b. Engage in promotional and marketing activities and collect information on trade opportunities in the Export Markets and distribute such information to clients;

c. Enter into exclusive and/or non-exclusive licensing and/or sales agreements with Suppliers for the export of products and services, and/or technology rights to Export Markets;

d. Enter into exclusive and/or non-exclusive agreements with distributors and/or sales representatives in Export Markets;

e. Allocate export sales or divide Export Markets among Suppliers for the sale and/or licensing of products and services and/or technology rights;

f. Allocate export orders among Suppliers;

g. Establish the price of products and services and/or technology rights for sales and/or licensing in Export Markets; and

h. Negotiate, enter into, and/or manage licensing agreements for the export of technology rights.

2. ARC seeks certification to exchange information with individual Suppliers on a one-to-one basis regarding that Supplier's inventories and near-term production schedules in order that the availability of Products for export can be determined and effectively coordinated by ARC with its distributors in Export Markets.

IV. Terms and Conditions

1. In engaging in Export Trade Activities and Methods of Operation, ARC will not intentionally disclose, directly or indirectly, to any Supplier any information about any other Supplier's costs, production, capacity, inventories, domestic prices, domestic sales, or U.S. business plans, strategies, or methods that is not already generally available to the trade or public.

2. ARC will comply with requests made by the Secretary of Commerce on behalf of the Secretary or the Attorney General for information or documents relevant to conduct under the Certificate. The Secretary of Commerce will request such information or documents when either the Attorney General or the Secretary of Commerce believes that the information or documents are required to determine that the Export Trade, Export Trade Activities and Methods of Operation of a person protected by this Certificate of Review continue to comply with the standards of section 303(a) of the Act.

Definition

"Supplier" means a person who produces, provides, or sells Products, Services, and/or Technology Rights.

Dated: December 6, 2010.

Joseph E. Flynn,

Director, Office of Competition and Economic Analysis.

[FR Doc. 2010–32125 Filed 12–21–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DR–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–533–824]

Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet and Strip From India: Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

DATES: *Effective Date:* December 22, 2010.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Elfi Blum and Toni Page, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0197 and (202) 482–1398, respectively.

Background

On March 2, 2010, the Department of Commerce (the Department) initiated a new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on polyethylene terephthalate film, sheet and strip from India for the period July 1, 2009, through December 31, 2009. *See Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet and Strip from India: Initiation of Antidumping Duty and Countervailing Duty New Shipper Reviews,* 75 FR 10758 (March 9, 2010). This new shipper review covers one producer and exporter of the subject merchandise to the United States: SRF Limited. On August 18, 2010, the Department extended the deadline for the preliminary results for this new shipper review until October 22, 2010. *See Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet and Strip from India: Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review,* 75 FR 52717 (August 27, 2010) (*First Extension*). On October 18, 2010, the Department decided to further extend the deadline for the preliminary results to December 16, 2010. *See Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet and Strip From India: Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review,* 75 FR 65450 (October 25, 2010) (*Second Extension*).

Extension of Time Limit for the Preliminary Results

Section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and 19 CFR 351.214(i)(1) require the Department to issue the preliminary results of review within 180 days after the date on which the new shipper review was initiated, and final results of the review within 90 days after the date on which the preliminary results were issued. However, if the Department concludes that a new shipper review is extraordinarily complicated, section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(i)(2) allow the Department to extend the 180-day period to 300 days, and to extend the 90-day period to 150 days. In the *First Extension* and the *Second Extension,* the Department determined that this new shipper review is extraordinarily complicated because of issues pertaining to the *bona fides* of the new shipper and SRF Limited's reported sales data. *See First Extension,* 75 FR at 52717; *Second Extension,* 75 FR at 65450. The Department finds that it needs additional time to analyze methodological issues related to SRF Limited's reported sales data, and is fully extending the deadline for completion of the preliminary results of this new shipper review, in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(i)(2). Accordingly, the deadline for the completion of the preliminary results is now December 27, 2010.

This notice is issued and published pursuant to sections 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: December 16, 2010.

Christian Marsh,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2010–32168 Filed 12–21–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–588–866]

Superalloy Degassed Chromium From Japan: Final Results of Sunset Review and Revocation of Antidumping Duty Order

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On November 1, 2010, the Department of Commerce (the Department) initiated the sunset review of the antidumping duty order on superalloy degassed chromium (SDC)

from Japan. See *Initiation of Five-Year ("Sunset") Review,* 75 FR 67082 (November 1, 2010) (*Initiation Notice*). Because no domestic interested party responded to the notice of initiation of the sunset review by the applicable deadline, the Department is revoking the antidumping duty order on SDC from Japan.

