

and make recommendations to the Secretary and the Secretary of Health and Human Services on matters relating to the administration of the OSH Act. Under the OSH Act, Congress intended NACOSH to be a continuing advisory committee of indefinite duration.

NACOSH operates in accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA) (5 U.S.C. App. 2) and OSHA's regulations on NACOSH (29 CFR part 1912a). Pursuant to FACA and its implementing regulations (41 CFR 102-3), the NACOSH charter must be renewed every two years. The charter expires two years from the date it is signed and filed.

To read or download a copy of the new NACOSH charter, go to Docket No. OSHA-2010-0012 at <http://www.regulations.gov>, the Federal eRulemaking Portal. The charter also is available on the NACOSH page on OSHA's Web page at <http://www.osha.gov> and at the OSHA Docket Office, N-2625, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210; telephone (202) 693-2350. In addition, the charter may be viewed or downloaded at the Federal Advisory Committees Database at <http://www.fido.gov>.

#### Authority and Signature

David Michaels, PhD, MPH, Assistant Secretary of Labor for Occupational Safety and Health, directed the preparation of this notice under the authority granted by Sections 6(b) and 7(a) of the Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970 (29 U.S.C. 655, 656), the Federal Advisory Committee Act (5 U.S.C. App. 2), 29 CFR part 1912a, 41 CFR 102-3, and Secretary of Labor's Order 4-2010 (75 FR 55355 (9/10/2010)).

Signed at Washington, DC, on October 26, 2010.

**David Michaels,**

*Assistant Secretary of Labor for Occupational Safety and Health.*

[FR Doc. 2010-27439 Filed 10-28-10; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-26-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-72,743]

#### Ormet Primary Aluminum Corporation Including On-Site Temporary Workers, Hannibal, OH; Notice of Revised Determination on Reconsideration

By application dated March 11, 2010, a company official requested administrative reconsideration of the

Department's negative determination regarding eligibility for workers and former workers of Ormet Primary Aluminum Corporation, including on-site temporary workers, Hannibal, Ohio (subject firm) to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance.

The initial investigation, initiated November 3, 2009, resulted in a negative determination, issued on February 16, 2010, that was based on the finding that imports did not contribute importantly to worker separations at the subject firm and no shift in production to a foreign country occurred. The notice of negative determination was published in the **Federal Register** on March 12, 2010 (75 FR 11925).

To support the request for reconsideration, the petitioner supplied additional information regarding overall United States production, consumption, and importation of primary and secondary aluminum to supplement that which was gathered during the initial investigation.

During the reconsideration investigation, the Department of Labor examined the petitioner's allegations and obtained current aggregate data on aluminum production and imports through 2009 which was not available during the original investigation period.

An analysis of that data shows that the ratio of U.S. imports to U.S. shipments of aluminum (primary and secondary) increased significantly from 2008 to 2009, reaching a level well over 100 percent in 2009. This increased reliance on aggregate imports of aluminum contributed importantly to the layoffs at the subject facility.

#### Conclusion

After careful review of the additional facts obtained on reconsideration, I determine that workers of Ormet Primary Aluminum Corporation, including on-site temporary workers, Hannibal, Ohio, who were engaged in employment related to the production of primary aluminum, meet the worker group certification criteria under Section 222(a) of the Act, 19 U.S.C. 272(a). In accordance with Section 223 of the Act, 19 U.S.C. 2273, I make the following certification:

"All workers of Ormet Primary Aluminum Corporation, including on-site temporary workers, Hannibal, Ohio, who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after October 27, 2008, through two years from the date of this certification, and all workers in the group threatened with total or partial separation from employment on date of certification through two years from the date of certification, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Chapter 2 of

Title II of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended."

Signed in Washington, DC, this 21st day of October, 2010.

**Elliott S. Kushner,**

*Certifying Officer, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 2010-27384 Filed 10-28-10; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P**

## LIBRARY OF CONGRESS

### Copyright Royalty Board

[Docket No. 2010-6 CRB CD 2008]

#### Distribution of the 2008 Cable Royalty Funds

**AGENCY:** Copyright Royalty Board, Library of Congress.

**ACTION:** Notice requesting comments.

**SUMMARY:** The Copyright Royalty Judges are soliciting comments on a motion of Phase I claimants for partial distribution in connection with the 2008 cable royalty funds. The Judges are also requesting comments as to the existence of Phase I and Phase II controversies with respect to the distribution of 2008 cable royalty funds.

