likely take place simultaneously with engagement and planning; operate across the full spectrum of strategic, operational, and tactical levels; and occur internally among DoD Components and externally with supported civil authorities and

qualifying entities.

(A) Policy coordination between the Department of Defense and other Federal departments is the responsibility of ASD(HD&ASA). Other DoD Components may send representatives to these meetings with the prior concurrence of ASD(HD&ASA). Standing Departmentallevel special events coordination meetings include:

(1) USSS-led NSSE Working Group. (2) DHS-led Special Events Working

Group.

(3) Department of State, Bureau of Diplomatic Security-led International

Sporting Event Group.

- (B) Coordination Below the Strategic Level. (1) Coordination within the Department is led by the ASD(HD&ASA) and is facilitated by the CJCS for the Combatant Commands and other Joint Commands and by other DoD Component Heads for their constituent elements.
- (2) The CJCS will work with the Service Chiefs, Chief NGB, and the heads of DoD Components when subject matter expertise is needed for the event organizers. This will be based upon location and other criteria, as needed.
- (ii) Inputs to the DHS-produced Integrated Federal Support Overview(IFSO) will be solicited by the CJCS and sent to the ASD(HD&ASA) for consolidation and deconfliction prior to final submission to DHS. DoD Component Heads not tasked by the Joint Staff will submit their input directly to ASD(HD&ASA).

(iii) RFAs for DoD support will adhere

to the following:

(A) An RFA for DoD support to a special event may be made by Federal, State, or local civil authorities, or by

other qualifying entities.

- (B) RFAs will be in writing and addressed to the Secretary of Defense, the Deputy Secretary of Defense or the Executive Secretary of the Department of Defense, 1000 Defense, Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301-1000. Components who receive RFAs directly from the requestor will immediately forward them to the Executive Secretary for disposition, distribution, and tracking.
- (C) The Executive Secretary will determine who within the Department has the lead action on the RFA. At a minimum, the RFA will be distributed to the ASD(HD&ASA) and the CJCS. If

the RFA is for a single capability that a Component is the OPR or for which has Executive Agency. The Executive Secretary will send the RFA to that Component for action, and will provide an information copy to the ASD(HD&ASA) and the CJCS.

- (D) Vetting of RFAs will be in accordance with DoD's Global Force Management process and consistent with criteria published in 32 CFR part
- (E) Unless directed otherwise, the Executive Secretary will communicate the Department's decision on support to a special event to the requesting authorities.
- (4) Execution. Execution of DoD support to special events is a shared responsibility. The scope and magnitude of the support being provided will determine the OPR and level of execution.
- (i) When joint military forces or centralized command and control of DoD support to a special event are anticipated or required, a Combatant Commander shall be identified as the Supported Commander in a properly approved order issued by the CJCS. The designated Combatant Command shall be the focal point for execution of DoD support to that special event with other DoD Components in support. Reporting requirements shall be in accordance with the properly approved order issued by the CJCS and standing business
- (ii) When there are no military forces required and no need for centralized command and control, DoD support to special events shall be executed by the CICS or the head of a DoD Component, as designated in a properly approved order or message issued by the CJCS. Oversight of DoD support will be provided by the ASD(HD&ASA).
- (5) Recovery. (i) Durable, non-unit equipment, procured by the Department of Defense to support a special event, shall be retained by the CJCS for use during future events in accordance with § 183.5(h)(7) of this part.
- (ii) An After-Action Report shall be produced by the Combatant Command or OPR and sent to ASD(HD&ASA) and the CJCS within 60 days of completion of the event.

Dated: November 15, 2010.

#### Patricia L. Toppings,

OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

[FR Doc. 2010-29764 Filed 11-24-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001-06-P

#### LIBRARY OF CONGRESS

#### **Copyright Office**

#### 37 CFR Part 201

[Docket No. RM 2010-5]

#### **Gap in Termination Provisions**

**AGENCY:** Copyright Office, Library of Congress.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking; request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** The Copyright Office is proposing to amend its regulations governing notices of termination of certain grants of transfers and licenses of copyright under section 203 of the Copyright Act of 1976. The amendments are intended to clarify the recordation practices of the Copyright Office regarding the content of section 203 notices of termination and the timeliness of their service and recordation, including a clarification that the Office will accept for recordation under section 203 a notice of termination of a grant agreed to before January 1, 1978 as long as the work that is the subject of the grant was not created before 1978. Whether such notices of termination fall within the scope of section 203 will ultimately be a matter to be resolved by the courts.

