

including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology?

A copy of the draft supporting statement may be viewed free of charge at the NRC Public Document Room, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Room O-1 F21, Rockville, MD 20852. OMB clearance requests are available at the NRC worldwide Web site: <http://www.nrc.gov/public-involve/doc-comment/omb/index.html>. The document will be available on the NRC home page site for 60 days after the signature date of this notice. Comments submitted in writing or in electronic form will be made available for public inspection. Because your comments will not be edited to remove any identifying or contact information, the NRC cautions you against including any information in your submission that you do not want to be publicly disclosed. Comments submitted should reference Docket No. NRC-2010-0347. You may submit your comments by any of the following methods. Electronic comments: Go to <http://www.regulations.gov> and search for Docket No. NRC-2010-0347. Mail comments to NRC Clearance Officer, Tremaine Donnell (T-5 F53), U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001. Questions about the information collection requirements may be directed to the NRC Clearance Officer, Tremaine Donnell (T-5 F53), U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, by telephone at 301-415-6258, or by e-mail to INFOCOLLECTS.Resource@NRC.GOV.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 2nd day of December, 2010.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Kristen Benney,

Acting NRC Clearance Officer, Office of Information Services.

[FR Doc. 2010-32920 Filed 12-29-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-261; NRC-2010-0062]

Carolina Power & Light Company; H. B. Robinson Steam Electric Plant, Unit No. 2; Exemption

1.0 Background

Carolina Power & Light Company (CP&L, the licensee) is the holder of Renewed Facility Operating License No. DPR-23, which authorizes operation of the H.B. Robinson Steam Electric Plant, Unit 2 (HBRSEP). The license provides, among other things, that the facility is

subject to all rules, regulations, and orders of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC, the Commission) now or hereafter in effect. The facility consists of one pressurized-water reactor located in New Hill, North Carolina.

2.0 Request/Action

Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR) part 73, "Physical protection of plants and materials," Section 73.55, "Requirements for physical protection of licensed activities in nuclear power reactors against radiological sabotage," published as a final rule in the **Federal Register** on March 27, 2009, effective May 26, 2009, with a full implementation date of March 31, 2010, requires licensees to protect, with high assurance, against radiological sabotage by designing and implementing comprehensive site security plans. The amendments to 10 CFR 73.55 published on March 27, 2009 (74 FR 13926), establish and update generically applicable security requirements similar to those previously imposed by Commission orders issued after the terrorist attacks of September 11, 2001, and implemented by licensees. In addition, the amendments to 10 CFR 73.55 include additional requirements to further enhance site security based upon insights gained from implementation of the post-September 11, 2001, security orders.

By letter dated March 3, 2010 (Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) Accession No. ML100082190), the NRC granted an exemption to the licensee for two specific items subject to the new rule in 10 CFR 73.55, allowing the implementation of these items to be extended until December 30, 2010. The licensee has implemented all other physical security requirements established by this rulemaking prior to March 31, 2010, the required implementation date.

By letter dated September 30, 2010, the licensee requested an exemption in accordance with 10 CFR 73.5, "Specific exemptions." Specifically, the licensee requested an extension of the implementation date for the remaining one item from December 30, 2010, to September 16, 2011. Portions of the licensee's September 30, 2010, letter contain security-related information and, accordingly, a redacted version of this letter is available for public review in the ADAMS No. ML103360283. The licensee requested this exemption to allow an additional extension from the current implementation date granted in the prior exemption to implement one remaining item of the requirements that

involves important physical modifications to the HBRSEP security system. The licensee has performed an extensive evaluation of the revised 10 CFR part 73 and has achieved compliance with a vast majority of the revised rule. However, the licensee has determined that implementation of one specific item of the rule will require more time to implement because it involves upgrades to the security system that require significant physical modifications (*e.g.*, the relocation of certain security assets to a new security building that will be constructed, and the addition of certain power supplies). Granting an exemption would allow the licensee time to complete the necessary security modifications to meet the regulatory requirements.

3.0 Discussion of Part 73 Schedule Exemption From the March 31, 2010, Full Implementation Date

Pursuant to 10 CFR 73.55(a)(1), "By March 31, 2010, each nuclear power reactor licensee, licensed under 10 CFR Part 50, shall implement the requirements of this section through its Commission-approved Physical Security Plan, Training and Qualification Plan, Safeguards Contingency Plan, and Cyber Security Plan referred to collectively hereafter as 'security plans.'" In accordance with 10 CFR 73.5, the Commission may, upon application by any interested person or upon its own initiative, grant exemptions from the requirements of 10 CFR part 73 when the exemptions are authorized by law, and will not endanger life or property or the common defense and security, and are otherwise in the public interest.

In the draft final rule provided to the Commission, the NRC staff proposed that the requirements of the new regulation be met within 180 days. The Commission directed a change from 180 days to approximately 1 year for licensees to fully implement the new requirements. This change was incorporated into the final rule.

