TA-W No.	Subject firm	Location	Impact date
73,977	The Flint Journal	Flint, MI.	

The following determinations terminating investigations were issued in cases where these petitions were not filed in accordance with the requirements of 29 CFR 90.11. Every petition filed by workers must be signed by at least three individuals of the petitioning worker group. Petitioners separated more than one year prior to the date of the petition cannot be covered under a certification of a petition under Section 223(b), and therefore, may not be part of a petitioning worker group. For one or more of these reasons, these petitions were deemed invalid.

TA-W No.	Subject firm	Location	Impact date
74,163 74,314		Huber Heights, OH. Tyler, TX.	

The following determinations terminating investigations were issued because the petitioning groups of workers are covered by active certifications. Consequently, further investigation in these cases would serve no purpose since the petitioning group of workers cannot be covered by more than one certification at a time.

TA-W No.	Subject firm	Location	Impact date
73,544	Premier Manufacturing Support Services, Inc	Fremont, CA.	

The following determinations terminating investigations were issued

because the petitions are the subject of ongoing investigations under petitions filed earlier covering the same petitioners.

TA-W No.	Subject firm	Location	Impact date
74,330	Hoffman-LaRoche, Inc	Nutley, NJ. Union City, CA. Wood River, IL.	

I hereby certify that the aforementioned determinations were issued during the period of July 6, 2010 through July 9, 2010. Copies of these determinations may be requested under the Freedom of Information Act. Requests may be submitted by fax, courier services, or mail to FOIA Disclosure Officer, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance (ETA), U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210 or tofoiarequest@dol.gov. These determinations also are available on the Department's Web site at http:// www.doleta.gov/tradeact under the searchable listing of determinations.

Dated: July 16, 2010.

Michael W. Jaffe,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 2010–18183 Filed 7–23–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

Investigations Regarding Certifications of Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

Petitions have been filed with the Secretary of Labor under Section 221(a) of the Trade Act of 1974 ("the Act") and are identified in the Appendix to this notice. Upon receipt of these petitions, the Director of the Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Employment and Training Administration, has instituted investigations pursuant to Section 221(a) of the Act.

The purpose of each of the investigations is to determine whether the workers are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Title II, Chapter 2, of the Act. The investigations will further relate, as appropriate, to the determination of the date on which total or partial separations began or threatened to begin and the subdivision of the firm involved.

The petitioners or any other persons showing a substantial interest in the subject matter of the investigations may request a public hearing, provided such request is filed in writing with the Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than August 5, 2010.

Interested persons are invited to submit written comments regarding the subject matter of the investigations to the Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than August 5, 2010.

Copies of these petitions may be requested under the Freedom of Information Act. Requests may be submitted by fax, courier services, or mail, to FOIA Disclosure Officer, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance (ETA), U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210 or to foiarequest@dol.gov.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 15th of July 2010.