**DATES:** Comments on the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking and Requests for Comments are due on or before December 27, 2010.

**ADDRESSES:** The Copyright Office strongly prefers that comments be submitted electronically. A comment page containing a comment form is posted on the Copyright Office Web site at http://www.copyright.gov/docs/ termination. The Web site interface requires submitters to complete a form specifying name and organization, as applicable, and to upload comments as an attachment via a browse button. To meet accessibility standards, all comments must be uploaded in a single file in either the Adobe Portable Document File (PDF) format that contains searchable, accessible text (not an image); Microsoft Word; WordPerfect; Rich Text Format (RTF); or ASCII text file format (not a scanned document). The maximum file size is 6 megabytes (MB). The name of the submitter and organization should appear on both the form and the face of the comments. All comments will be posted publicly on the Copyright Office Web site exactly as they are received, along with names and organizations. If electronic submission of comments is not feasible, please contact the

Copyright Office at 202–707–8125 for special instructions.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Amanda Wilson Denton, Counsel for Policy and International Affairs, by telephone at 202–707–8125 or by electronic mail at *amwi@loc.gov*.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### **Background**

The Copyright Act gives authors (and some heirs, beneficiaries and representatives who are specified by statute) the right to terminate certain grants of transfers or licenses within the time frames set forth in the statute and subject to the execution of certain conditions precedent. Termination rights (also referred to as "recapture rights") are equitable accommodations under the law. They allow authors or their heirs a second opportunity to share in the economic success of their works. Codified in sections 304(c), 304(d) and 203 of Title 17, respectively, they encompass grants made before as well as after January 1, 1978 (the effective date of the 1976 Copyright Act). However, the provisions do not apply to copyrights in works made for hire or grants made by will. Sections 304(c) and 304(d) establish termination rights for works subject to grants of transfers or licenses of copyright (or of any right under a copyright) made before January 1, 1978, the effective date of the 1976 Copyright Act. Section 203, which is the subject of this proposed rulemaking, establishes termination rights for works subject to grants of transfers or licenses executed by the author on or after the effective date of the 1976 Copyright Act.

This proposed rulemaking is intended to address a narrow fact pattern that was the subject of a notice of inquiry after some authors and their representatives brought concerns to the attention of the Copyright Office and some Congressional Offices. In a Federal Register Notice dated March 29, 2010 (75 FR 15390), the Office sought comments as to whether or how the termination provisions apply in circumstances where a grant was agreed to prior to January 1, 1978, but the work in question was created on or after January 1, 1978. In response to the Notice of Inquiry, the Copyright Office received sixteen initial comments and nine reply comments. These comments are available online on the Copyright Office Web site, at http://

www.copyright.gov/docs/termination/.
Several of those commenters took the position that the termination right provided in section 203 of the Copyright Act should be available under the circumstances in question. They based

this position on a number of legal and policy arguments, prominent among which was the argument that a grant is not fully executed under the law until the relevant work has been created. Therefore, pre-1978 grants for works not created until January 1, 1978 or later should be subject to termination under section 203. See, e.g., Comment of Jane C. Ginsburg, Columbia University Law School at page 1; and Comment of Kenneth D. Freundlich, Freundlich Law, and Neil W. Netanel, UCLA Law School, at pages 5-6. This argument is closely related to the idea that the rights created by title 17 can vest only in actual works of authorship, making the creation date of the work central to the point in time at which any right under the Copyright Act, including the termination right, may be transferred. See, e.g., Comment of Randall D. Wixen, Wixen Music Publishing, Inc., at 1. Several commenters also cited the legislative history of the 1976 Copyright Act and the express exceptions that are found within the termination provisions as evidence that Congress did not intend to preclude termination of pre-1978 grants of works created on or after January 1, 1978. See, e.g., Comment of Bill Gable, Law Offices of Bill Gable, at page 2; and Comment of Niels Schaumann, William Mitchell College of Law, at page 4.