As noted in the final rule, the Commission anticipated that licensees would have to conduct site-specific analyses to determine what changes were necessary to implement the rule's requirements, and that changes could be accomplished through a variety of licensing mechanisms, including exemptions. Since issuance of the final rule, the Commission has rejected a request to generically extend the rule's compliance date for all operating nuclear power plants, but noted that the Commission's regulations provide mechanisms for individual licensees, with good cause, to apply for relief from the compliance date (Reference: June 4,

2009, letter from R.W. Borchardt, NRC, to M.S. Fertel, Nuclear Energy Institute (ADAMS Accession No. ML091410309)). The licensee's request for an exemption is, therefore, consistent with the approach set forth by the Commission and discussed in the June 4, 2009, letter.

NRC approval of this exemption would allow an additional extension from the implementation date granted under a previous exemption from December 30, 2010, to September 16, 2011, for one remaining item of the final rule. As stated above, 10 CFR 73.5 allows the NRC to grant exemptions from the requirements of 10 CFR part 73. The NRC staff has determined that granting of the licensee's proposed exemption would not result in a violation of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, or the Commission's regulations. Therefore, the exemption is authorized by law.

H.B. Robinson Schedule Exemption Request

The licensee provided detailed information in its letter dated September 30, 2010, describing the reason and justification for an exemption to extend the implementation date for the one remaining requirement. Additionally, the licensee has provided information regarding the revised scope for projects at HBRSEP and the impacts on the licensee's ability to meet the current implementation date of December 30, 2010. The proposed exemption is needed to provide the licensee with additional time, beyond the date granted by the NRC letter dated March 3, 2010, to implement one remaining item of the two requirements in the previous exemption that involves important physical modifications to the HBRSEP security system. The licensee has determined that implementation of one specific provision of the rule will require more time to implement because it involves upgrades to the security system that require significant physical modifications. The licensee identified several issues that have delayed the work to this point and impacted the projected schedule: (1) The complexity of the design and construction of the projects which lead to unforeseen scope growth; (2) a better understanding of the time necessary for transition and testing for the new systems; and (3) due to a fire in an electrical switchgear room, the spring refueling outage was extended beyond that originally anticipated when schedules were first developed. These issues were revealed as the design evolved from the conceptual state to a detailed design. Additional time,

beyond that previously approved, is needed due the extensive redesign and review effort that was unforeseen at the conceptual design stage. Portions of the September 30, 2010, letter contain security-related information regarding the site security plan, details of specific portions of the regulation from which the licensee seeks exemption, justification for the additional extension request, a description of the required changes to the physical security systems, and a revised timeline with critical path activities that would enable the licensee to achieve full compliance by September 16, 2011. The timeline provides dates indicating when (1) Design activities will be completed and approved, (2) the extended refueling outage started and finished, (3) various construction activities will be completed, and (4) the new and relocated equipment will be installed and tested.

The site-specific information provided within the HBRSEP exemption request is relative to the requirements from which the licensee requested exemption and demonstrates the need for modification to meet the one specific remaining requirement of 10 CFR 73.55. The proposed implementation schedule depicts the critical activity milestones of the security system upgrades; is consistent with the licensee's solution for meeting the requirements; is consistent with the scope of the modifications and the issues and challenges identified; and is consistent with the licensee's requested compliance date.

Notwithstanding the proposed schedule exemption for this one remaining requirement, the licensee will continue to be in compliance with all other applicable physical security requirements as described in 10 CFR 73.55 and reflected in its current NRC-approved physical security program. By September 16, 2011, the HBRSEP physical security system will be in full compliance with all of the regulatory requirements of 10 CFR 73.55, as published on March 27, 2009.

4.0 Conclusion for Part 73 Schedule Exemption Request

The NRC staff has reviewed the licensee's submittals and concludes that the licensee has provided adequate justification for its request for an extension of the previously authorized implementation date from December 30, 2010, with regard to one remaining requirement of 10 CFR 73.55, to September 16, 2011. This conclusion is based on the NRC staff's determination that the licensee has made a good faith effort to meet the requirements in a

timely manner, has sufficiently described the reason for the unanticipated delays, and has provided an updated detailed schedule with adequate justification to the additional time requested for the extension.

The long-term benefits that will be realized when the security systems upgrade is complete justify extending the full compliance date with regard to the specific requirements of 10 CFR 73.55 for this particular licensee. The security measures that HBRSEP needs additional time to implement are new requirements imposed by amendments to 10 CFR 73.55, as published on March 27, 2009, and are in addition to those required by the security orders issued in response to the events of September 11, 2001. Accordingly, an exemption from the March 31, 2010, implementation date is authorized by law and will not endanger life or property or the common defense and security, and the Commission hereby grants the requested exemption.

As per the licensee's request and the NRC's regulatory authority to grant an exemption to the March 31, 2010, implementation date for the one remaining item specified in Attachment 1 of the CP&L letter dated September 30, 2010, the licensee is required to implement this one remaining item and be in full compliance with 10 CFR 73.55 by September 16, 2011. In achieving compliance, the licensee is reminded that it is responsible for determining the appropriate licensing mechanism (*i.e.*, 10 CFR 50.54(p) or 10 CFR 50.90) for incorporation of all necessary changes to its security plans.

In accordance with 10 CFR 51.32, "Finding of no significant impact," the Commission has previously determined that the granting of this exemption will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment (75 FR 80545 dated December 22, 2010).

This exemption is effective upon issuance.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 22nd day of December 2010.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
Joseph G. Giitter,
Director, Division of Operating Reactor Licensing, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 2010-32917 Filed 12-29-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P