

leadership, the NRC staff recommended moving the trait "Leadership Safety Values and Actions" to the top of the traits list to give it visual prominence.

- Several comments indicated that there should be a discussion of complacency in the SOP. Complacency can occur because of long term success and repetition. Although this is already indirectly addressed in the traits (*e.g.*, Effective Safety Communication and Personal Accountability are traits that prevent complacency), the NRC staff recommended further discussion of complacency in the revised draft SOP. The NRC is asking for comments as to whether it is useful to add a discussion on this aspect of safety culture to the SOP.

VI. Questions for Which NRC Is Seeking Input

(1) The revised definition of Nuclear Safety Culture is: "Nuclear Safety Culture is the core values and behaviors resulting from a collective commitment by leaders and individuals to emphasize safety over competing goals to ensure protection of people and the environment." Should this be retained, as currently written, or should it be revised?

(2) Does including the safety culture traits in the SOP itself clarify your understanding of what the Commission means by a positive safety culture? If not, what additional guidance do you think is needed?

(3) Does the revised draft SOP provide a clear statement of the NRC's expectations that the regulated community should maintain a safety culture that includes balanced consideration of safety and security? If not, what changes or additions should be made?

(4) Should a discussion regarding complacency be added to the SOP and/or to the traits that describe areas important to safety?

(5) In late August 2010, the Institute of Nuclear Power Operations (INPO) completed a validation study to assess the extent to which the factors that emerged from analyzing responses to a safety culture survey match the traits that were identified during the February 2010 workshop. Only individuals working at nuclear reactors participated in the survey.

The study provides general support for the traits developed at the workshop; however, the study provides a slightly different grouping. Under the validation study, there are nine traits: (1) Management Responsibility/Commitment to Safety; (2) Willingness to Raise Concerns; (3) Decision-making; (4) Supervisor Responsibility for Safety;

(5) Questioning Attitude; (6) Safety Communication; (7) Personal Responsibility for Safety; (8) Prioritizing Safety; and (9) Training Quality. Four of these are consistent with the eight traits developed by the workshop participants, *i.e.*, Management Responsibility is consistent with Leadership Safety Values and Actions; Willingness to Raise Concerns relates to Environment for Raising Concerns; Safety Communication relates to Effective Safety Communication; and Personal Responsibility for Safety is consistent with Personal Accountability. The remaining five traits identified in the study, *i.e.*, Decision-making, Supervisor Responsibility for Safety, Questioning Attitude, Prioritizing Safety, and Training Quality, are not as closely related (although they are not completely dissimilar). This is new information. The NRC is seeking stakeholder comments on this information through the FRN and through the public meeting scheduled for September 28 in Las Vegas.

To ensure efficient consideration of your comments, if you are responding to a specific question, please identify it by number with your comment. When commenting, please exercise caution with regard to site-specific security-related information. Comments will be made available to the public in their entirety. Personal information such as your name, address, telephone number, and e-mail address will not be removed from your submission.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 10th day of Sept, 2010.

Roy P. Zimmerman,

Director, Office of Enforcement.

[FR Doc. 2010-23249 Filed 9-16-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT

Submission for Review: Federal Cyber Service: Scholarship for Service (SFS) Registration Web Site

AGENCY: Office of Personnel Management.

ACTION: 30-Day Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: The Office of Personnel Management (OPM), Human Resources Solutions Division, offers the general public and other Federal agencies the opportunity to comment on an existing information collection request (ICR) 3206-0246, SFS Registration. As required by the Paperwork Reduction

Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13, 44 U.S.C. chapter 35), as amended by the Clinger-Cohen Act (Pub. L. 104-106), OPM is soliciting comments for this collection. The information collection was previously published in the **Federal Register** on April 19, 2010 at 75 FR 20400, allowing for a 60-day public comment period. One comment was received, and OPM provided a response. The purpose of this notice is to allow an additional 30 days for public comments. The Office of Management and Budget is particularly interested in comments that:

1. Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

2. Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

3. Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

4. Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, *e.g.*, permitting electronic submissions of responses.

DATES: Comments are encouraged and will be accepted until October 18, 2010. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.1.

ADDRESS: Interested persons are invited to submit written comments on the proposed information collection to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, 725 17th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20503, Attention: Desk Officer for the Office of Personnel Management or sent via electronic mail to oir_submission@omb.eop.gov or faxed to (202) 395-6974.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: A copy of this ICR, with applicable supporting documentation, may be obtained by contacting the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, 725 17th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20503, Attention: Desk Officer for the Office of Personnel Management or sent via electronic mail to oir_submission@omb.eop.gov or faxed to (202) 395-6974.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The SFS Program was established by the National Science Foundation in accordance with

the Federal Cyber Service Training and Education Initiative as described in the President's *National Plan for Information Systems Protection*. This program seeks to increase the number of qualified students entering the fields of information assurance and computer security in an effort to respond to the threat to the Federal Government's information technology infrastructure. The program provides selected 4-year colleges and universities scholarship grants to attract students to the information assurance field. Participating students who receive scholarships from this program are required to serve a 10-week internship during their studies and complete a post-graduation employment commitment equivalent to the length of the scholarship or one year, whichever is longer. Approval of the webpage is necessary to facilitate the timely registration, selection and placement of program-enrolled students in Federal agencies.

