

Exporter	Producer	Weighted-Average margin
Shanxi Yida Special Steel Imp. & Exp. Co., Ltd.	Shanxi Yida Special Steel Group Co., Ltd.	0.00
Shanxi Fenglei Drilling Tools Co., Ltd.	Shanxi Fenglei Drilling Tools Co., Ltd.	106.82
Jiangsu Shuguang Huayang Drilling Tool Co. Ltd.	Jiangsu Shuguang Huayang Drilling Tool Co. Ltd.	106.82
Jiangyin Long-Bright Drill Pipe Manufacturing Co., Ltd.	Jiangyin Long-Bright Drill Pipe Manufacturing Co., Ltd.	106.82
PRC-wide Entity.	.....	429.29

#### Disclosure

We will disclose the calculations performed within five days of the date of publication of this notice to parties in this proceeding in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

#### Suspension of Liquidation

In accordance with section 733(d) of the Act, we will instruct CBP to suspend liquidation of all entries of drill pipe from the PRC as described in the "Scope of Investigation" section, entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption from the DP–Master Group, Baoshan, the Separate Rate Respondents, and the PRC-wide entity on or after the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**. For Yida, we will not instruct CBP to suspend liquidation of any entries of drill pipe from the PRC as described in the "Scope of Investigation" section that are entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**.

The Department has determined in *Drill Pipe From the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination*, 75 FR 33245 (June 11, 2010) ("*CVD PRC Drill Pipe Prelim*"), that the merchandise under investigation, exported and produced by the DP–Master Group, benefitted from an export subsidy. Where the merchandise under investigation is also subject to a concurrent countervailing duty investigation, we instruct CBP to require an antidumping cash deposit or posting of a bond equal to the weighted-average amount by which the NV exceeds the

EP, minus the amount determined to constitute an export subsidy in the companion countervailing duty investigation. *See, e.g., Notice of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Carbazole Violet Pigment 23 From India*, 69 FR 67306, 67307 (November 17, 2004). In this case, because the DP–Master Group benefitted from an export subsidy, we will instruct CBP to require an antidumping cash deposit or posting of a bond equal to the weighted-average amount by which the NV exceeds the CEP for the DP–Master Group, minus the amount determined to constitute an export subsidy.

Because Baoshan, Yida, and Separate Rate Companies did not benefit from any export subsidy, we will instruct CBP to require an antidumping cash deposit or the posting of a bond for each entry equal to the weighted-average amount by which the NV exceeds U.S. price, as indicated above.

For all other entries of drill pipe from the PRC, the following cash deposit/bonding instructions apply: (1) For all PRC exporters of drill pipe which have not received their own rate, the cash-deposit or bonding rate will be the PRC-wide rate; (2) for all non-PRC exporters of drill pipe from the PRC which have not received their own rate, the cash-deposit or bonding rate will be the rate applicable to the exporter/producer combinations that supplied that non-PRC exporter. This suspension of liquidation will remain in effect until further notice.

#### International Trade Commission Notification

In accordance with section 733(f) of the Act, we have notified the ITC of our preliminary affirmative determination of sales at LTFV. Section 735(b)(2) of the Act requires the ITC to make its final determination as to whether the domestic industry in the United States is materially injured, or threatened with material injury, by reason of imports of drill pipe, or sales (or the likelihood of sales) for importation, of the merchandise under investigation within 45 days of our final determination.

#### Public Comment

Case briefs or other written comments may be submitted to the Assistant Secretary for Import Administration no later than seven business days after the date on which the final verification report is issued in this proceeding. Rebuttal briefs limited to issues raised in case briefs must be received no later than five business days after the deadline date for case briefs. *See* 19 CFR 351.309(c)(i) and (d). A list of authorities used and an executive

summary of issues should accompany any briefs submitted to the Department. This summary should be limited to five pages total, including footnotes.

In accordance with section 774 of the Act, and if requested, we will hold a public hearing, to afford interested parties an opportunity to comment on arguments raised in case or rebuttal briefs. If a request for a hearing is made, we intend to hold the hearing shortly after the deadline of submission of rebuttal briefs at the U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20230, at a time and location to be determined. Parties should confirm by telephone the date, time, and location of the hearing two days before the scheduled date.

Interested parties who wish to request a hearing, or to participate if one is requested, must submit a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Room 1870, within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice. *See* 19 CFR 351.310(c). Requests should contain the party's name, address, and telephone number, the number of participants, and a list of the issues to be discussed. At the hearing, each party may make an affirmative presentation only on issues raised in that party's case brief and may make rebuttal presentations only on arguments included in that party's rebuttal brief.

This determination is issued and published in accordance with sections 733(f) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: August 5, 2010.

