

Records About Individuals,” July 25, 1994; 59 FR 37914.

**Authority:** Sec. 306(g), National Housing Act, 12 U.S.C. 1721(g).

Dated: July 15, 2010.

**Jerry E. Williams,**  
*Chief Information Officer.*

#### HUD/GNMA-01

##### SYSTEM NAME:

Ginnie Mae Mortgage-Backed Securities Unclaimed Funds System.

##### SYSTEM LOCATION:

Bank of New York (Contractor site), New York, New York.

##### CATEGORIES OF INDIVIDUALS COVERED BY THE SYSTEM:

Ginnie Mae-guaranteed mortgage-backed securities certificate holders who have not been located.

##### CATEGORIES OF RECORDS IN THE SYSTEM:

Security holder's(s') name(s), address, social security number, certificate number, Ginnie Mae pool number, and Committee on Uniform Securities Identification Procedures (CUSIP) number.

##### AUTHORITY FOR MAINTENANCE OF THE SYSTEM:

Sec. 306(g), National Housing Act, 12 U.S.C. 1721(g).

##### PURPOSES:

The system is used to track unclaimed security holder payments. Such unclaimed payments are owed to certificate holders of Ginnie Mae-guaranteed mortgage-backed securities who cannot be located by the Ginnie Mae servicer.

##### ROUTINE USES OF RECORDS MAINTAINED IN THE SYSTEM, INCLUDING CATEGORIES OF USERS AND THE PURPOSES OF SUCH USES:

In addition to those disclosures generally permitted under 5 U.S.C. 552a(b) of the Privacy Act, other routine uses are as follows:

- (a) To the U.S. Treasury—for disbursements and adjustments; and
  - (b) To the Internal Revenue Service and to state and local governments—for reporting payments for interest; and
  - (c) To the U.S. Department of Justice, courts, Federal, state and local government agencies in conjunction with civil, administrative and criminal litigation as well as investigations and audits; and
  - (d) To individuals and entities under contract, cooperative agreement, or working agreement with HUD to assist the Department in fulfilling its statutory and management responsibilities.
- Additional Disclosure for Purposes of Facilitating Responses and Remediation

Efforts in the Event of a Data Breach. A record from a system of records maintained by this Department may be disclosed to appropriate agencies, entities, and persons when:

(a) The Department suspects or has confirmed that the security or confidentiality of information in the system of records has been compromised; and

(b) the Department has determined that as a result of the suspected or confirmed compromise there is a risk of harm to economic or property interests, identity theft or fraud, or harm to the security or integrity of this system or other systems or programs (whether maintained by the HUD or another agency or entity) that rely upon the compromised information; and

(c) the disclosure made to such agencies, entities, and persons is reasonably necessary to assist in connection with the HUD's efforts to respond to the suspected or confirmed compromise and prevent, minimize, or remedy such harm.

##### POLICIES AND PRACTICES FOR STORING, RETRIEVING, ACCESSING, RETAINING, AND DISPOSING OF RECORDS IN THE SYSTEM:

##### STORAGE:

Electronic files are stored on servers and back-up files are stored on tapes. Servers are stored in a secured server room and at an offsite secured facility for disaster contingency. The original documents (hard copy) are stored securely at the contractor's office or at a secured offsite document storage facility.

##### RETRIEVABILITY:

Information is retrieved by security holder's(s') name(s), address, social security number, certificate number, Ginnie Mae pool number, and CUSIP number.

##### SAFEGUARDS:

Electronic records are maintained in a secured computer network behind a firewall. Access to records is limited to authorized personnel.

##### RETENTION AND DISPOSAL:

Are in accordance with HUD Records Disposition Schedule 2225.6, Appendix 20.

##### SYSTEM MANAGER(S) AND ADDRESS:

Bank of New York servicing Ginnie Mae, Office of Program Operations guaranteed mortgage-backed securities. Inquiries or requests are to be submitted to the Bank of New York Mellon, 101 Barclay Street 8 East, New York, New York 10286 (Attention) Evan Delcolle, (202) 815-2086.

##### RECORD ACCESS AND NOTIFICATION PROCEDURES:

The Department's rules for providing access to records to the individual concerned appear in 24 CFR part 16. If additional information or assistance is required, contact the System Manager identified above.

##### CONTESTING RECORD PROCEDURES:

The procedures for requesting amendment or correction of records appear in 24 CFR part 16. If additional information is needed, contact:

(i) In relation to contesting contents of records, the Departmental Privacy Officer at HUD, 451 Seventh Street, SW., Room 2256, Washington, DC 20410; and

(ii) In relation to appeals of initial denials, HUD, Departmental Privacy Appeals Officer, Office of General Counsel, 451 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20410.

##### RECORD SOURCE CATEGORIES:

Records are established using information received from servicers of Ginnie Mae-guaranteed mortgage-backed securities.

##### EXEMPTIONS:

None.

[FR Doc. 2010-18551 Filed 7-28-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4210-67-P

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Central Utah Project Completion Act; Notice of Availability, Draft Environmental Assessment (Draft EA); Realignment of a Portion of the Utah Lake Drainage Basin Water Delivery System

**AGENCY:** Office of the Assistant Secretary—Water and Science, Interior

**ACTION:** Notice of Availability, Draft Environmental Assessment (Draft EA)—Realignment of a Portion of the Utah Lake Drainage Basin Water Delivery System.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, as amended, the Department of the Interior, the Utah Reclamation Mitigation and Conservation Commission, and the Central Utah Water Conservancy District, are evaluating the impacts of the proposed project.

This project anticipates realignment of a portion of the Utah Lake Drainage Basin Water Delivery System (ULS) through Provo and Orem, Utah. The realignment is being considered to avoid active and historic landslides and to

reduce the risk to the pipeline associated with geologic faults.

