Claimant's claim took place between Pakistan and New York, USA via ocean vessels NYK Cosmos, Asir, and Fowairet, from April 24, 2009, May 23, 2009 and June 5, 2009." Complainant asserts that "shipments were to be released only upon presentation by Respondents of Original endorsed Negotiable Bills of Lading. The payment terms were on a CAD (Cash Against Documents) basis." Complainant alleges that the terms of the Bill of Lading were "violated by Respondents when Respondents released the goods without obtaining the endorsed Bill of Lading." As a result, Complainant alleges that Respondents violated: "U.S. Code Title 46 Sec. 1 (a), Sec 30701(4), 30701(6), 30701(7), 30701(8), Sec 41102(b), 41102(c) (Shipping Act Sec 10(a)(1) and 10(d)(1)), 41301 (sec 11(a) of the Shipping Act), 41302, 41303, 41304, 41305, 41309, 305; U.S. Code 49 Sec 80101, 80102, 80103, 80104, 80110, 80111, 80116, 80106."

Complainant asserts that it has suffered damages in the sum of "$290,424.91, plus interst/mark-up, plus US$ 7500.00", for attorney fees and other expenses. Complainant requests that the Commission "investigate the matter"; that Respondents be required to answer the charges made by Complainant; that Respondents be ordered to pay reparations of $290,424.91 with interest, costs and attorney's fees; and order any such other and further relief as the Commission deems just and proper.

This proceeding has been assigned to the Office of Administrative Law Judges. Hearing in this matter, if any is held, shall commence within the time limitations prescribed in 46 CFR 502.61, and only after consideration has been given by the parties and the presiding officer to the use of alternative forms of dispute resolution. The hearing shall include oral testimony and cross-examination in the discretion of the presiding officer only upon proper showing that there are genuine issues of material fact that cannot be resolved on the basis of sworn statements, affidavits, depositions, or other documents or that the nature of the matter in issue is such that an oral hearing and cross-examination are necessary for the development of an adequate record.

Pursuant to the further terms of 46 CFR 502.61, the initial decision of the presiding officer in this proceeding shall be issued by July 25, 2011 and the final decision of the Commission shall be issued by November 22, 2011.

Karen V. Gregory,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2010–18580 Filed 7–28–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

[30Day–10–0557]

Agency Forms Undergoing Paperwork Reduction Act Review

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) publishes a list of information collection requests under review by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) in compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). To request a copy of these requests, call the CDC Reports Clearance Officer at (404) 639–5960 or send an e-mail to *omb@cdc.gov.* Send written comments to CDC Desk Officer, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503 or by fax to (202) 395–5806. Written comments should be received within 30 days of this notice.

Proposed Project

National Public Health Performance Standards Program Local Public Health Governance Assessment (OMB 0920–0580 exp. 8/31/2010)—Extension—Office of State, Tribal, Local and Territorial Support (OSTLTS), Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC).

Background and Brief Description

Office of State, Tribal, Local and Territorial Support is proposing to extend the formal, voluntary data collection that assesses the capacity of local boards of health to deliver the essential services of public health. Electronic data submission will be used when local boards of health complete the public health assessment.

A three-year approval is being sought with the current data collection instrument. The data collection instrument has been valuable in assessing performance and capacity and identifying areas for improvement.

From 1998–2002, the CDC National Public Health Performance Standards Program convened workgroups with the National Association of County and City Health Officials (NACCHO), The Association of State and Territorial Health Officials (ASTHO), the National Association of Local Boards of Health (NALBOH), the American Public Health Association (APHA), and the Public Health Foundation (PHF) to develop performance standards for public health systems based on the essential services of public health. In 2005, CDC reconvened workgroups with these same organizations to revise the data collection instruments, in order to ensure the standards remain current and improve user friendliness. There is no cost to the respondent, other than their time.

The estimated annualized burden hours are 875.

ANNUALIZED BURDEN HOURS

No. of respondents	No. of responses per respondent	Average burden per response (in hours)	Total burden hours
175	1	5	875

Dated: July 22, 2010.

Maryam I. Daneshvar,

Reports Clearance Officer, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

[FR Doc. 2010–18626 Filed 7–28–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4163–18–P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality

Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request

AGENCY: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, HHS.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice announces the intention of the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) to request that the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) approve the proposed information collection project: "Eisenberg Center Voluntary Customer Survey Generic Clearance for the Agency for Health Care Research and Quality." In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act, 44 U.S.C. 3501–3520, AHRQ invites the public to comment on this proposed information collection.

