endangered Species Act, 16 U.S.C. 1533(f).

Dated: January 15, 2010.

Jeffrey M. Fleming,

Acting Regional Director, Southeast Region.

Editorial Note: This document was received in the Office of the Federal Register on July 14, 2010.

[FR Doc. 2010–17486 Filed 7–16–10; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–55–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Indian Affairs

Request for Nominations of Members To Serve on the Bureau of Indian Education Advisory Board for Exceptional Education

AGENCY: Bureau of Indian Education, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of Request for Nominations.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act, 5 U.S.C., Appendix 2, and the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA) of 2004, (20 U.S.C. 1400 et seq.) the Bureau of Indian Education requests nominations of individuals to serve on the Advisory Board for Exceptional Education (Advisory Board). There are eight positions available. The Bureau of Indian Education (BIE) will consider nominations received in response to this Request for Nominations, as well as other sources. The SUPPLEMENTARY **INFORMATION** section for this notice provides Advisory Board and membership criteria.

DATES: Nominations must be received on or before August 18, 2010.

ADDRESSES: Please submit nomination information to Sue Bement, Designated Federal Official (DFO), Bureau of Indian Education, Albuquerque Service Center, Division of Performance and Accountability, P.O. Box 1088, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87103–1088.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sue Bement, Education Specialist, telephone (505) 563–5274.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Advisory Board was established in accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Public Law 92–463. The following provides information about the Advisory Board, the membership and the nomination process.

Objective and Duties

(a) Members of the Advisory Board will provide guidance, advice and recommendations with respect to special education and related services for children with disabilities in Bureaufunded schools in accordance with the requirements of IDEA of 2004.

- (b) The Advisory Board will:
- (1) Provide advice and recommendations for the coordination of services within the BIE and with other local, State and Federal agencies;
- (2) Provide advice and recommendations on a broad range of policy issues dealing with the provision of educational services to American Indian children with disabilities:
- (3) Serve as advocates for American Indian students with special education needs by providing advice and recommendations regarding best practices, effective program coordination strategies, and recommendations for improved educational programming;
- (4) Provide advice and recommendations for the preparation of information required to be submitted to the Secretary of Education under 20 U.S.C. 1411(h)(2)(D);
- (5) Provide advice and recommend policies concerning effective inter/intraagency collaboration, including modifications to regulations, and the elimination of barriers to inter/intraagency programs and activities; and
- (6) Report and direct all correspondence to the Assistant Secretary-Indian Affairs through the Director, BIE with a courtesy copy to the DFO.

Membership

- (a) As required by 20 U.S.C. 1411(h)(6), the Advisory Board shall be composed of 15 individuals involved in or concerned with the education and provision of services to Indian infants, toddlers, children, and youth with disabilities. The Advisory Board composition will reflect a broad range of viewpoints and will include at least one (1) Member representing each of the following interests: Indians with disabilities; teachers of children with disabilities; Indian parents or guardians of children with disabilities; service providers; State Education Officials; Local Education Officials; State Interagency Coordinating Councils (for States having Indian reservations); tribal representatives or tribal organization representatives; and other members representing the various divisions and entities of the BIE.
- (b) The Assistant Secretary-Indian Affairs may provide the Secretary of the Interior recommendations for the chairperson; however, the chairperson and other board members will be appointed by the Secretary of the Interior. Advisory Board members shall serve staggered terms of 2 or 3 years from the date of their appointment.

Miscellaneous

- (a) Members of the Advisory Board will not receive compensation, but will be reimbursed for travel, including subsistence, and other necessary expenses incurred in the performance of their duties in the same manner as persons employed intermittently in Government Service under 5 U.S.C. 5703.
- (b) A member may not participate in matters that will directly affect, or appear to affect, the financial interests of the member or the member's spouse or minor children, unless authorized by the DFO. Compensation from employment does not constitute a financial interest of the member so long as the matter before the committee will not have a special or distinct effect on the member or the member's employer, other than as part of a class. The provisions of this paragraph do not affect any other statutory or regulatory ethical obligations to which a member may be subject.
- (c) The Advisory Board meets at least twice a year, budget permitting, but additional meetings may be held as deemed necessary by the Assistant Secretary-Indian Affairs or DFO.
- (d) All Advisory Board meetings are open to the public in accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act regulations.

Nomination Information

- (a) Nominations are requested from individuals, organizations, and federally recognized tribes, as well as from State Directors of Special Education (within the 23 states in which Bureau-funded schools are located) concerned with the education of Indian children with disabilities as described above.
- (b) Nominees should have expertise and knowledge of the issues and/or needs of American Indian children with disabilities. Such knowledge and expertise are needed to provide advice and recommendations to the BIE regarding the needs of American Indian children with disabilities.
- (c) A résumé or curriculum vitae summarizing the candidate's qualifications must be included with the nomination application. Nominees must have the ability to attend Advisory Board meetings, carry out Advisory Board assignments, participate in teleconferences, and work in groups.
- (d) The Department of the Interior is committed to equal opportunity in the workplace and seeks diverse Advisory Board membership, which is bound by the Indian Preference Act of 1990 (25 U.S.C. 472).