At least one comment, however, expressed skepticism that section 203 should apply to any fact patterns in which grants were made prior to January 1, 1978. It observed that there is some evidence that "Congress may have intended the term executed to mean signed" in other sections of the Copyright Act and that prior to the enactment of the Copyright Act of 1976, publications by the Copyright Office had expressed views consistent with the conclusion that a grant should be considered to be executed on the date the grant was signed. See Reply Comment of the Recording Industry Association of America, Inc. ("RIAA"), at pages 2-3.

Based on the comments received, the Copyright Office believes that there are legitimate grounds to assert that, in the case of a grant signed (or, in the case of an oral license, agreed to) before January 1, 1978 regarding rights in a work not created until January 1, 1978 or later, such a grant cannot be "executed" until the work exists. Therefore, the Office will record a notice of termination in such a case so long as the notice states that the grant was executed on a specified date that is on or after January 1, 1978. A person serving and submitting a notice of termination based

on the rationale described above would

be justified in including in the notice, as the date of execution of the grant, the date that the work was created. For purposes of clearly identifying the grant being terminated, it may be useful also to state the date the grant was signed. The Office's recordation of such notices of termination is without prejudice as to how a court might ultimately rule on whether the document is a notice of termination within the scope of section 203. See 37 CFR 201.10(f)(5).

Through the proposed regulatory amendments, the Office seeks to provide immediate practical guidance in light of the fact that the first deadlines for serving notices of section 203 terminations for grants executed in 1978 (if the terminating party wishes to terminate on the earliest possible date) will begin to expire next year. The amendments clarify that, consistent with existing recordation practices, the Office reserves the right to refuse a document for recordation as a section 203 notice of termination if the date of execution of the grant, as reflected in the document submitted as a notice of termination, falls before January 1, 1978. This practice is consistent with the law (17 U.S.C. 203(a)) and the existing regulations (37 CFR 201.10(b)(2)). The proposed amendments to the regulations underscore the consequences of failure on the part of an author or his heirs to comply with this aspect of section 203(a) of the Copyright Act, which can prevent recordation of the document as a notice of termination. Failure to record a notice of termination in a timely manner is a fatal error that will prevent termination from taking effect.

The Office also takes the opportunity in this proposed rulemaking to clarify certain circumstances under which the Office will refuse to index as notices of termination documents submitted under section 203, for reason of certain procedural failures drawn from the clear language of the Copyright Act. These circumstances include a date of execution of the grant that falls before January 1, 1978 (as discussed above), an effective date of termination that does not fall within the allowed statutory period (17 U.S.C. 203(a)(3)), improperly timed service of the notice of termination (17 U.S.C. 203(a)(4)(A)), or submission of documents for recordation as notice of termination on or after the effective date of termination (17 U.S.C. 203(a)(4)(A)). These circumstances are not intended to be an exhaustive list of procedural failures that may result in failure to record notices of termination.

#### List of Subjects in 37 CFR Part 201

Copyright.

#### **Proposed Regulations**

In consideration of the foregoing, the Copyright Office proposes to amend part 201 of 37 CFR, as follows:

#### PART 201—GENERAL PROVISIONS

1. The authority citation for part 201 reads as follows:

**Authority:** 17 U.S.C. 702; Section 201.10 also issued under 17 U.S.C. 203 and 304.

2. Amend  $\S$  201.10 by revising paragraph (f)(4) as follows:

## § 201.10 Notices of termination of transfers and licenses.

\* \* \* \* \* \* (f) \* \* \*

(4) Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in this section, the Copyright Office reserves the right to refuse recordation of a notice of termination as such if, in the judgment of the Copyright Office, such notice of termination is untimely. Conditions under which a notice of termination will be considered untimely include: the date of execution stated therein does not fall on or after January 1, 1978, as required by section 203(a) of title 17, United States Code; the effective date of termination does not fall within the five-year period described in section 203(a)(3) of title 17, United States Code; or the documents submitted indicate that the notice of termination was served less than two or more than ten years before the effective date of termination. If a notice of termination is untimely or if a document is submitted for recordation as a notice of termination on or after the effective date of termination, the Office will offer to record the document as a "document pertaining to copyright" pursuant to § 201.4(c)(3), but the Office will not index the document as a notice of termination. Any dispute as to whether a document so recorded is sufficient in any instance to effect termination as a matter of law shall be determined by a court of competent jurisdiction.

