

4. *Wildlife habitat management*—What will the management direction be for wildlife species, including big game species? How will wildlife corridors and secure habitat areas be managed? What management direction applies to the management of streams and lakes for native fish and aquatic life?

5. *Minerals*—What areas of the Shoshone are suitable for mineral, oil, and gas development?

6. *Commercial livestock grazing*—What areas of the Shoshone are suitable for livestock grazing?

Public Involvement

Extensive public involvement and collaboration on revising the 1986 Shoshone National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan have occurred over the last five years. Discussions with the public regarding needed changes to the 1986 forest plan began with a series of public meetings in 2005. This input, along with science-based evaluations, were used to determine a need for change and a proposed plan. Correspondence, news releases, comment periods, and other tools were used to gather feedback from the public, Shoshone National Forest employees, tribal governments, federal and state agencies, and local governments.

We desire to continue collaborative efforts with members of the public who are interested in management of the Shoshone, as well as federal and state agencies, local governments, and private organizations. If you feel we missed any substantive issues or concerns from those listed above as revision topics or as identified in the Need for Change document, or additional, different comments from those previously provided on the draft proposed plan, please contact us.

If you do wish to comment, it is important that you provide comments at such times and in such a way (clearly articulate your concerns) that they are useful to the Agency's preparation of the revised plan and the EIS. Therefore, comments on the proposed action and need for change will be most valuable if received by November 19, 2010. The submission of timely and specific comments can affect a reviewer's ability to participate in subsequent administrative or judicial review. At this time, we anticipate using the 2000 planning rule pre-decisional objection process (36 CFR 219.32) for administrative review.

Comments received in response to this solicitation, including the names and addresses of those who comment, will be part of the public record.

Comments submitted anonymously will be accepted and considered.

Authority: 16 U.S.C. 1600–1614; 36 CFR 219.35 [74 FR 67073–67074].

Dated: September 16, 2010.

David M. Pieper,

Acting Forest Supervisor, Shoshone National Forest.

[FR Doc. 2010–23752 Filed 9–23–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410–11–M

UNITED STATES ARCTIC RESEARCH COMMISSION

Meeting

Notice is hereby given that the U.S. Arctic Research Commission will hold its 94th meeting in Fairbanks, AK, on October 6–8, 2010. The business session, open to the public, will convene October 6 at 9 a.m.

The Agenda items include:

- (1) Call to order and approval of the agenda.
- (2) Approval of the minutes from the 93rd meeting.
- (3) Commissioners and staff reports.
- (4) Discussion and presentations concerning Arctic research activities.

The focus of the meeting will be reports and updates on programs and research projects affecting the Arctic.

If you plan to attend this meeting, please notify us via the contact information below. Any person planning to attend who requires special accessibility features and/or auxiliary aids, such as sign language interpreters, must inform the Commission of those needs in advance of the meeting.

Contact person for further information: John Farrell, Executive Director, U.S. Arctic Research Commission, 703–525–0111 or TDD 703–306–0090.

John Farrell,

Executive Director.

[FR Doc. 2010–23780 Filed 9–23–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7555–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

RIN 0648–XZ18

Endangered Species; Permit No. 1578–01; and Permit No. 1595–04

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Notice; receipt of modification requests.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given the following applicants have applied in due form for modifications to permits (Permit Nos. 1578 and 1595–03) to take shortnose sturgeon for purposes of scientific research: Maine Department of Marine Resources (MDMR) (Gail S. Wippelhauser, Principal Investigator), 21 State House Station, Augusta, ME, 04333 (Permit No. 1578); and Michael M. Hastings, University of Maine, 5717 Corbett Hall, Orono, ME 04469, (Permit No. 1595–03).

DATES: Written, telefaxed, or e-mail comments must be received on or before October 25, 2010.

ADDRESSES: The applications and related documents are available for review by selecting “Records Open for Public Comment” from the Features box on the Applications and Permits for Protected Species (APPS) home page, <https://apps.nmfs.noaa.gov/>, and then selecting Permit Nos. 1578–01 or 1595–04 from the list of available applications. The documents are available for review upon written request or by appointment in the following offices:

- Permits, Conservation and Education Division, Office of Protected Resources, NMFS, 1315 East-West Highway, Room 13705, Silver Spring, MD 20910; phone (301) 713–2289; fax (301) 713–0376; and
- Northeast Region, NMFS, Protected Resources Division, 55 Great Republic Drive, Gloucester, MA 01930; phone (978)281–9328; fax (978)281–9394.

Written comments or requests for a public hearing on these applications should be mailed to the Chief, Permits, Conservation and Education Division, F/PR1, Office of Protected Resources, NMFS, 1315 East-West Highway, Room 13705, Silver Spring, MD 20910. Those individuals requesting a hearing should set forth the specific reasons why a hearing on this particular request would be appropriate.

Comments may also be submitted by facsimile at (301) 713–0376, provided the facsimile is confirmed by hard copy submitted by mail and postmarked no later than the closing date of the comment period.

Comments may also be submitted by e-mail. The mailbox address for providing e-mail comments is NMFS.Pr1Comments@noaa.gov. Include in the subject line of the e-mail comment the following document identifiers: Permit No. 1578–01 or Permit No. 1595–04.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Malcolm Mohead or Colette Cairns, (301) 713–2289.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The subject permit amendments are requested under the authority of the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (ESA; 16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*), and the regulations governing the taking, importing, and exporting of endangered and threatened species (50 CFR 222–226).