Nomination Contents

If you wish to nominate someone for appointment to the Advisory Board, pleases do not make the nomination until the person has been contacted and agreed to have his/her name submitted to BIE for this purpose. BIE is interested in the following in its review of nominations:

- The nominee's contact information, including full name, mailing address, city, state, zip code, primary and secondary contact phone numbers, place of employment, work street address, city, state and zip code, employment title, work telefax number and email address;
- Which of the following categories the person will represent (one or more): Indian persons with disabilities; teachers of children with disabilities; Indian parents or guardians of children with disabilities; service providers; State education officials; local education officials; State interagency coordinating councils (for States having Indian reservations); tribal representatives or tribal organization representatives; Bureau employees concerned with the education of children with disabilities;
- Whether the nominee is recommended for the role of Advisory Board Chairperson or Advisory Board Member;
- Which of the following describes nominee's experience with Bureaufunded schools (one or more): BIE day school, BIE boarding school, offreservation boarding school, tribal contract school, tribal grant school, cooperative school;
- Information highlighting experiences related to the education of Indian infants, toddlers, children and youths with disabilities, including time frames of experience or employment, position titles, location of employment or organization involvement and a brief description of duties;
- A list of membership or affiliations with professional education organizations, particularly special education organizations, and organization offices held, if applicable;
- Special interests, activities, awards (professional, educational and community) related to the education of disabled Indian children (infants, toddlers, children and/or youths); and
- The name and contact information (street address, city, state, zip code, telephone number and telefax number) of the Indian tribe, organization, individual (include position title) making the nomination, including the signature of the authorizing official and date of signature.

Dated: June 25, 2010.

Larry Echo Hawk,

Assistant Secretary-Indian Affairs. [FR Doc. 2010–17544 Filed 7–16–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-6W-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigations Nos. 701-TA-465 and 731-TA-1161 (Final)]

Certain Steel Grating From China

Determination

On the basis of the record ¹ developed in the subject investigations, the United States International Trade Commission (Commission) determines, pursuant to sections 705(b) and 735(B) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1671d(b)) and (19 U.S.C. 1673d(b)) (the Act), that an industry in the United States is materially injured by reason of imports of certain steel grating from China, provided for in subheading 7308.90.70 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States, that the U.S. Department of Commerce has determined are subsidized and sold in the United States at less than fair value.2

Background

These investigations were instituted in response to a petition filed on May 29, 2009, by Alabama Metal Industries, Birmingham, AL and Fisher & Ludlow, Wexford, PA. The final phase of the investigations was scheduled by the Commission following notification of preliminary determinations by Commerce that imports of certain steel gratings from China were being subsidized within the meaning of section 703(b) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1671b(b)) and dumped within the meaning of 733(b) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1673b(b)). Notice of the scheduling of the final phase of the Commission's investigations and of a public hearing to be held in connection therewith was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, and by publishing the notice in the Federal Register on February 25, 2010 (75 FR 8746). The hearing was held in Washington, DC, on May 25, 2010, and all persons who requested the opportunity were permitted to appear in person or by counsel.

The Commission transmitted its determinations in these investigations to

the Secretary of Commerce on July 13, 2010. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 4168 (July 2010), entitled *Certain Steel Grating from China: Investigation Nos.* 701–TA–465 and 731–TA–1161 (Final).

By order of the Commission. Issued: July 14, 2010.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission. $[{\rm FR\ Doc.\ 2010-17498\ Filed\ 7-16-10;\ 8:45\ am}]$

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337-TA-728]

In the Matter of Certain Collaborative System Products and Components Thereof (II); Notice of Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Institution of investigation pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1337.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on June 15, 2010, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, on behalf of eInstruction Corporation of Denton, Texas. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain collaborative system products and components thereof by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,930,673. The complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

The complainant requests that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue an exclusion order and a cease and desist order.

ADDRESSES: The complaint, except for any confidential information contained therein, is available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Room 112, Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-2000. Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202–205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000.

General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at http://www.usitc.gov. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at http://edis.usitc.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Thomas S. Fusco, Esq., Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone (202) 205–2571.

Authority: The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and in section 210.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10 (2010).

Scope of Investigation: Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on July 12, 2010, ordered that—

- (1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain collaborative system products or components thereof that infringe one or more of claims 1–24 of U.S. Patent No. 6,930,673, and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337;
- (2) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:
- (a) The complainant is: eInstruction Corporation, 308 N. Carroll Boulevard, Denton, Texas 76201.
- (b) The respondents are the following entities alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served: Promethean Inc., 1165 Sanctuary

Parkway, Suite 400, Alpharetta, Georgia 30009.

- Promethean Technology Shenzhen Ltd., Room 2756, 27/F, K. Wah Center, No. 1010 Huaihai Zhong Road, Xuhui District, Shanghai, China.
- (c) The Commission investigative attorney, party to this investigation, is Thomas S. Fusco, Esq., Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Suite 401, Washington, DC 20436; and
- (3) For the investigation so instituted, the Honorable Paul J. Luckern, Chief Administrative Law Judge, U.S. International Trade Commission, shall designate the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

¹The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

² All six Commissioners voted in the affirmative.