DATES: *Effective Date:* December 22, 2010.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jerrold Freeman at (202) 482–0180 or Minoo Hatten at (202) 482–1690, AD/CVD Operations, Office 5, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On December 22, 2005, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the antidumping duty order on SDC from Japan. See *Antidumping Duty Order: Superalloy Degassed Chromium from Japan,* 70 FR 76030 (December 22, 2005).

On November 1, 2010, the Department initiated a sunset review of the antidumping duty order on SDC from Japan pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). See *Initiation Notice.* We received no response to the notice of initiation from domestic interested parties by the applicable deadline date. See 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(i). As a result, the Department has concluded that no domestic party intends to participate in the sunset review. *See* 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(iii)(A). On November 22, 2010, we notified the International Trade Commission, in writing, that we intend to revoke the antidumping duty order on SDC from Japan. See 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(iii)(B)(2).

Scope of the Order

The product covered by the order is all forms, sizes, and grades of SDC from Japan. SDC is a high-purity form of chrome metal that generally contains at least 99.5 percent, but less than 99.95 percent, chromium. SDC contains very low levels of certain gaseous elements and other impurities (typically no more than 0.005 percent nitrogen, 0.005 percent sulphur, 0.05 percent oxygen, 0.01 percent aluminum, 0.05 percent silicon, and 0.35 percent iron). SDC is generally sold in briquetted form, as "pellets" or "compacts," which typically are 1.5 inches x 1 inch x 1 inch or smaller in size and have a smooth surface. SDC is currently classifiable under subheading 8112.21.00 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). The order covers all chromium meeting the above specifications for SDC regardless of tariff classification.

Certain higher-purity and lower-purity chromium products are excluded from the scope of the order. Specifically, the order does not cover electronics-grade chromium, which contains a higher percentage of chromium (typically not less than 99.95 percent), a much lower level of iron (less than 0.05 percent), and lower levels of other impurities than SDC. The order also does not cover "vacuum melt grade" chromium, which normally contains at least 99.4 percent chromium and contains a higher level of one or more impurities (nitrogen, sulphur, oxygen, aluminum and/or silicon) than specified above for SDC.

Although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of the order is dispositive.

Revocation

Pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(iii)(B)(3), if no domestic interested party files a notice of intent to participate, the Department shall issue a final determination revoking the order within 90 days of the initiation of the review. Because no domestic interested party filed a timely notice of intent to participate in this sunset review, the Department finds that no domestic interested party is participating in this sunset review. Therefore, we are revoking the antidumping duty order on SDC from Japan. The effective date of revocation is December 22, 2010, the fifth anniversary of the antidumping duty order.

Effective Date of Revocation

Pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.222(i)(2)(i), the Department intends to issue instructions to U.S. Customs and Border Protection, 15 days after publication of this notice, to terminate the suspension of liquidation of the merchandise subject to the order which was entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after December 22, 2010. Entries of subject merchandise prior to the effective date of revocation will continue to be subject to the suspension of liquidation and antidumping duty deposit requirements. The Department is not conducting any administrative reviews of this order currently but it will conduct an administrative review of the order with respect to subject merchandise entered prior to the effective date of revocation in response to appropriately filed requests for review.

This five-year (sunset) review and notice are published in accordance with sections 751(c) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: December 16, 2010.

Ronald K. Lorentzen,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 2010–32172 Filed 12–21–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–570–831]

Fresh Garlic from the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of, Partial Rescission of, and Intent to Rescind, in Part, the 15th Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Department) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on fresh garlic from the People's Republic of China (PRC) covering the period of review (POR), November 1, 2008 through October 31, 2009. The Department initiated this review for 84 producers/exporters (companies). Based on timely withdrawal of requests for review, the Department is now rescinding the review with respect to 54 companies which are listed in Attachment I. As such, this review covers the 30 companies listed in Attachment II.

One producer/exporter selected as a mandatory respondent has participated fully and has demonstrated its eligibility for a separate rate. We preliminarily determine that the respondent sold subject merchandise to the United States at prices below normal value (NV). The Department has also preliminarily determined that total adverse facts available (AFA) is warranted for two mandatory respondents who each failed to cooperate to the best of its ability in this proceeding. The Department preliminarily grants a separate rate to four companies which demonstrated the eligibility for separate rate status. The rates assigned to each of these companies, can be found in the "Preliminary Results of Review" section of this notice. The Department also intends to rescind preliminarily the review with respect to seven companies which each timely submitted a "no shipment" certification. The remaining