**Ronald K. Lorentzen,**  
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 2010–20512 Filed 8–17–10; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A–570–965]

#### Drill Pipe From the People's Republic of China: Notice of Correction to the Preliminary Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Affirmative Determination of Critical Circumstances, and Postponement of Final Determination

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* August 18, 2010.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Toni Dach, Susan Pulongbarit, or Matthew Renkey, AD/CVD Operations, Office 9,

Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-1655, (202) 482-4031, or (202) 482-2312, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Correction

On August 6, 2010, the Department of Commerce (“the Department”) released the preliminary determination of the investigation for drill pipe from the People’s Republic of China (“PRC”) to interested parties. *See Drill Pipe from the People’s Republic of China: Preliminary Determination of Sales at Less than Fair Value and Affirmative Determination of Critical Circumstances, and Postponement of Final Determination*, signed August 5, 2010 (“Preliminary Determination”). Subsequent to the announcement and release of the *Preliminary Determination*, the Department identified an inadvertent error.

Specifically, the *Preliminary Determination* incorrectly stated that the Department determined a weighted-average dumping margin of 7.64 percent for Baoshan Iron & Steel Co. (“Baoshan”) and a dumping margin for the separate rate respondents<sup>1</sup> of 106.82 percent. However, the correct rate, as noted in Baoshan’s Analysis Memorandum is 2.66 percent. *See Memorandum to the File*, through Scot T. Fullerton, from Susan Pulongbarit, regarding Antidumping Investigation of Drill Pipe from the People’s Republic of China: Analysis for the Preliminary Determination of Baoshan Iron & Steel Co., Ltd., dated August 5, 2010. Because we used Baoshan’s rate to calculate the separate rate margin, we have also corrected the average dumping margin for the separate rate respondents to 104.33 percent. To resolve these discrepancies, the *Preliminary Determination* is hereby corrected to identify Baoshan’s weighted-average dumping margin as 2.66 percent and the average dumping margin for the separate rate respondents as 104.33 percent. We are publishing this correction simultaneously with the *Preliminary Determination*.

This notice is published in accordance with section 777(i) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended.

<sup>1</sup> The separate rate respondents are Shanxi Fenglei Drilling Tools Co., Ltd., Jiangsu Shuguang Huayang Drilling Tool, Co. Ltd., and Jiangyin Long-Bright Drill Pipe Manufacturing Co., Ltd.

Dated: August 11, 2010.

**Ronald K. Lorentzen,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 2010-20500 Filed 8-17-10; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE P**

#### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

##### International Trade Administration

[A-427-001]

##### **Sorbitol From France: Notice of Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On May 28, 2010, the U.S. Department of Commerce (the Department) published a notice of initiation of an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on sorbitol from France. The review covers one producer/exporter of sorbitol, Syral S.A.S. (Syral). Based on the withdrawal of the requests for review from Archer Daniels Midland Company (ADM) and Corn Products International (CP), domestic producers of sorbitol, we are now rescinding this administrative review in full.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* August 18, 2010

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Steve Bezirgianian or Robert James, AD/CVD Operations, Office 7, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-1131 or (202) 482-0649, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

On April 1, 2010, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on sorbitol from France for the period April 1, 2009, through March 31, 2010. *See Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity to Request Administrative Review*, 75 FR 16426 (April 1, 2010). On April 5, 2010, the Department received a request from CP that the Department conduct an administrative review covering Syral. On April 30, 2010, the Department received a request from ADM that the Department conduct an administrative review covering Syral. On May 28, 2010, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the notice of initiation

of the 2009–2010 administrative review of sorbitol from France. *See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 75 FR 29976 (May 28, 2010).

On June 3, 2010, the Department issued its antidumping duty questionnaire to Syral. On June 25, 2010, CP withdrew its request for review of Syral. On August 2, 2010, ADM withdrew its request for review of Syral.

##### Period of Review

The period of review (POR) at the time the review was initiated was April 1, 2009, through March 31, 2010. Subsequently, the antidumping duty order on sorbitol from France was revoked, effective August 5, 2009. *See Revocation of Antidumping Duty Order on Sorbitol from France*, 75 FR 42380 (July 21, 2010). Consequently, the POR for the administrative review became April 1, 2009, through August 4, 2009.

##### Scope of the Order

The products under review are shipments of crystalline sorbitol. Crystalline sorbitol is a polyol produced by the catalytic hydrogenation of sugars (glucose). It is used in the production of sugarless gum, candy, groceries, and pharmaceuticals. The above-described sorbitol is currently classifiable under item 2905.44.00 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). Although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description remains dispositive.

##### Rescission of Antidumping Administrative Review

19 CFR 351.213(d)(1) of the Department’s regulations provides that the Department will rescind an administrative review if the party that requested the review withdraws its request for review within 90 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review, or withdraws at a later date if the Department determines it is reasonable to extend the time limit for withdrawing the request. CP and ADM withdrew their requests for review of Syral within the 90-day deadline.

##### Assessment Instructions

The Department will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. For the company for which this review is rescinded, antidumping duties shall be assessed at rates equal to the cash deposit of estimated antidumping duties required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from