Analysis

Agency: Office of Personnel Management, Human Resources Solutions Division.

Title: Scholarship for Service (SFS) Program Internet Site.

OMB Number: 3206-0246.

Frequency: Annually.

Affected Public: Individuals or Households.

Number of Respondents: 630.

Estimated Time per Respondent: 1 hour.

Total Burden Hours: 630 hours.

U.S. Office of Personnel Management.

John Berry,

Director.

[FR Doc. 2010-23232 Filed 9-16-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6325-38-P

POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. CP2010-104; Order No. 530]

New Postal Product

AGENCY: Postal Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission is noticing a recently-filed Postal Service request to add a Global Expedited Package Services 3 contract to the competitive product list. This notice addresses procedural steps associated with this filing.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments electronically via the Commission's Filing Online system at <http://www.prc.gov>. Commenters who cannot submit their views electronically should

contact the person identified in **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** by telephone for advice on alternatives to electronic filing.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Stephen L. Sharfman, General Counsel, stephen.sharfman@prc.gov or 202-789-6820.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Table of Contents

- I. Introduction
- II. Notice of Filing
- III. Ordering Paragraphs

I. Introduction

On September 1, 2010, the Postal Service filed a notice announcing that it has entered into an additional Global Expedited Package Services 3 (GEPS 3) contract.¹ The Postal Service believes the instant contract is functionally equivalent to previously submitted GEPS contracts, and is supported by Governors' Decision No. 08-7, attached to the Notice and originally filed in Docket No. CP2008-4. *Id.* at 1, Attachment 3. The Notice explains that Order No. 86, which established GEPS 1 as a product, also authorized functionally equivalent agreements to be included within the product, provided that they meet the requirements of 39 U.S.C. 3633. *Id.* at 2. In Order No. 290, the Commission approved the GEPS 2 product.² In Order No. 503, the Commission approved the GEPS 3 product. Additionally, the Postal Service requested to have the contract in Docket No. CP2010-71 serve as the baseline contract for future functional equivalence analyses of the GEPS 3 product.

The instant contract. The Postal Service filed the instant contract pursuant to 39 CFR 3015.5. In addition, the Postal Service contends that the instant contract is in accordance with Order No. 86. The Postal Service relates that the instant contract is for the same mailer as in Docket No. CP2009-60. It states that the mailer's current contract was scheduled to terminate at the end of its one year term on August 31, 2010; however, it filed a Motion for Temporary Relief to extend the contract pending completion of the Commission's review of the successor

contract.³ The Commission granted an extension of the contract "until the sooner of the Commission's order on the successor contract or September 30, 2010."⁴

The term of the instant contract is 1 year from the date the Postal Service notifies the customer that all necessary regulatory approvals have been received. Notice at 3.

In support of its Notice, the Postal Service filed four attachments as follows:

- Attachment 1—a redacted copy of the contract and applicable annexes;
- Attachment 2—a certified statement required by 39 CFR 3015.5(c)(2) for the contract;
- Attachment 3—a redacted copy of Governors' Decision No. 08-7 which establishes prices and classifications for GEPS contracts, a description of applicable GEPS contracts, formulas for prices, an analysis of the formulas, and certification of the Governors' vote; and
- Attachment 4—an application for non-public treatment of materials to maintain redacted portions of the contract and supporting documents under seal.

The Notice advances reasons why the instant GEPS 3 contract fits within the Mail Classification Schedule language for GEPS. The Postal Service identifies customer-specific information and general contract terms that distinguish the instant contract from the baseline GEPS 3 agreement. *Id.* at 4-5. It states that the differences, which include price variations based on updated costing information and volume commitments, do not alter the contract's functional equivalency. *Id.* at 4. The Postal Service asserts that "[b]ecause the agreement incorporates the same cost attributes and methodology, the relevant characteristics of this GEPS contract are similar, if not the same, as the relevant characteristics of previously filed contracts." *Id.*

The Postal Service concludes that its filing demonstrates that this new GEPS 3 contract complies with the requirements of 39 U.S.C. 3633 and is functionally equivalent to the baseline GEPS 3 contract. Therefore, it requests that the instant contract be included within the GEPS 3 product. *Id.* at 5.

II. Notice of Filing

The Commission establishes Docket No. CP2010-104 for consideration of matters related to the contract identified in the Postal Service's Notice.

¹ Notice of United States Postal Service of Filing A Functionally Equivalent Global Expedited Package Services 3 Negotiated Service Agreement and Application For Non-Public Treatment of Materials Filed Under Seal, September 1, 2010 (Notice).

² Docket No. CP2009-50, Order Granting Clarification and Adding Global Expedited Package Services 2 to the Competitive Product List, August 28, 2009 (Order No. 290).

³ Motion of the United States Postal Service for Temporary Relief, August 26, 2010 (Motion).

⁴ Order Granting Motion for Temporary Relief, August 27, 2010.