This proposed information collection was previously published in the **Federal Register** on May 20th, 2010 and allowed 60 days for public comment. One comment was received. The purpose of this notice is to allow an additional 30 days for public comment.

DATES: Comments on this notice must be received by August 30, 2010.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be submitted to: AHRQs OMB Desk Officer by fax at (202) 395–6974 (*attention:* AHRQ's desk officer) or by e-mail at *OIRA_submission@omb.eop.gov* (*attention:* AHRQ's desk officer).

Copies of the proposed collection plans, data collection instruments, and specific details on the estimated burden can be obtained from the AHRQ Reports Clearance Officer.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Doris Lefkowitz, AHRQ Reports Clearance Officer, (301) 427–1477, or by e-mail at *doris.lefkowitz@AHRQ.hhs.gov.*

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Proposed Project

Eisenberg Center Voluntary Customer Survey Generic Clearance for the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality The Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) requests that the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) renew, under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, AHRQ's Generic Clearance to collect information from users of work products and services initiated by the John M. Eisenberg Clinical Decisions and Communications Science Center (Eisenberg Center).

AHRQ is the lead agency charged with supporting research designed to improve the quality of healthcare, reduce its cost, improve patient safety, decrease medical errors, and broaden access to essential services. *See* 42 U.S.C. 299.

AHRQ's Eisenberg Center is an innovative effort aimed at improving communication of findings to a variety of audiences ("customers"), including consumers, clinicians, and health care policy makers. The Eisenberg Center compiles research results into a variety of useful formats for customer stakeholders. The Eisenberg Center also conducts its own program of research into effective communication of research findings in order to improve the usability and rapid incorporation of findings into medical practice. The Eisenberg Center is one of three components of AHRQ's Effective Health Care Program, *see* 42 U.S.C. 299b–7. For the period 2005 until September 2008, the Eisenberg Center was operated through a contractual arrangement with the Oregon Health and Science University (OHSU), Department of Medicine, located in Portland, Oregon. In September 2008, the contract for operation of the Eisenberg Center was awarded to Baylor College of Medicine (BCM), located in Houston Texas.

The collections proposed under this clearance include activities to assist in the development of materials to be disseminated through the Eisenberg Center and to provide feedback to AHRQ on the extent to which these products meet customer needs. These materials include Summary Guides that summarize and translate the findings of comparative effectiveness reviews (CER) and research reports for purposes of summarizing research findings for various decision-making audiences, such as consumers, clinicians, or policymakers. The guides are designed to help these decision makers use research evidence to maximize the benefits of health care, minimize harm, and optimize the use of health care resources. In addition, each year of the project the Eisenberg Center will develop one computerized, interactive decision aid for those clinical problems identified from selected CERs. The intent is for the decision aid to increase the patient/consumer's knowledge of the health condition, options, and risk/benefits, lead to greater assurance in making a decision, increase the congruence between values and choices, and enhance involvement in the decision making process. Information collections conducted under this generic clearance are not required by regulation and will not be used to regulate or sanction customers. Surveys will be entirely voluntary, and information provided by respondents will be combined and summarized so that no individually identifiable information will be released. The Eisenberg Center will produce from 17 to a maximum of 33 Summary Guides per audience (*i.e.,* clinician, policymaker, consumer) per year, depending on the information needed for each product with each audience.

In accordance with OMB guidelines for generic clearances for voluntary customer surveys and Executive Order 12862, AHRQ has established an independent review process to assure the development, implementation, and analysis of high quality customer surveys within AHRQ. Specifically, AHRQ understands that each activity conducted must be submitted to OMB with a supporting statement and accompanying instruments. Information collection may not proceed until approved by OMB.

Method of Collection

Information collections conducted under this clearance will be collected via the following methods:

- *Focus Groups.* Focus groups may include clinical professionals, patients or other health care consumers, or health policy makers. They will be used to provide input regarding the needs for products and for the development of Decision Aids and Summary Guides. Focus groups may also be used to test draft products to determine if intended information and messages are being delivered through products that are produced and disseminated through the Eisenberg Center.
- *In-person or Telephone Interviews.* Interviews will be conducted with individuals from one or more of the three groups identified above. The purpose of these interviews is to (1) to provide input regarding the development of Decision Aids and Summary Guides, (2) to determine if intended information and messages are being delivered effectively through products that are produced and disseminated through the Eisenberg Center, and (3) to engage the subject in cognitive testing to (a) determine if changes in topical knowledge levels can be identified following exposure to