Elliott Kushner,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

APPENDIX—TAA PETITIONS INSTITUTED BETWEEN 7/6/10 AND 7/9/10

TA-W	Subject firm (petitioners)	Location	Date of institution	Date of petition
74338	Madison County Employment and Training (Union)	Wood River, IL	07/06/10	06/22/10
74339	Sitel Corporation (Company)	Memphis, TN	07/06/10	07/01/10
74340	Bert Jensen & Sons, Inc. (Únion)	Racine, WI	07/06/10	07/02/10
74341	Charleston Forge (Workers)	Boone, NC	07/07/10	06/22/10
74342	International Paper Company (State/One-Stop)	Jonesboro, AR	07/07/10	07/06/10
74343	Diversey (Company)	Santa Cruz, CA	07/07/10	07/01/10
74344	Hanes Brands, Inc. (Workers)	Winston Salem, NC	07/07/10	06/18/10
74345	Medtronic Spine, LLC (Company)	Sunnyvale, CA	07/07/10	07/01/10
74346	Warner Brothers Entertainment Company, et al. (State/	Burbank, CA	07/07/10	07/01/10
	One-Stop).			
74347	NCR Corporation (Workers)	West Columbia, SC	07/07/10	06/18/10
74348	TriZetto Group (State/One-Stop)	Greenwood Village, CO	07/07/10	07/01/10
74349	Belding Hausman, Inc. (Company)	Emporia, VA	07/07/10	06/28/10
74350	PricewaterhouseCoopers (Workers)	Chicago, IL	07/07/10	06/24/10
74351	Anthem Insurance Companies, Inc. (Workers)	Mason, OH	07/07/10	07/01/10
74352	Trim Master, Inc. (State/One-Stop)	Nicholasville, KY	07/07/10	06/30/10
74353	Riverhawk Aviation (Workers)	Hickory, NC	07/08/10	06/30/10
74354	HSBC Card Services, Inc. (Workers)	Tulsa, OK	07/08/10	06/18/10
74355	Dish Network Service Corporation (Workers)	McKeesport, PA	07/08/10	06/30/10
74356	Industrial Technologies Corporation (Company)	Missoula, MT	07/08/10	07/02/10
74357	Cinram Manufacturing, LLC (State/One-Stop)	Simi Valley, CA	07/08/10	07/07/10
74358	PW Hardwoods (Workers)	Brookville, PA	07/08/10	06/23/10
74359	SuperMedia, LLC (Workers)	Everett, WA	07/08/10	07/01/10
74360	CR Compressors, LLC (Company)	Decatur, AL	07/09/10	07/08/10
74361	CR Compressors, LLC (Company)	Hartselle, AL	07/09/10	07/08/10
74362	Harley-Davidson (Company)	York, PA	07/09/10	07/01/10
74363	Affiliated Computer Services (Workers)	London, KY	07/09/10	07/01/10
74364	International Business Machines Corporation (IBM) (State/	Armonk, NY	07/09/10	06/29/10
	One-Stop).			
74365	Envios El Cid, Inc. (Workers)	Glendale, CA	07/09/10	06/28/10
74366	Ryder Truck Rental (Workers)	Auburn Hills, MI	07/09/10	06/28/10
74367	Sensata Technologies (Workers)	Attleboro, MA	07/09/10	06/30/10

[FR Doc. 2010–18182 Filed 7–23–10; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–FN–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-70,749]

FANUC Robotics America, Inc., Including On-Site Leased Workers From Right Angle Staffing, Inc., Quanta, Inc., Reliance One, Inc., Populus Group, LLC, Citistaff, Global Automation Technologies, LLC, and Proflow Systems Rochester Hills, MI; Notice of Negative Determination on Reconsideration

On June 21, 2010, the Department of Labor issued a Notice of Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration applicable to workers and former workers of the subject firm. The Department's Notice of determination was published in the **Federal Register** on July 1, 2010 (75 FR 38125).

The initial investigation resulted in a negative determination which was based on the finding that, during the relevant period, Fanuc Robotics

America neither imported articles like or directly competitive with the robotic systems produced at the subject firm, shifted to a foreign country the production of articles like or directly competitive with the robotic systems produced at the subject firm, nor acquired from a foreign country the production of articles like or directly competitive with the robotic systems produced at the subject firm. The Department's survey of the subject firm's major declining customers regarding their purchases of robotic systems in 2007, 2008, and during January through April 2009 revealed negligible imports of robotic systems.

The investigation also revealed that the subject firm was not eligible as a Supplier or a Downstream Producer because they did not supply a component used by a firm that employed a worker group covered by an active Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) certification.

In the request for reconsideration, the petitioner provided a list of the subject firm's customers which employed a worker group covered by a TAA certification. In subsequent communications, the petitioner emphasized that she and fellow

employees had participated in the actual production process in their customers' plants during the initial installation, testing, and worker training phases following the delivery of the subject firm's robotic devices to the customers.

In response to the request for reconsideration, the Department contacted the subject firm about which of the TAA-certified customers had required employees of the subject firm to be present in the customer's plants during production. The reconsideration investigation revealed that contracts requiring on-site presence of subject firm workers in the customers' plants were infrequent; the sales associated with contracts requiring such presence amounted to a small percentage of the subject firm's total sales (ranging from 1.3 and 5.4 percent during 2007, 2008, and January to April 2009); and the onsite presence of the subject firm's workers was not related to production but related to post-sale customer

Because the services supplied by the subject firm to the alleged customers which employed a worker group covered by a TAA certification were not directly used in the production of the