**DATES:** Submit written comments on the Draft EA by August 30, 2010.

**ADDRESSES:** Send written comments on the Draft EA to Ms. Sarah Sutherland, Provo Reach Realignment, 355 W. University Parkway, Orem, UT 84058-7303, by e-mail to [sarah@cuwcd.com](mailto:sarah@cuwcd.com), or by fax at 801-226-7171.

Copies of the Draft EA are available for inspection at:

- Central Utah Water Conservancy District, 355 West University Parkway, Orem, Utah 84058-7303.
- Department of the Interior, Central Utah Project Completion Act Office, 302 East 1860 South, Provo, Utah 84606.

In addition, the document is available at <http://www.cuwcd.com> and <http://www.cupcao.gov>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Additional information may be obtained by contacting Mr. Lee Baxter, Central Utah Project Completion Act Office, 302 East 1860 South, Provo, Utah 84606, by calling (801) 379-1174, or e-mail at [lbaxter@usbr.gov](mailto:lbaxter@usbr.gov).

Before including your name, address, phone number, e-mail address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment, including your personal identifying information, may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Dated: July 22, 2010.

**Reed R. Murray,**

*Program Director, Central Utah Project Completion Act, Department of the Interior.*

[FR Doc. 2010-18463 Filed 7-28-10; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-RK-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

**Fish and Wildlife Service**

[FWS-R8-R-2008-N106; 80230-1265-0000-S3]

**Ellicott Slough National Wildlife Refuge, Santa Cruz County, CA**

**AGENCY:** Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of availability; request for comments: draft comprehensive conservation plan/environmental assessment.

**SUMMARY:** We, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) announce the availability of a Draft Comprehensive Conservation Plan (CCP) and

Environmental Assessment (EA) for the Ellicott Slough National Wildlife Refuge for public review and comment. The CCP/EA, prepared under the National Wildlife Refuge System Improvement Act of 1997, and in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, describes how the Service will manage the Refuge for the next 15 years. Draft compatibility determinations for several existing and proposed public uses are also available for review and public comment.

**DATES:** To ensure consideration, we must receive your written comments by August 30, 2010.

**ADDRESSES:** Send your comments or requests for more information by any of the following methods.

*E-mail:* [fw8plancomments@fws.gov](mailto:fw8plancomments@fws.gov). Include "Ellicott Slough CCP" in the subject line of the message.

*Fax:* Attn: Sandy Osborn, (916) 414-6497.

*U.S. Mail:* Pacific Southwest Region, Refuge Planning, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 2800 Cottage Way, W-1832, Sacramento, CA 95825-1846.

*In-Person Drop-off:* You may drop off comments during regular business hours at the above address.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Sandy Osborn, Planning Team Leader, at (916) 414-6503, or Diane Kodama, Refuge Manager, at (510) 792-0222 or [fw8plancomments@fws.gov](mailto:fw8plancomments@fws.gov). Further information may also be found at <http://www.fws.gov/cno/refuges/ellicott/index.cfm>.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The National Wildlife Refuge System Improvement Act of 1997 (16 U.S.C. 668dd-668ee), which amended the National Wildlife Refuge System Administration Act of 1966, requires us to develop a CCP for each national wildlife refuge. The purpose in developing a CCP is to provide refuge managers with a 15-year plan for achieving refuge purposes and contributing toward the mission of the National Wildlife Refuge System, consistent with sound principles of fish and wildlife management, conservation, legal mandates, and our policies. In addition to outlining broad management direction on conserving wildlife and their habitats, CCPs identify wildlife-dependent recreational opportunities available to the public, including opportunities for hunting, fishing, wildlife observation and photography, environmental education and interpretation.

We initiated the CCP/EA for the Ellicott Slough National Wildlife Refuge in July 2008. At that time and throughout the process, we requested,

considered, and incorporated public scoping comments in numerous ways. Our public outreach has included a **Federal Register** notice of intent published on July 14, 2008 (73 FR 40360), a planning update, and a CCP webpage (<http://www.fws.gov/cno/refuges/ellicott/index.cfm>). We received two scoping comments during the 30-day public comment period.

**Background**

Ellicott Slough National Wildlife Refuge was established in 1975 under the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*) and the Emergency Wetlands Resources Act of 1986 (16 U.S.C. 3901-3932). The nearly 300-acre Ellicott Slough National Wildlife Refuge, located in Santa Cruz County, California, consists of three noncontiguous units within and adjacent to Ellicott Slough and associated watersheds. The Refuge was established to protect the endangered Santa Cruz long-toed salamander by supporting 2 of the 20 known breeding populations of the salamander. Due to the sensitivity of the habitat, the Refuge is currently closed to the public. Through this CCP process, we will determine whether any wildlife-dependent recreational opportunities should be made available to the public.

**Alternatives**

The Draft CCP/EA identifies and evaluates three alternatives for managing Ellicott Slough National Wildlife Refuge for the next 15 years. The alternative that appears to best meet the Refuge purposes is identified as the preferred alternative. The preferred alternative is identified based on the analysis presented in the Draft CCP/EA, which may be modified following the completion of the public comment period based on comments received from other agencies, Tribal governments, nongovernmental organizations, or individuals.

Under Alternative A, the no action alternative, we would continue to manage the Refuge as we have in the recent past. No major changes in habitat management would occur. The Refuge would remain closed to the public.

With Alternative B (preferred alternative), the Service would standardize the monitoring and surveying program for species, construct and improve breeding and ephemeral pond habitat, identify buffer and corridor habitat for boundary expansion and acquisition, develop weed management and prevention/early detection plans, assess contaminants and disease, conduct climate change modeling, identify additional breeding