

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-423-808, A-475-822, A-791-805, A-580-831, and A-583-830]

Stainless Steel Plate in Coils From Belgium, Italy, South Africa, South Korea, and Taiwan: Final Results of the Expedited Sunset Reviews of the Antidumping Duty Orders

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On June 2, 2010, the Department of Commerce (the Department) initiated sunset reviews of the antidumping duty orders on stainless steel plate in coils (SSPC) from Belgium, Italy, South Africa, South Korea, and Taiwan, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). The Department has conducted expedited (120-day) sunset reviews for these orders pursuant to 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2). As a result of these sunset reviews, the Department finds that revocation of the antidumping duty orders would be likely to lead to the continuation or recurrence of dumping.

DATES: *Effective Date:* October 6, 2010.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Hector Rodriguez or Elizabeth Eastwood, AD/CVD Operations, Office 2, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; *telephone:* (202) 482-0629 and (202) 482-3874, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On June 2, 2010, the Department published the notice of initiation of the sunset reviews of the antidumping duty orders on SSPC from Belgium, Italy, South Africa, South Korea, and Taiwan pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act. *See Initiation of Five-Year ("Sunset") Review*, 75 FR 30777 (June 2, 2010).

The Department received a notice of intent to participate from Allegheny Ludlum Corporation, North American Stainless and the United Steel, Paper

and Forestry, Rubber, Manufacturing, Energy, Allied Industrial and Service Workers International Union (domestic interested parties), within the deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(i). The domestic interested parties claimed interested party status under sections 771(9)(C) and (D) of the Act as U.S. producers of SSPC in the United States or a certified union whose workers are engaged in the production of SSPC in the United States.

The Department received adequate substantive responses to the notice of initiation from the domestic interested parties within the 30-day deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i). We received no substantive responses from respondent interested parties with respect to any of the orders covered by these sunset reviews. As a result, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2), the Department conducted expedited (120-day) sunset reviews of the antidumping duty orders on SSPC from Belgium, Italy, South Africa, South Korea, and Taiwan.

Scope of the Orders

Stainless steel is an alloy steel containing, by weight, 1.2 percent or less of carbon and 10.5 percent or more of chromium, with or without other elements. The subject plate products are flat-rolled products, 254 mm or over in width and 4.75 mm or more in thickness, in coils, and annealed or otherwise heat treated and pickled or otherwise descaled. The subject plate may also be further processed (*e.g.*, cold-rolled, polished, *etc.*) provided that it maintains the specified dimensions of plate following such processing. Excluded from the scope of the orders are the following: (1) Plate not in coils, (2) plate that is not annealed or otherwise heat treated and pickled or otherwise descaled, (3) sheet and strip, and (4) flat bars.

The merchandise subject to the orders is currently classifiable in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) at subheadings: 7219.11.00.30, 7219.11.00.60, 7219.12.00.06, 7219.12.00.21, 7219.12.00.26, 7219.12.00.51, 7219.12.00.56, 7219.12.00.66, 7219.12.00.71, 7219.12.00.81,

7219.31.00.10, 7219.90.00.10, 7219.90.00.20, 7219.90.00.25, 7219.90.00.60, 7219.90.00.80, 7220.11.00.00, 7220.20.10.10, 7220.20.10.15, 7220.20.10.60, 7220.20.10.80, 7220.20.60.05, 7220.20.60.10, 7220.20.60.15, 7220.20.60.60, 7220.20.60.80, 7220.90.00.10, 7220.90.00.15, 7220.90.00.60, and 7220.90.00.80.

Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise subject to these orders is dispositive.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in these reviews are addressed in the "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Expedited Sunset Reviews of the Antidumping Duty Orders on Stainless Steel Plate in Coils from Belgium, Italy, South Africa, South Korea, and Taiwan" from Susan H. Kuhbach, Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, to Ronald K. Lorentzen, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration (September 30, 2010) (Decision Memo), which is hereby adopted by this notice. The issues discussed in the Decision Memo include the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping and the magnitude of the margins likely to prevail if the orders were revoked. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in these reviews and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum which is on file in the Central Records Unit, room 7046 of the main Department building.

In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memo can be accessed directly on the Web at <http://ia.ita.doc.gov/frn>. The paper copy and electronic version of the Decision Memo are identical in content.