Application to Modify Permit No. 1578

The existing permit authorizes sampling 500 shortnose sturgeon adults and sub-adults annually in the main stem of the Kennebec River between Augusta, ME and Lockwood Dam. Efforts have focused on the location of spawning and foraging habitat, migratory pathways, and effects of river flow on migration and habitat use. The applicant now proposes to document the use of other river systems by sturgeon in the Gulf of Maine (GOM) proposing an increase in numbers of shortnose sturgeon captured from 500 to 600, while also expanding the action area to include: (1) the Kennebec River mouth to Lockwood Dam; (2) the Androscoggin River mouth to Brunswick Dam; (3) the Sheepscot River mouth to Reversing Falls; (4) the Sasanoa River, the Back River, and Sagadahoc Bay; (5) Tottman Cove; and (6) the lower Saco River. New research methods proposed include: use of Floy tags for external identification; endoscopic examination with borescopes to verify sex; blood sampling; gastric lavage for diet analysis; scute sampling for elemental analysis; and electro-narcosis for anesthetization.

Application to Modify Permit No. 1595–03

The objectives of the original research would remain the same for the proposed modification, assessing the distribution, movements, abundance and spawning of shortnose sturgeon in the Penobscot River system. However, the Permit Holder requests an increased number of shortnose sturgeon captured with gill and trammel nets from 200 to 300. Other research activities requested include: (1) lowering the minimum water temperature to 0°C to target sturgeon; (2) using Floy tags; (3) using electro-narcosis for anesthetization; (4) using scute sampling for elemental analysis; (5) using gastric lavage for diet analysis; and (6) using fall (September–December) to sample early life stages.

Dated: September 21, 2010.

Jolie Harrison,

Acting Chief, Permits, Conservation and Education Division, Office of Protected Resources, National Marine Fisheries Service.

[FR Doc. 2010–24036 Filed 9–23–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–22–S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C–423–809]

Stainless Steel Plate in Coils from Belgium: Extension of Time Limits for Preliminary and Final Results of Full Five-year (“Sunset”) Review of Countervailing Duty Order

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: September 24, 2010.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Alexander Montoro, AD/CVD Operations, Office 1, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0238.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On June 2, 2010, the Department of Commerce (“Department”) initiated the second sunset review of the countervailing duty order on stainless steel plate in coils from Belgium in accordance with section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (“Act”). See *Initiation of Five-year (“Sunset”) Review*, 75 FR 30777 (June 2, 2010). Within the deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(i), the Department received notices of intent to participate on behalf of Allegheny Ludlum Corporation and the United Steel, Paper and Forestry, Rubber, Manufacturing, Energy, Allied Industrial and Service Workers International Union, who were the petitioners in the original investigation. Those parties claimed interested party status under section 771(9)(C) and (D) of the Act, as manufacturers of a domestic like product and as a certified union representing workers in the domestic industry producing certain stainless steel plate in coils. The Department received substantive responses from the petitioners within the deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i). The Department also received substantive responses in a timely manner from the following respondent interested parties: the Government of

Belgium and ArcelorMittal Stainless Belgium N.V. Domestic and respondent interested parties also submitted timely rebuttal comments.

On July 22, 2010, after analyzing the submission and rebuttals from interested parties and finding the substantive responses adequate, the Department determined to conduct a full sunset review. See Memorandum from Yasmin Nair, International Trade Compliance Analyst, to Susan H. Kuhbach, Director, AD/CVD Operations, Office 1, entitled “Adequacy Determination in Countervailing Duty Sunset Review of Certain Stainless Steel Plate in Coils from Belgium,” dated July 22, 2010.

Extension of Time Limits for Preliminary and Final Results of Reviews

The Act provides for the completion of a full sunset review within 240 days of the publication of the initiation notice. See section 751(c)(5)(A) of the Act. In accordance with section 751(c)(5)(B) of the Act, the Department may extend the period of time for making its determination by not more than 90 days, if it determines that the review is extraordinarily complicated.

We determine that this review is extraordinarily complicated, pursuant to sections 751(c)(5)(C)(i) and (ii) of the Act, because there are a large number of programs under consideration for which the Department must analyze numerous complex issues. Therefore, the Department requires additional time to complete its analysis. Accordingly, the Department is extending the deadline in this proceeding by 90 days in accordance with section 751(c)(5)(B) of the Act. As a result, the Department intends to issue the final results of this full sunset review by April 28, 2011.

With respect to the preliminary results of this full sunset review, the Department’s regulations, at 19 CFR 351.218(f)(3), provide that the Department normally will issue its preliminary results in a full sunset review not later than 110 days after the initiation. However, because of the reasons cited above, we require additional time to conduct the analysis required for the preliminary results. Therefore, we are extending the deadline for the preliminary results of this full sunset review by 90 days and intend to issue those preliminary results by December 19, 2010. However, December 19, 2010, falls on a Sunday, and it is the Department’s long-standing practice to issue a determination the next business day when the statutory deadline falls on a weekend, federal holiday, or any other day when the