

events J101 and J163, which have been genetically engineered for tolerance to the herbicide glyphosate. The petition stated that this article should not be regulated by APHIS because it is unlikely to pose a plant pest risk. APHIS also announced in that notice the availability of a draft environmental assessment (EA) examining the potential environmental impacts of the proposed action in accordance with National Environmental Policy Act requirements for the proposed determination of nonregulated status. Following review of public comments and completion of the EA, we published another notice in the **Federal Register** on June 27, 2005 (70 FR 36917–36919, Docket No. 04–085–3), advising the public of our determination, effective June 14, 2005, that the Monsanto/FGI alfalfa events J101 and J163 were no longer considered regulated articles under APHIS regulations in 7 CFR part 340.

On February 13, 2007, the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California issued a ruling in a lawsuit filed by the Center for Food Safety—along with several other nonprofit organizations and alfalfa growers—challenging our decision to deregulate alfalfa events J101 and J163 (referred to in the lawsuit as Roundup Ready® alfalfa, or “RRA”), pursuant to the Plant Protection Act (PPA), as amended, and in compliance with the Administrative Procedure Act and the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969, as amended (42 U.S.C. 4321 *et seq.*). Under the provisions of NEPA, agencies must examine the potential environmental impacts of proposed major Federal actions, and the District Court ruled that APHIS’ EA failed to consider certain environmental and interrelated economic impacts. As a result, the Court ruled that APHIS is required to prepare an environmental impact statement (EIS).

On March 12, 2007, the Court vacated the deregulation determination, returning RRA to regulated status under 7 CFR part 340, and issued an injunction which enjoined the Agency from taking any further action related to RRA until an EIS was completed by APHIS. Accordingly, APHIS published a notice¹ of intent to prepare an EIS in the **Federal Register** on January 7, 2008 (73 FR 1198–1200, Docket No. APHIS–2007–0044) soliciting comments on the scope and nature of issues the Agency should consider in preparing the EIS. After reviewing the comments, we

published (*see* footnote 1) a notice of availability of a draft EIS in the **Federal Register** on January 12, 2010 (75 FR 1585–1586, Docket No. APHIS–2007–0044) soliciting comments on the draft EIS.

Subsequently, on June 21, 2010, the U.S. Supreme Court reversed the decision of the lower court which had mandated an injunction of any further planting of RRA or any other regulatory action by APHIS related to RRA until completion of an EIS. The U.S. Supreme Court remanded the case back to the 9th Circuit Court of Appeals which further remanded the case back to the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California.

Following the U.S. Supreme Court decision, FGI submitted a supplemental request for “partial deregulation” or similar administrative action for RRA, along with an accompanying “Environmental Report,” to allow the future planting, harvesting, and interstate movement of RRA crops under conditions designed to ensure any risks posed by the introduction of RRA into the environment are thoroughly mitigated.

APHIS is evaluating this supplemental request and will be making a decision on it in the future. Meanwhile, the Agency is working to complete and publish the final EIS and record of decision for RRA. APHIS is notifying the public that its receipt of this supplemental request for “partial deregulation” and this notice to the public regarding it in no way indicates that the Agency agrees with the petitioners’ description, application, or implementation of a “partial deregulation.” Such matters and related issues are solely determined by APHIS pursuant to its PPA statutory authority and its biotechnology regulations in 7 CFR part 340.

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 7701–7772 and 7781–7786; 31 U.S.C. 9701; 7 CFR 2.22, 2.80, and 371.3.

Done in Washington, DC, November 1, 2010.

Kevin Shea,

Acting Administrator, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service.

[FR Doc. 2010–27985 Filed 11–4–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410–34–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

The Department of Commerce will submit to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance the

following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

Agency: National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA).

Title: Alaska Crab Reports.

OMB Control Number: 0648–0570.

Form Number(s): NA.

Type of Request: Regular submission (renewal of an existing information collection).

Number of Respondents: 16.

Average Hours Per Response: Eligible crab community organization annual report, 40 hours; registered crab receiver ex-vessel volume and value report, 2 hours.

Burden Hours: 100.

Needs and Uses: Fishery Management Plans (FMP) are developed under the authority of the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (16 U.S.C. 1801 *et seq.*) The FMP for Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands (BSAI) Crab includes the Crab Rationalization (CR) Program, a limited access system that allocates BSAI Management Area Crab resources among harvesters, processors, and coastal communities. The intent of the CR Program Crab Reports is to monitor crab landings in the BSAI crab fisheries submitted to the National Marine Fisheries Service.

Affected Public: Business or other for-profit organizations.

Frequency: Annually.

Respondent’s Obligation: Required to obtain or retain benefits.

OMB Desk Officer:
OIRA_Submission@omb.eop.gov.

Copies of the above information collection proposal can be obtained by calling or writing Diana Hynek, Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer, (202) 482–0266, Department of Commerce, Room 6616, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230 (or via the Internet at *dHynek@doc.gov*).

Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to
OIRA_Submission@omb.eop.gov.

Dated: November 2, 2010.

Gwellnar Banks,

Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 2010–27984 Filed 11–4–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–22–P

¹ The notice, as well as comments received and supporting and related materials, can be viewed at <http://www.regulations.gov/fdmspublic/component/main?main=DocketDetail&d=APHIS–2007–0044>.