

the public interest at this time. DEA has investigated Archimica, Inc. to ensure that the company's registration is consistent with the public interest. The investigation has included inspection and testing of the company's physical security systems, verification of the company's compliance with state and local laws, and a review of the company's background and history. Therefore, pursuant to 21 U.S.C. 823, and in accordance with 21 CFR 1301.33, the above named company is granted registration as a bulk manufacturer of the basic class of controlled substance listed.

Dated: November 1, 2010.

**Joseph T. Rannazzisi,**

*Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration.*

[FR Doc. 2010-28520 Filed 11-10-10; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410-09-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-73,963]

#### **Dentek.com, D/B/A Nsequence Center for Advanced Dentistry; Reno, NV; Notice of Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration**

By application dated July 16, 2010, a petitioner requested administrative reconsideration of the negative determination regarding workers' eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) applicable to workers and former workers of the subject firm. The determination was issued on June 22, 2010. The Department's Notice of Determination was published in the **Federal Register** on July 7, 2010 (75 FR 39049). Workers are engaged in employment related to the production of dental prosthetics.

The initial determination was based on the findings that worker separations are not attributable to increased imports of articles like or directly competitive with dental prosthetics or a shift/acquisition of these articles to a foreign country by the workers' firm.

In the request for reconsideration, the petitioner provided additional information regarding company imports and operations.

The Department has carefully reviewed the request for reconsideration and the existing record and has determined that the Department will conduct further investigation to determine if the workers meet the

eligibility requirements of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended.

### Conclusion

After careful review of the application, I conclude that the claim is of sufficient weight to justify reconsideration of the U.S. Department of Labor's prior decision. The application is, therefore, granted.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 13th day of August, 2010.

**Del Min Amy Chen,**

*Certifying Officer, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 2010-28491 Filed 11-10-10; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-73,756]

#### **Progressive Furniture, Inc., Including On-Site Leased Workers From Onin Staffing, a Subsidiary of Sauder Furniture, Claremont, NC; Notice of Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration**

On July 19, 2010, the Department issued a determination regarding workers' eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) applicable to workers and former workers of the subject firm. The Department's Notice of Determination was published in the **Federal Register** on August 6, 2010 (75 FR 47635).

The initial investigation resulted in a negative determination based on the findings that there was no increase in imports or shift to/acquisition from a foreign country of decommissioning services by the workers' firm, and that the workers' firm did not produce an article or supply a service that was used by a firm with workers eligible to apply for TAA in the production of an article or supply of a service that was the basis for TAA-certification.

Subsequent to the issuance of the negative determination, the Department was informed of a mistake in fact in the case at hand.

Based on this new information, the Department has determined that it is appropriate for the Department to conduct further investigation to determine if the workers meet the eligibility requirements of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended.

### Conclusion

After careful review, I conclude that a reconsideration of the U.S.

Department of Labor's prior decision is appropriate.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 13th day of August, 2010.

**Del Min Amy Chen,**

*Certifying Officer, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 2010-28489 Filed 11-10-10; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-73,210; TA-W-73,210A]

#### **Metlife Moosic, PA, Metlife Clarks Summit, PA; Notice of Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration**

By application dated August 2, 2010, the petitioners requested administrative reconsideration of the negative determination regarding workers' eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) applicable to workers and former workers of the subject firm. The determination was issued on July 14, 2010, and the Department's Notice of Determination was published in the **Federal Register** on August 2, 2010 (75 FR 45163).

The initial investigation resulted in a negative determination based on the findings that there was no increase in imports or acquisition from a foreign country of software testing and quality assurance services by the workers' firm, and that the workers' firm did not produce an article or supply a service that was used by a firm with workers eligible to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) in the production of an article or supply of a service that was the basis for TAA-certification.

In the request for reconsideration, the petitioners provided additional information alleging the procurement by the subject firm from foreign sources of services like and directly competitive with those produced by the petitioning workers.

The Department has carefully reviewed the request for reconsideration and the existing record, and has determined that the Department will conduct further investigation to determine if the workers meet the eligibility requirements of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended.

### Conclusion

After careful review of the application, I conclude that the claim is of sufficient weight to justify reconsideration of the U.S. Department