

for the sampling frame includes: (1) 50 State agriculture department representatives, (2) 50 State department of education representatives, (3) 50 State cooperative extension representatives, (4) up to 50 State Farm to School coordinators, and (5) up to 1,000 local Farm to School coordinators. Ninety percent of each group is expected to respond. Estimates of the percentages of respondents who will agree to complete the interview are based on previous experience with developing the currently available database of farm to school initiatives.

Estimated Number of Responses per Respondent: 20 for State-level

respondents, 10 for local-level respondents. Estimated responses per respondent are as follows: Up to 200 State officials will be asked to identify local school districts which participate in Farm to School activities and provide contact information. An average of 20 school districts per respondent will be identified. An estimated 1,000 school districts will be contacted to obtain information on 10 key characteristics. (**Note:** identified school districts will overlap, so that redundant phone information serves as validation).

Estimated Total Responses: 14,000.
Estimated Time per Response: We estimate the time per response as 0.05

hours (3 minutes) for State-level respondents to provide contact information for each school district within the state, and 0.25 hours (15 minutes) per question for local-level respondents to provide information on each key characteristic, on average. These estimates of respondent burden are based on experience with previous data collection efforts for Farm to School initiatives.

Estimated Total Burden on Respondents: 2,700 hours. See the table below for the estimated total annual burden for each instrument.

REPORTING BURDEN

Description	Estimated number of respondents	Responses per respondent	Total annual responses	Estimated average number of hours per response	Estimated total annual hours of response burden
Request for programs in the state	200	20	4,000	.05	200
Key Characteristics	1,000	10	10,000	.25	2,500
Total responding burden	1,200	14,000	2,700

Dated: September 15, 2010.

Katherine R. Smith,
Administrator, Economic Research Service.
[FR Doc. 2010-26083 Filed 10-15-10; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3410-18-P

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Forest Service

Information Collection; Commercial Use of the Woodsy Owl Symbol

AGENCY: Forest Service, USDA.

ACTION: Notice; request for comment.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, the Forest Service is seeking comments from all interested individuals and organizations on the currently approved information collection, Commercial Use of the Woodsy Owl Symbol.

DATES: Comments must be received in writing on or before December 17, 2010 to be assured of consideration. Comments received after that date will be considered to the extent practicable.

ADDRESSES: Comments concerning this notice should be addressed to the Forest Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Office of the Conservation Education Program, Program Manager National Symbols, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW., Mail Stop 1147, Washington, DC 20250-1147.

Comments also may be submitted via e-mail to ivelez@fs.fed.us. The public may inspect comments received at the Office of Conservation Education Program, Room 1C, U.S. Forest Service, Yates Building, 201 14th Street, SW., Washington, DC. Visitors are urged to call ahead to 202-205-5681 to facilitate entrance into the building.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Iris Velez, Program Manager National Symbols, Office of Conservation Education Program, at 202-205-5681. Individuals who use telecommunication devices for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Relay Service (FRS) at 1-800-877-8339 between 8 a.m. and 8 p.m., Eastern Standard Time, Monday through Friday.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title: Commercial Use of the Woodsy Owl Symbol.

OMB Number: 0596-0087.

Expiration Date of Approval: 04/30/2011.

Type of Request: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Abstract: The Woodsy Owl-Smokey Bear Act of 1974 established the Woodsy Owl symbol and slogan, authorizes the Secretary of Agriculture to manage the use of the slogan and symbol, authorizes the licensing of the symbol for commercial use, and provides for continued protection of the symbol. Part 272 of Title 36 of the Code of Federal Regulations authorizes the Chief of the Forest Service to approve

commercial use of the Woodsy Owl symbol and to collect royalty fees. Commercial use includes replicating Woodsy Owl symbol or logo on items, such as tee shirts, mugs, pins, figurines, ornaments, stickers, and toys and using the image and or slogan of the icon in motion pictures, documentaries, television magazine stories, and books, magazines, and other for-profit paper products.

Woodsy Owl is America's symbol for the conservation of the environment. The public service campaign slogans associated with Woodsy Owl are "Give a Hoot, Don't Pollute" and "Lend a Hand, Care for the Land." The mission statement of the Woodsy Owl's conservation campaign is to help young children discover the natural world and join in life-long actions to care for that world.

The USDA Forest Service National Symbols Program Manager will use the collected information to determine if the applicant will receive a license or renewal of an existing license and the associated royalty fees. Information collected includes, but is not limited to, tenure of business or non-profit organization, current or planned products, physical location, projected sales volume, and marketing plans. Licensees submit quarterly reports, which include:

1. A list of each item sold with the Woodsy Owl symbol.
2. Projected sales of each item.

3. The sales price of each item.
4. Total sales subject to Forest Service royalty fee.
5. Royalty fee due based on sales quantity and price.
6. Description and itemization of deductions (such as fees waived or previously paid as part of advance royalty payment).
7. The new total royalty fee the business or organization must pay after deductions.
8. The running total amount of royalties accrued in that fiscal year.
9. The typed name and signature of the business or organizational employee certifying the truth of the report.

Data gathered in this information collection are not available from other sources.

Estimate of Annual Burden: 30 minutes per responses.

Type of Respondents: Individuals, for-profit businesses and non-profit organizations.

Estimated Annual Number of Respondents: 15.

Estimated Annual Number of Responses per Respondent: 5.

