enforcement goals established in the plan have been met. Failure of a State to file a certification, adequately enforce its size and weight laws and enforce weight laws on the Interstate System that are consistent with Federal requirements, could result in a specified reduction of its Federal highway fund apportionment for the next fiscal year. In addition, section 123 of the Surface Transportation Assistance Act of 1978 (Pub. L. 95-599, 92 Stat. 2689, 2701) requires each jurisdiction to inventory (1) its penalties for violation of its size and weight laws, and (2) the term and cost of its oversize and overweight permits.

*Respondents:* The State Departments of Transportation (or equivalent) in the 50 states, the District of Columbia, and the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico.

Frequency: Twice Annually.

Estimated Average Burden per Response: Each response will take approximately 40 hours.

*Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours:* 4,160 hours.

Authority: The Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995; 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35, as amended; and 49 CFR 1.48.

Issued On: September 30, 2010.

Judith Kane,

Acting Chief, Management Programs and Analysis Division. [FR Doc. 2010–25189 Filed 10–5–10; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–22–P

### DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

# Federal Highway Administration

[Docket No. FHWA-2010-0132]

## Agency Information Collection Activities: Notice of Request for Extension of Currently Approved Information Collection

**AGENCY:** Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of request for extension of currently approved information collection.

**SUMMARY:** The FHWA invites public comments about our intention to request the Office of Management and Budget's (OMB) approval for renewal of an existing information collection that is summarized below under **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION**. The **Federal Register** notice with a 60-day public comment period soliciting comments on this information collection was published on July 22, 2010. We are required to publish this notice in the **Federal Register** by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. **DATES:** Please submit comments by November 5, 2010.

ADDRESSES: You may send comments within 30 days to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, 725 17th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20503, Attention DOT Desk Officer. You are asked to comment on any aspect of this information collection, including: (1) Whether the proposed collection is necessary for the U.S. DOT's performance; (2) the accuracy of the estimated burden; (3) ways for the U.S. DOT to enhance the quality, usefulness, and clarity of the collected information; and (4) ways that the burden could be minimized, including the use of electronic technology, without reducing the quality of the collected information. All comments should include the Docket number FHWA-2010-0132.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Anthony DeSimone, (317) 226–5307, Office of Program Administration, Federal Highway Administration, Department of Transportation, 575 North Pennsylvania Street, Room 254, Indianapolis, Indiana, 46204, Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

*Title:* Preparation and Execution of the Project Agreement and Modifications.

OMB Control Number: 2125–0529 Background: Formal agreements between State Transportation Departments and the FHWA are required for Federal-aid highway projects. These agreements, referred to as "project agreements" are written contracts between the State and the Federal government that define the extent of work to be undertaken and commitments made concerning a highway project. Section 1305 of the Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century (TEA-21, Pub. L. 105-178) amended 23 U.S.C. 106(a) and combined authorization of work and execution of the project agreement for a Federal-aid project into a single action. States continue to have the flexibility to use whatever format is suitable to provide the statutory information required, and burden estimates for this information collection are not changed.

*Respondents:* There are 56 respondents, including 50 State Transportation Departments, the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, the Territories of Guam, the Virgin Islands and American Samoa.

Frequency: Annually.

Estimated Average Burden per Response: There is an average of 498 annual agreements per respondent. Each agreement requires 1 hour to complete. *Estimated Total Annual Burden* 

*Hours:* 27,888 hours.

Authority: The Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995; 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35, as amended; and 49 CFR 1.48.

Issued On: October 1, 2010.

# Judith Kane,

Acting Chief, Management Programs and Analysis Division. [FR Doc. 2010–25188 Filed 10–5–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-22-P

# **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

### Federal Highway Administration

[Docket No. FHWA-2010-0133]

### Agency Information Collection Activities: Notice of Request for Extension of Currently Approved Information Collection

**AGENCY:** Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), DOT. **ACTION:** Notice of request for extension of currently approved information collection.

**SUMMARY:** The FHWA invites public comments about our intention to request the Office of Management and Budget's (OMB) approval for renewal of an existing information collection that is summarized below under

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION**. The **Federal Register** notice with a 60-day public comment period soliciting comments on this information collection was published on July 23, 2010. We are required to publish this notice in the **Federal Register** by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

**DATES:** Please submit comments by November 5, 2010.

**ADDRESSES:** You may send comments within 30 days to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, 725 17th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20503, Attention DOT Desk Officer. You are asked to comment on any aspect of this information collection, including: (1) Whether the proposed collection is necessary for the U.S. DOT's performance; (2) the accuracy of the estimated burden; (3) ways for the U.S. DOT to enhance the quality, usefulness, and clarity of the collected information; and (4) ways that the burden could be minimized, including the use of electronic technology, without reducing the quality of the collected information. All comments should include the Docket number FHWA-2010-0133.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Aquilla Carter, (202) 493–2906, Office of the Chief Financial Officer, Federal Highway Administration, Department of Transportation, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC 20590, Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

*Title:* Voucher for Federal-aid Reimbursements.

# OMB Control Number: 2125–0507

Background: The Federal-aid Highway Program provides for the reimbursement to States for expenditure of State funds for eligible Federal-aid highway projects. The Voucher for Work Performed under Provisions of the Federal Aid and Federal Highway Acts as amended is utilized by the States to provide project financial data regarding the expenditure of State funds and to request progress payments from the FHWA. Title 23 U.S.C. 121(b) requires the submission of vouchers. The specific information required on the voucher is contained in 23 U.S.C. 121 and 117. Two types of submissions are required by recipients. One is a progress voucher where the recipient enters the amounts claimed for each FHWA appropriation, and the other is a final voucher where project costs are classified by work type. An electronic version of the Voucher for Work Performed under Provisions of the Federal Aid Highway Acts, as amended, Form PR-20, is used by all recipients to request progress and final payments.