**DATES:** Comments are due on or before November 29, 2010.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments may be sent electronically to [crb@loc.gov](mailto:crb@loc.gov). In the alternative, send an original, five copies, and an electronic copy on a CD either by mail or hand delivery. Please do not use multiple means of transmission. Comments may not be delivered by an overnight delivery service other than the U.S. Postal Service Express Mail. If by mail (including overnight delivery), comments must be addressed to: Copyright Royalty Board, P.O. Box 70977, Washington, DC 20024-0977. If hand delivered by a private party, comments must be brought to the Library of Congress, James Madison Memorial Building, LM-401, 101 Independence Avenue, SE., Washington, DC 20559-6000. If delivered by a commercial courier, comments must be delivered to the Congressional Courier Acceptance Site located at 2nd and D Street, NE., Washington, DC. The envelope must be addressed to: Copyright Royalty Board, Library of Congress, James Madison Memorial Building, LM-403, 101 Independence Avenue, SE., Washington, DC 20559-6000.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Richard Strasser, Senior Attorney, or Gina Giuffreda, Attorney Advisor, by

telephone at (202) 707-7658 or e-mail at [crb@loc.gov](mailto:crb@loc.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Each year cable systems must submit royalty payments to the Register of Copyrights as required by the statutory license set forth in section 111 of the Copyright Act for the retransmission to cable subscribers of over-the-air television and radio broadcast signals. See 17 U.S.C. 111(d). These royalties are then distributed to copyright owners whose works were included in a qualifying transmission and who timely filed a claim for royalties. Allocation of the royalties collected occurs in one of two ways. In the first instance, these funds will be distributed through a negotiated settlement among the parties. 17 U.S.C. 111(d)(4)(A). If the claimants do not reach an agreement with respect to the royalties, the Copyright Royalty Judges ("Judges") must conduct a proceeding to determine the distribution of any royalties that remain in controversy. 17 U.S.C. 111(d)(4)(B).

On September 22, 2010, representatives of the Phase I claimant categories (the "Phase I Parties")<sup>1</sup> filed with the Judges a motion requesting a partial distribution of 50% of the 2008 cable royalty funds pursuant to Section 801(b)(3)(C) of the Copyright Act. 17 U.S.C. 801(b)(3)(C). Under that section of the Copyright Act, before ruling on a partial distribution motion the Judges must publish a notice in the **Federal Register** seeking responses to the motion to ascertain whether any claimant entitled to receive such royalty fees has a reasonable objection to the proposed distribution. Consequently, this Notice seeks comments from interested claimants on whether any reasonable objection exists that would preclude the distribution of 50% of the 2008 cable royalty funds to the Phase I Parties. The Judges must be advised of the existence and extent of all such objections by the end of the comment period. The Judges will not consider any

<sup>1</sup> The "Phase I Parties" are the Program Suppliers, Joint Sports Claimants, Public Television Claimants, Commercial Television Claimants (represented by National Association of Broadcasters), Music Claimants (represented by American Society of Composers, Authors and Publishers, Broadcast Music, Inc., and SESAC, Inc.), Canadian Claimants, National Public Radio, and the Devotional Claimants. In Phase I of a cable royalty distribution proceeding, royalties are allocated among certain categories of broadcast programming that have been retransmitted by cable systems. The categories have traditionally been movies and syndicated television series, sports programming, commercial and noncommercial broadcaster-owned programming, religious programming, music, public radio programming, and Canadian programming. In Phase II of a cable royalty distribution proceeding, royalties are allocated among claimants within each of the Phase I categories.

objections with respect to the partial distribution motion that come to their attention after the close of that period.

The Judges also seek comment on the existence and extent of any controversies to the 2008 cable royalty funds at Phase I or Phase II with respect to those funds that would remain if the partial distribution is granted.