Estimated Total Annual Burden on Respondents: 37.5 hours.

Comment Is Invited: Comment is invited on: (1) Whether this collection of information is necessary for the stated purposes and the proper performance of the functions of the Agency, including whether the information will have practical or scientific utility; (2) the accuracy of the Agency's estimate of the burden of the collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including the use of automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

All comments received in response to this notice, including names and addresses when provided, will be a matter of public record. Comments will be summarized and included in the submission request toward Office of Management and Budget approval.

Dated: October 12, 2010.

Robin L. Thompson,
Associate Deputy Chief, State and Private Forestry.

[FR Doc. 2010-26165 Filed 10-15-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Bureau of Industry and Security

Action Affecting Export Privileges; Joseph Piquet and Related Person Alphatronx, Inc.

In the Matter of: Joseph Piquet, 76067-004, currently incarcerated at FCI Miami, Federal Correctional Institution, P.O. Box 779800, Miami, FL 33177, and 1258 SW. Maplewood Dr., Port St. Lucie, FL 34986, Respondent, Alphatronx, Inc., 1258 SW. Maplewood Drive, Port St. Lucie, FL 34986, Related Person.

Order Making Order Denying Export Privileges of Joseph Piquet Applicable to Related Person Alphatronx, Inc.

Pursuant to Sections 766.25(h) and 766.23 of the Export Administration Regulations¹ ("EAR"), the Bureau of Industry and Security ("BIS"), U.S. Department of Commerce, through its Office of Export Enforcement ("OEE"), has requested that I make the Denial Order that was imposed against the individual Joseph Piquet ("Piquet") on May 28, 2010 (75 FR 32742, June 9, 2010) applicable to Alphatronx, Inc. ("Alphatronx"), 1258 SW. Maplewood Dr., Port St. Lucie, FL, 34986, (hereinafter, the "Related Person"), as a person related to Piquet.

Section 766.23 of the EAR provides that "[i]n order to prevent evasion, certain types of orders under this part may be made applicable not only to the respondent, but also to other persons then or thereafter related to the respondent by ownership, control, position of responsibility, affiliation, or other connection in the conduct of trade or business. Orders that may be made applicable to related persons include those that deny or affect export privileges * * *." 15 CFR 766.23(a).

On May 28, 2010, I issued an Order pursuant to Section 11(h) of the Export Administration Act of 1979, as amended (currently codified at 50 U.S.C. app. sections 2401-2420 (2000)) ("Act")² and Section 766.25 of the EAR denying the export privileges under the Regulations of Piquet for 10 years. The Order was based on Piquet's conviction of violating the International Emergency Economic Powers Act (50 U.S.C. 1701 *et seq.*

¹ The EAR are currently codified at 15 CFR Parts 730-774 (2010).

² 50 U.S.C. app. sections 2401-2420 (2000). Since August 21, 2001, the Act has been in lapse and the President, through Executive Order 13222 of August 17, 2001 (3 CFR 2001 Comp. 783 (2002)), which has been extended by successive Presidential Notices, the most recent being that of August 12, 2010 (75 FR 50,681 (Aug. 16, 2010)), has continued the Regulations in effect under the International Emergency Economic Powers Act (50 U.S.C. 1701 *et seq.* (2000)).

(2000)) ("IEEPA") and Section 38 of the Arms Export Control Act (22 U.S.C. 2778 (2000)). Piquet was convicted based on his role in a conspiracy to purchase high-tech military and dual-use electronic components from a domestic corporation and to then ship the items from the United States to Hong Kong and the People's Republic of China without first obtaining the required export licenses. Among the commodities involved in this conspiracy were high power amplifiers designed for use by the U.S. military in early warning radar and missile target acquisition systems, and low noise amplifiers that have both commercial and military use.

BIS has presented evidence that indicates that Alphatronx is related to Piquet by ownership, control, position of responsibility, affiliation, or other connection in the conduct of trade or business, and that it is necessary to add this entity to the Piquet Denial Order in order to avoid evasion of that Order. The basis for naming Alphatronx to the Piquet Denial Order is that Piquet is the owner and President of Alphatronx and that Piquet made the decisions to utilize his company Alphatronx to carry out the conspiracy and subsequent violations of the AECA and IEEPA.

As provided in Section 766.23 of the EAR, I gave notice to Alphatronx that its export privileges under the EAR could be denied for up to 10 years due to its relationship with Piquet and that BIS believes naming Alphatronx as a related party to Piquet would be necessary to prevent evasion of a denial order imposed against Piquet. In providing such notice, I gave Alphatronx an opportunity to oppose its addition to the Piquet Denial Order as a related party. Having received no submission, I have decided, following consultations with BIS's Office of Export Enforcement, including its Director, to name Alphatronx as a Related Person to the Piquet Denial Order, thereby denying Alphatronx export privileges for 10 years from the date of Piquet's conviction.

I have also decided to revoke all licenses issued pursuant to the Act or EAR in which Alphatronx had an interest at the time of Piquet's conviction. The 10-year denial period will end on May 14, 2019.

Accordingly, *it is hereby ordered:*

First, that having been provided notice and opportunity for comment as provided in Sections 766.25 and 766.23 of the Export Administration Regulations (the "Regulations"), the following entity, Alphatronx, Inc., with a last known address at 1258 SW. Maplewood Dr., Port St. Lucie, FL,