*Respondents:* 50 State Transportation Departments, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, Guam, American Samoa, and the Virgin Islands.

#### Frequency: Annually.

Estimated Average Burden per Response: The respondents electronically submit an estimated total of 12,900 vouchers each year. Each voucher requires an estimated average of 30 minutes to complete.

*Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours:* 6,450 hours.

**Authority:** The Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995; 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35, as amended; and 49 CFR 1.48.

Issued On: October 1, 2010.

#### Judith Kane,

Acting Chief, Management Programs and Analysis Division.

[FR Doc. 2010–25184 Filed 10–5–10; 8:45 am]

#### BILLING CODE 4910-22-P

# DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Surface Transportation Board

[Docket No. FD 35410]

### Adrian & Blissfield Rail Road Company—Continuance in Control Exemption—Jackson & Lansing Railroad Company

Adrian & Blissfield Rail Road Company (ADBF), a Class III rail carrier, has filed a verified notice of exemption under 49 CFR 1180.2(d)(2) to continue in control of Jackson & Lansing Railroad Company (JAIL), upon JAIL's becoming a Class III rail carrier.<sup>1</sup>

This transaction is related to 2 other transactions for which notices of exemption have been simultaneously filed: Docket No. FD 35411, Jackson & Lansing Railroad Company—Lease and **Operation Exemption**—Norfolk Southern Railway Company, in which JAIL seeks an exemption under 49 CFR 1150.31 to lease from Norfolk Southern Railway Company (NSR), and to operate, approximately 44.5 miles of rail lines,<sup>2</sup> known as the Lansing Secondary, the Lansing Manufacturers Railroad, and segments of the Lansing Industrial Track; and Docket No. FD 35418, Jackson & Lansing Railroad Company-Trackage Rights Exemption—Norfolk Southern Railway Company, in which JAIL seeks to acquire, pursuant to an agreement with NSR, non-exclusive local and overhead trackage rights over approximately 1.06 miles of the line owned by NSR and currently leased to CSX Transportation, Inc., on the Lansing Secondary, between milepost LZ 36.8<sup>3</sup> in Lansing, Mich., and milepost 37.86 in North Lansing, Mich., for the sole purpose of interchanging with NSR.

This transaction may not be consummated until October 20, 2010, the effective date of the exemption (30 days after exemption was filed).

ADBF states that: (1) The rail lines to be operated by JAIL do not connect with the lines of ADBF or any other single railroad controlled by ADBF's corporate

<sup>2</sup> In addition, JAIL will acquire from NSR incidental trackage rights over 2.96 miles of track on NSR's Michigan Main Line in Jackson, Mich., for the sole purpose of interchanging with NSR.

<sup>3</sup> JAIL states that, despite the apparent overlap, the boundary of the assigned trackage rights is distinct from the boundary of the Lansing Secondary. The apparent overlap is the result of an historical rounding error in NSR's engineering maps. family; (2) the transaction is not part of a series of anticipated transactions that would result in such a connection; and (3) the transaction does not involve a Class I rail carrier. Therefore, the transaction is exempt from the prior approval requirements of 49 U.S.C. 11323. *See* 49 CFR 1180.2(d)(2).

Under 49 U.S.C. 10502(g), the Board may not use its exemption authority to relieve a rail carrier of its statutory obligation to protect the interests of its employees. Section 11326(c), however, does not provide for labor protection for transactions under sections 11324 and 11325 that involve only Class III rail carriers. Accordingly, the Board may not impose labor protective conditions here because all of the carriers involved are Class III carriers.

If the verified notice contains false or misleading information, the exemption is void *ab initio*. Petitions to revoke the exemption under 49 U.S.C. 10502(d) may be filed at any time. The filing of a petition to revoke will not automatically stay the effectiveness of the exemption. Petitions for stay must be filed no later than October 13, 2010 (at least 7 days before the exemption becomes effective).

An original and 10 copies of all pleadings, referring to Docket No. FD 35410, must be filed with the Surface Transportation Board, 395 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20423–0001. In addition, 1 copy of each pleading must be served on John D. Heffner, PLLC, and James H. M. Savage, Of Counsel, 1750 K Street, NW., Washington, DC 20006.

Board decisions and notices are available on our Web site at *http://www.stb.dot.gov.* 

Decided: October 1, 2010. By the Board.

#### Rachel D. Campbell,

Director, Office of Proceedings.

# Jeffrey Herzig,

Clearance Clerk.

[FR Doc. 2010–25105 Filed 10–5–10; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4915–01–P

# DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

#### Surface Transportation Board

[Docket No. FD 35411]

## Jackson & Lansing Railroad Company—Lease and Operation Exemption—Norfolk Southern Railway Company

Under 49 CFR 1011.7(b)(10), the Director of the Office of Proceedings (Director) is delegated the authority to determine whether to issue notices of exemption for lease transactions under

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> JAIL is a noncarrier entity, wholly owned and controlled by ADBF. In addition, ADBF currently controls through stock ownership 3 Class III carriers: The Charlotte Southern Railroad Company; the Detroit Connecting Railroad Company; and the Lapeer Industrial Railroad Company, all within the State of Michigan.