The Motion of Phase I Claimants for Partial Distribution is posted on the Copyright Royalty Board Web site at <http://www.loc.gov/crb>.

Dated: October 20, 2010.

**James Scott Sledge,**

Chief U.S. Copyright Royalty Judge.

[FR Doc. 2010-27332 Filed 10-28-10; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 1410-72-P**

## LIBRARY OF CONGRESS

### Copyright Royalty Board

[Docket No. 2010-7 CRB SD 2008]

#### Distribution of the 2008 Satellite Royalty Funds

**AGENCY:** Copyright Royalty Board, Library of Congress.

**ACTION:** Notice requesting comments.

**SUMMARY:** The Copyright Royalty Judges are soliciting comments on a motion of Phase I claimants for partial distribution in connection with the 2008 satellite royalty funds. The Judges are also requesting comments as to the existence of Phase I and Phase II controversies with respect to the distribution of 2008 satellite royalty funds.

**DATES:** Comments are due on or before November 29, 2010.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments may be sent electronically to [crb@loc.gov](mailto:crb@loc.gov). In the alternative, send an original, five copies, and an electronic copy on a CD either by mail or hand delivery. Please do not use multiple means of transmission. Comments may not be delivered by an overnight delivery service other than the U.S. Postal Service Express Mail. If by mail (including overnight delivery), comments must be addressed to: Copyright Royalty Board, P.O. Box 70977, Washington, DC 20024-0977. If hand delivered by a private party, comments must be brought to the Library of Congress, James Madison Memorial Building, LM-401, 101 Independence Avenue, SE., Washington, DC 20559-6000. If delivered by a commercial courier, comments must be delivered to the Congressional Courier Acceptance Site located at 2nd and D Street, NE., Washington, DC. The envelope must be addressed to: Copyright Royalty Board,

Library of Congress, James Madison Memorial Building, LM-403, 101 Independence Avenue, SE., Washington, DC 20559-6000.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Richard Strasser, Senior Attorney, or Gina Giuffreda, Attorney Advisor, by telephone at (202) 707-7658 or e-mail at [crb@loc.gov](mailto:crb@loc.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On October 6, 2010, representatives of the Phase I claimant categories (the "Phase I Claimants")<sup>1</sup> filed with the Judges a motion requesting a partial distribution of 50% of the 2008 satellite royalty funds pursuant to section 801(b)(3)(C) of the Copyright Act. 17 U.S.C. 801(b)(3)(C). That section requires that the Judges publish a notice in the **Federal Register** seeking responses to the motion for partial distribution to ascertain whether any claimant entitled to receive such fees has a reasonable objection to the requested distribution before ruling on the motion. Consequently, this Notice seeks comments from interested claimants on whether any reasonable objection exists that would preclude the distribution of 50% of the 2008 satellite royalty funds to the Phase I Claimants. The Judges must be advised of the existence and extent of all such objections by the end of the comment period. The Judges will not consider any objections with respect to the partial distribution motion that come to their attention after the close of that period.

The Judges also seek comment on the existence and extent of any controversies to the 2008 satellite royalty funds at Phase I or Phase II with respect to those funds that would remain if the motion for partial distribution is granted.

The Motion of the Phase I Claimants for Partial Distribution is posted on the Copyright Royalty Board Web site at <http://www.loc.gov/crb>.

<sup>1</sup> The "Phase I Claimants" are the Program Suppliers, Joint Sports Claimants, Broadcaster Claimants Group, Music Claimants (American Society of Composers, Authors and Publishers, Broadcast Music, Inc., and SESAC, Inc.), and Devotional Claimants. In Phase I of a satellite royalty distribution proceeding, royalties are allocated among certain categories of broadcast programming that have been retransmitted by satellite systems. The categories have traditionally been movies and syndicated television series, sports programming, commercial broadcaster-owned programming, religious programming, and music. Public Television Claimants, Canadian Claimants, and National Public Radio, which traditionally have received Phase I shares of cable royalties, do not claim Phase I shares of the satellite royalty funds. In Phase II of a satellite royalty distribution proceeding, royalties are allocated among claimants within each of the Phase I categories.