Final Results of Reviews

We determine that revocation of the antidumping duty orders on SSPC from Belgium, Italy, South Africa, South Korea, and Taiwan would be likely to lead to the continuation or recurrence of dumping at the following weighted-average percentage margins:

Manufacturers/Exporters/Producers	Weighted-average margin (percent)
Belgium:	
AMS Belgium*	8.54
All-Others Rate	8.54
Italy:	
Thyssen Krupp Acciai Speciali Terni S.p.A**	45.09
All-Others Rate	39.69

Manufacturers/Exporters/Producers	Weighted-average margin (percent)
South Africa:	
Columbus Stainless	41.63
All-Others Rate	41.63
South Korea:	
Pohang Iron & Steel Co., Ltd	16.26
All-Others Rate	16.26
Yieh United Steel Corporation	8.02
YUSCO/Ta Chen	10.20
All-Others Rate	7.39

* AMS Belgium is the successor-in-interest to ALZ N.V.

** Thyssen Krupp Acciai Speciali Terni S.p.A is the successor-in-interest to Acciai Speciali Terni SpA.

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective orders is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing the results and notice in accordance with sections 751(c), 752(c), and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: September 30, 2010.

Ronald K. Lorentzen,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 2010-25216 Filed 10-5-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-405-803, A-421-811, A-401-808]

Purified Carboxymethylcellulose From Finland, the Netherlands, and Sweden: Final Results of the Expedited First Sunset Reviews of the Antidumping Duty Orders

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On June 2, 2010, the Department of Commerce (the Department) initiated first sunset reviews of the antidumping duty orders on purified carboxymethylcellulose (CMC) from, *inter alia*, Finland, the Netherlands, and Sweden, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). The Department has conducted expedited (120-day) sunset reviews of the Finland, the

Netherlands, and Sweden antidumping duty orders pursuant to 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2).¹ As a result of these sunset reviews, the Department finds that revocation of the antidumping duty orders would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dena Crossland or Angelica Mendoza, AD/CVD Operations, Office 7, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; *telephone:* (202) 482-3362 or (202) 482-3019, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On June 2, 2010, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the notice of initiation of the sunset reviews of the antidumping duty orders on CMC from Finland, the Netherlands, Mexico, and Sweden, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act. *See Initiation of Five-Year (“Sunset”) Review*, 75 FR 30777 (June 2, 2010) (*Notice of Initiation*).

The Department received a notice of intent to participate from domestic interested party Aqualon Company (Aqualon)² within the deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(i). Aqualon claimed interested party status under section 771(9)(C) of the Act, as the sole manufacturer of a domestic-like product in the United States.

The Department received adequate substantive responses to the *Notice of Initiation* from Aqualon within the 30-day deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i). We received no

¹ With respect to the antidumping duty order on CMC from Mexico, the Department is conducting a full sunset review, the preliminary results of which were signed on September 20, 2010. *See Purified Carboxymethylcellulose from Mexico: Preliminary Results of the First Five-Year (“Sunset”) Review of Antidumping Duty Order*, 75 FR 60084 (September 29, 2010).

² Aqualon Company is a division of Hercules Incorporated.

substantive responses from respondent interested parties with respect to the antidumping duty orders on CMC from Finland and Sweden.

On July 2, 2010, respondent Akzo Nobel filed a response concerning the sunset review of CMC from the Netherlands. Using the data provided by Aqualon in its July 1, 2010, substantive response, and data provided by Akzo Nobel in its July 2, 2010, response, the Department found that Akzo Nobel accounted for less than 50 percent of exports of subject merchandise from the Netherlands. On July 22, 2010, the Department determined that Akzo Nobel’s response was not adequate because it did not account for more than 50 percent of the total exports of subject merchandise to the United States over the relevant five-year period as required by 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(A). *See Memorandum to Richard O. Weible, Director, AD/CVD Operations, Office 7, “Adequacy Determination in the First Five-Year ‘Sunset Review’ (2005 through 2009) of the Antidumping Duty Order on Purified Carboxymethylcellulose from the Netherlands,”* dated July 22, 2010.

As a result, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2), the Department determined that it would conduct expedited (120-day) sunset reviews of the antidumping duty orders on CMC from Finland, the Netherlands, and Sweden and notified the U.S. International Trade Commission. *See Letter to Ms. Catherine DeFilippo, Director, Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, from James Maeder, Director, Office 2, AD/CVD Operations, entitled “Expedited and Full Sunset Reviews of the Antidumping Duty Orders Initiated in June 2010,”* dated July 22, 2010.

On September 15, 2010, the Department contacted Aqualon regarding its reference to Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) number 3913.31.00.10 at page