Dated: November 19, 2010.

### Marybeth Peters,

Register of Copyrights.

[FR Doc. 2010–29743 Filed 11–24–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 1410-30-P

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

#### 47 CFR Part 64

[CG Docket Nos. 10–207 and 09–158; FCC 10–180]

# Empowering Consumers to Avoid Bill Shock; Consumer Information and Disclosure

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION. Duran and an

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: In this document, the Commission proposes rules that would require mobile service providers to provide usage alerts and information that will assist consumers in avoiding unexpected charges on their bills. The Commission believes its proposals will allow consumers to understand the costs associated with use of their mobile service plans and take advantage of safeguards against bill shock by providing them with timely information to better manage those costs and thereby avoid incurring unexpected charges on their bills.

DATES: Comments are due on or before December 27, 2010. Reply comments are due on or before January 25, 2011. Written comments on the proposed information collection requirements, subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104–13 (PRA), should be submitted on or before January 25, 2011.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments, identified by [CG Docket No. 10–207], by any of the following methods:

■ *Electronic Filers:* Comments may be filed electronically using the Internet by accessing the Commission's Electronic Comment Filing System (ECFS) http://fjallfoss.fcc.gov/ecfs2/ or the Federal eRulemaking Portal: http:// www.regulations.gov. Filers should follow the instructions provided on the Web site for submitting comments and transmit one electronic copy of the filing to each docket number referenced in the caption, which in this case is CG Docket No. 10-207. For ECFS filers, in completing the transmittal screen, filers should include their full name, U.S. Postal Service mailing address, and the applicable docket number.

Parties may also submit an electronic comment by Internet e-mail. To get filing instructions, filers should send an e-mail to ecfs@fcc.gov, and include the following words in the body of the message, "get form <your e-mail address>." A sample form and directions will be sent in response.

■ Paper Filers: Parties who choose to file by paper must file an original and

four copies of each filing. Because two docket numbers appear in the caption of this proceeding, filers must submit two additional copies for the additional docket number. In addition, parties must send one copy to the Commission's duplicating contractor, Best Copy and Printing, Inc., 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20554, or via e-mail to fcc@bcpiweb.com. Filings can be sent by hand or messenger delivery, by commercial overnight courier, or by first-class or overnight U.S. Postal Service mail. All filings must be addressed to the Commission's Secretary, Office of the Secretary, Federal Communications Commission.

- All hand-delivered or messenger-delivered paper filings for the Commission's Secretary must be delivered to FCC Headquarters at 445 12th St., SW., Room TW-A325, Washington, DC 20554. All hand deliveries must be held together with rubber bands or fasteners. Any envelopes must be disposed of before entering the building. The filing hours are 8 a.m. to 7 p.m.
- Commercial overnight mail (other than U.S. Postal Service Express Mail and Priority Mail) must be sent to 9300 East Hampton Drive, Capitol Heights, MD 20743. U.S. Postal Service first-class, Express, and Priority mail must be addressed to 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20554.

In addition, document FCC 10-180 contains proposed information collection requirements subject to the PRA. It will be submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review under section 3507 of the PRA. OMB, the general public, and other Federal agencies are invited to comment on the proposed information collection requirements contained in this document. PRA comments should be submitted to Cathy Williams, Federal Communications Commission via e-mail at PRA@fcc.gov and Cathy. Williams@fcc.gov, and to Nicholas A. Fraser, Office of Management and Budget, via fax at (202) 395-5167, or via e-mail to Nicholas A. Fraser@omb.eop.gov.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Richard D. Smith, Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau, Policy Division, at (717) 338–2797 (voice), or e-mail *Richard.Smith@fcc.gov*.

For additional information concerning the PRA information collection requirements contained in this document, contact Cathy Williams, Federal Communications Commission, at (202) 418–2918, or via e-mail Cathy. Williams@fcc.gov.