

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-9216-7]

Proposed Administrative Settlement Agreement Under Section 122 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act for the Crown Vantage Landfill Superfund Site Located in Alexandria Township, Hunterdon County, NJ.**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency.**ACTION:** Notice of proposed administrative settlement and opportunity for public comment.

SUMMARY: The United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") is proposing to enter into an administrative settlement agreement ("Settlement Agreement") with Georgia-Pacific Consumer Products, LP and International Paper Company (collectively "Settling Parties") pursuant to Section 122 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C. 9622. The Settlement Agreement provides for Settling Parties' payment of certain response costs incurred by EPA at the Crown Vantage Landfill Superfund Site located in Alexandria Township, Hunterdon County, New Jersey.

In accordance with Section 122(i) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9622(i), this notice is being published to inform the public of the proposed Settlement Agreement and of the opportunity to comment. For thirty (30) days following the date of publication of this notice, EPA will receive written comments relating to the proposed Settlement Agreement. EPA will consider all comments received and may modify or withdraw its consent to the settlement if comments received disclose facts or considerations that indicate that the proposed settlement is inappropriate, improper or inadequate. EPA's response to any comments received will be available for public inspection at EPA Region 2, 290 Broadway, 17th floor, New York, New York 10007-1866.

DATES: Comments must be provided by November 22, 2010.**ADDRESSES:** Comments should reference the Crown Vantage Landfill Superfund Site, EPA Index No. 02-2010-2021 and should be sent to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Regional Counsel, New Jersey Superfund Branch, 290 Broadway, 17th Floor, New York, NY 10007.**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** A copy of the proposed administrative settlement,

as well as background information relating to the settlement, may be obtained from Elizabeth La Blanc, Assistant Regional Counsel, New Jersey Superfund Branch, Office of Regional Counsel, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 17th Floor, 290 Broadway, New York, New York 10007-1866. Telephone: 212-637-3106.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Elizabeth La Blanc, Assistant Regional Counsel, New Jersey Superfund Branch, Office of Regional Counsel, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 17th Floor, 290 Broadway, New York, New York 10007-1866. Telephone: 212-637-3106.

Dated: September 29, 2010.

Walter Mugdan,*Director, Emergency and Remedial Response Division.*

[FR Doc. 2010-26735 Filed 10-21-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION****[MB Docket No. 10-204; DA 10-1918]****The Tennis Channel, Inc. v. Comcast Cable Communications, LLC; File No. CSR-8258-P****AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.**ACTION:** Notice.**SUMMARY:** This document designates a program carriage complaint for hearing before an Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ") to resolve the factual disputes and to return an Initial Decision.**DATES:** The Tennis Channel, Inc. ("The Tennis Channel") and Comcast Cable Communications, LLC ("Comcast") shall each file with the Chief, Enforcement Bureau and Chief ALJ, by October 15, 2010, its respective elections as to whether it wishes to proceed to Alternative Dispute Resolution ("ADR"). The hearing proceeding is suspended during this time. If one or both of the parties do not elect ADR, then the hearing proceeding will commence on October 18, 2010. In order to avail itself of the opportunity to be heard, The Tennis Channel and Comcast, in person or by their attorneys, shall each file with the Commission, by October 22, 2010, a written appearance stating that it will appear on the date fixed for hearing and present evidence on the issues specified herein.**ADDRESSES:** Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20554.**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For additional information on this

proceeding, contact David Konczal, *David.Konczal@fcc.gov*, of the Media Bureau, Policy Division, (202) 418-2120.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a summary of the *Hearing Designation Order and Notice of Opportunity for Hearing for Forfeiture*, DA 10-1918, adopted and released on October 5, 2010. The full text of this document is available for public inspection and copying during regular business hours in the FCC Reference Center, Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street, SW., CY-A257, Washington, DC 20554. This document will also be available via ECFs (<http://www.fcc.gov/cgb/ecfs/>). (Documents will be available electronically in ASCII, Word 97, and/or Adobe Acrobat.) The complete text may be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, 445 12th Street, SW., Room CY-B402, Washington, DC 20554. To request this document in accessible formats (computer diskettes, large print, audio recording, and Braille), send an e-mail to fcc504@fcc.gov or call the Commission's Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau at (202) 418-0530 (voice), (202) 418-0432 (TTY).

Synopsis of the Order*I. Introduction*

1. By this *Hearing Designation Order and Notice of Opportunity for Hearing for Forfeiture* ("Order"), the Chief, Media Bureau ("Bureau"), pursuant to delegated authority, hereby designates for hearing before an ALJ the above-captioned program carriage complaint filed by The Tennis Channel against Comcast. The complaint alleges that Comcast, a vertically integrated multichannel video programming distributor ("MVPD"), discriminated against The Tennis Channel, a video programming vendor, on the basis of affiliation, with the effect of unreasonably restraining The Tennis Channel's ability to compete fairly, in violation of Section 616(a)(3) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended ("the Act"), and Section 76.1301(c) of the Commission's Rules. 47 U.S.C. 536(a)(3); 47 CFR 76.1301(c). The complaint arises from Comcast's denial of The Tennis Channel's request to be repositioned from a premium sports tier to a more broadly distributed programming tier.

2. After reviewing The Tennis Channel's complaint, we find that The Tennis Channel has put forth sufficient evidence supporting the elements of its program carriage discrimination claim to establish a *prima facie* case. Below,