

**INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION**

[Investigation No. 337-TA-716]

**In the Matter of Certain Large Scale Integrated Circuit Semiconductor Chips and Products Containing the Same; Notice of Commission Decision Not To Review an Initial Determination Granting Complainant's Motion To Amend the Complaint and Notice of Investigation****AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review an initial determination ("ID") (Order No. 9) of the presiding administrative law judge ("ALJ") granting complainant's motion to amend the complaint and notice of investigation in the above-captioned investigation.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Clint Gerdine, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 708-2310. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Commission instituted this investigation on May 5, 2010, based on a complaint filed by Panasonic Corporation ("Panasonic") of Japan. 75 FR 24742-43. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain large scale integrated circuit semiconductor chips and products containing same by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 5,933,364 and 6,834,336.

The complaint further alleges the existence of a domestic industry. The Commission's notice of investigation named several respondents including the following: Freescale Semiconductor Xiqing Integrated Semiconductor Manufacturing Site ("Freescale Xiqing") of China; Freescale Semiconductor Innovation Center ("Freescale Innovation") of China; Freescale Semiconductor Pte. Ltd. ("Freescale Pte.") of Singapore; and Premier Farnell Corporation d/b/a Newark ("Premier") of Independence, Ohio.

On July 2, 2010, Panasonic filed an unopposed motion to amend the complaint and notice of investigation to: (1) Substitute Freescale Qiangxin (Tianjin) IC Design Co., Ltd. of China, Freescale Semiconductor (China) Limited of China, and Newark Electronics Corporation and Newark Corporation of Chicago, Illinois for respondents Freescale Xiqing, Freescale Innovation, and Premier, respectively; (2) correct the name and address of Freescale Pte. to Freescale Semiconductor Singapore Pte. Ltd., 10 Ang Mo Kio Street 65, 03-01/03, Singapore 569059; and (3) remove "Ltd." following "Panasonic Corporation" on the cover page of the complaint.

On July 27, 2010, the ALJ issued the subject ID granting Panasonic's unopposed motion to amend the complaint and notice of investigation. No party petitioned for review of the ID pursuant to 19 CFR 210.43(a). The Commission has determined not to review this ID.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, and in sections 210.14 and 210.42(h) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.14, 210.42(h).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: August 16, 2010.

**Marilyn R. Abbott,***Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2010-20795 Filed 8-20-10; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P****DEPARTMENT OF LABOR****Employee Benefits Security Administration****Proposed Extension of Information Collection Request Submitted for Public Comment; Final Rule on Default Investments Under Participant Directed Individual Account Plans****AGENCY:** Employee Benefits Security Administration, Department of Labor.**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Labor (the Department), in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA 95) (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)), provides the general public and Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed and continuing collections of information. This helps the Department assess the impact of its information collection requirements and minimize the public's reporting burden. It also helps the public understand the Department's information collection requirements and provide the requested data in the desired format. The Employee Benefits Security Administration is soliciting comments on the proposed extension of the information collection provisions of its regulation relating to default investment alternatives (29 CFR 2550.404c-5). A copy of the information collection request (ICR) may be obtained by contacting the office listed in the **ADDRESSES** section of this notice. ICRs also are available at [reginfo.gov](http://reginfo.gov) (<http://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain>).

**DATES:** Written comments must be submitted to the office shown in the **ADDRESSES** section on or before October 22, 2010.

**ADDRESSES:** G. Christopher Cosby, Department of Labor, Employee Benefits Security Administration, 200 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20210, (202) 693-8410, FAX (202) 693-4745 (these are not toll-free numbers).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:****I. Background**

Section 404(c) of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (ERISA) states that participants or beneficiaries who can hold individual accounts under their pension plans, and who can exercise control over the assets in their accounts "as determined in regulations of the Secretary [of Labor]" will not be treated as fiduciaries of the plan. Moreover, no other plan fiduciary will be liable for any loss, or by reason of any breach, resulting from the participants' or beneficiaries' exercise of control over their individual account assets.

The Pension Protection Act (PPA), Public Law No. 109-280, amended ERISA section 404(c) by adding subparagraph (c)(5)(A). The new subparagraph says that a participant in an individual account plan who fails to make investment elections regarding his or her account assets will nevertheless be treated as having exercised control over those assets so long as the plan