

ANNUALIZED BURDEN HOURS

Respondents	Number of respondents	Number of responses per respondent	Average burden per response (in hrs)	Total burden (in hrs)
Government researcher .....	48	1	2	96
University researcher .....	60	1	2	120
Private industry researcher .....	12	1	2	24
Total .....				240

Dated: February 18, 2010.

**Maryam I. Daneshvar,**

*Acting Reports Clearance Officer, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.*

[FR Doc. 2010-3755 Filed 2-23-10; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4163-18-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES**

**Centers for Disease Control and Prevention**

[30 Day-10-0479]

**Agency Forms Undergoing Paperwork Reduction Act Review**

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) publishes a list of information collection requests under review by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) in compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). To request a copy of these requests, call the CDC Reports Clearance Officer at (404) 639-5960 or send an e-mail to *omb@cdc.gov*. Send written comments to CDC Desk Officer, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC or by fax to (202) 395-5806. Written comments should be received within 30 days of this notice.

**Proposed Project**

Automated Management Information System (MIS) for Diabetes Prevention and Control Programs (OMB No. 0920-0479, expiration date 5/31/2010)—Revision—National Center for Chronic

Disease Prevention and Health Promotion (NCCDPHP), Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC).

*Background and Brief Description*

Diabetes is the seventh leading cause of death in the United States. To reduce the burden of this disease, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) established the national Diabetes Control Program, which is administered through CDC’s Division of Diabetes Translation (DDT). The national program provides support for health departments in states and Territories to design, implement and evaluate diabetes prevention and control strategies through State-based Diabetes Prevention and Control Programs (DPCPs).

CDC currently collects information from DCPCs through a Web-based Management Information System (MIS). The information collected supports DDT’s broader mission of reducing the burden of diabetes by enabling DDT staff to more effectively identify the strengths and weaknesses of individual DPCPs, and to disseminate information related to successful public health interventions. The information is used to monitor compliance with cooperative agreement requirements, evaluate progress in achieving program-specific goals, and identify needs for training and technical assistance.

CDC plans to implement a number of changes. Some MIS data elements will be modified to reflect changes in the

reporting requirements for DCPCs, and to harmonize the progress and performance indicators for DCPCs with indicators being implemented for other CDC-funded programs. In addition, the electronic MIS is being restructured to improve usability and to reduce burden to respondents through improved organization and increased use of existing data resources. CDC also requests OMB approval to incorporate the term “Prevention” into the title of the clearance, in recognition of the increased emphasis on diabetes prevention in the work plans of state-based DCPCs.

Respondents will be 53 DCPCs in States, the District of Columbia, the Virgin Islands, and Puerto Rico. The information collection will no longer include the Pacific Islands jurisdictions, which in the future will be funded through a separate mechanism with different reporting requirements.

Once per year, each DCPC will submit an Annual Report to CDC that includes information about its Program, Resources, Partners, Budget, and Planning activities. In addition, each DCPC will submit a Semi-Annual Report twice per year that includes information about the Objectives described in its Action Plan, and related Activities.

Approval to collect information for three additional years is requested. There are no costs to respondents other than their time. The total estimated burden hours are 4,452.

ESTIMATED ANNUALIZED BURDEN HOURS

Type of respondents	Form name	Number of respondents	Number of responses per respondent	Average burden per response (in hours)
Diabetes Prevention and Control Programs	Annual Report .....	53	1	51
	Semi-Annual Report .....	53	2	16.5

Dated: February 17, 2010.

**Maryam I. Daneshvar,**

*Acting Reports Clearance Officer, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.*

[FR Doc. 2010-3756 Filed 2-23-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4163-18-P

## DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

[60 Day-10-0639]

### Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Proposed Data Collections Submitted for Public Comment and Recommendations

In compliance with the requirement of Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 for opportunity for public comment on proposed data collection projects, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) will publish periodic summaries of proposed projects. To request more information on the proposed projects or to obtain a copy of the data collection plans and instruments, call 404-639-5960 or send comments to Maryam Daneshvar, CDC Acting Reports Clearance Officer, 1600 Clifton Road, MS-D74, Atlanta, GA 30333 or send an e-mail to [omb@cdc.gov](mailto:omb@cdc.gov).

*Comments are invited on:* (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Written comments must be received within 60 days of this notice.

#### Project Proposal

EEOICPA Special Exposure Cohort Petitions (OMB No. 0920-0639 exp. 7/31/2010)—Extension—National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH), Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC).

#### Background and Brief Description

On October 30, 2000, the Energy Employees Occupational Illness

Compensation Program Act of 2000 (EEOICPA), 42 U.S.C. 7384-7385 [1994, supp. 2001] was enacted. It established a compensation program to provide a lump sum payment of \$150,000 and medical benefits as compensation to covered employees suffering from designated illnesses incurred as a result of their exposure to radiation, beryllium, or silica while in the performance of duty for the Department of Energy and certain of its vendors, contractors and subcontractors. This legislation also provided for payment of compensation for certain survivors of these covered employees. This program has been mandated to be in effect until Congress ends the funding.

Among other duties, HHS was directed to establish and implement procedures for considering petitions by classes of nuclear weapons workers to be added to the "Special Exposure Cohort" (the "Cohort"). In brief, EEOICPA authorizes HHS to designate such classes of employees for addition to the Cohort when NIOSH lacks sufficient information to estimate with sufficient accuracy the radiation doses of the employees, if HHS also finds that the health of members of the class may have been endangered by the radiation dose the class potentially incurred. HHS must also obtain the advice of the Advisory Board on Radiation and Worker Health (the "Board") in establishing such findings. On May 28, 2004, HHS issued a rule that established procedures for adding such classes to the Cohort (42 CFR part 83). The rule was amended on July 10, 2007.

The HHS rule authorizes a variety of respondents to submit petitions. Petitioners are required to provide the information specified in the rule to qualify their petitions for a complete evaluation by HHS and the Board. HHS has developed two forms to assist the petitioners in providing this required information efficiently and completely. Form A is a one-page form to be used by EEOICPA claimants for whom NIOSH has attempted to conduct dose reconstructions and has determined that available information is not sufficient to complete the dose reconstruction. Form B, accompanied by separate instructions, is intended for all other petitioners. Forms A and B can be submitted electronically as well as in hard copy. Respondent/petitioners should be aware that HHS is not requiring respondents to use the forms.

Respondents can choose to submit petitions as letters or in other formats, but petitions must meet the informational requirements referenced above. NIOSH expects, however, that all petitioners for whom Form A would be appropriate will actually use the form, since NIOSH will provide it to them upon determining that their dose reconstruction cannot be completed and encourage them to submit the petition. NIOSH expects the large majority of petitioners for whom Form B would be appropriate will also use the form, since it provides a simple, organized format for addressing the informational requirements of a petition.

*NIOSH will use the information obtained through the petition for the following purposes:* (a) Identify the petitioner(s), obtain their contact information, and establish that the petitioner(s) is qualified and intends to petition HHS; (b) establish an initial definition of the class of employees being proposed to be considered for addition to the Cohort; (c) determine whether there is justification to require HHS to evaluate whether or not to designate the proposed class as an addition to the Cohort (such an evaluation involves potentially extensive data collection, analysis, and related deliberations by NIOSH, the Board, and HHS); and, (d) target an evaluation by HHS to examine relevant potential limitations of radiation monitoring and/or dosimetry-relevant records and to examine the potential for related radiation exposures that might have endangered the health of members of the class.

Finally, under the rule, petitioners may contest the proposed decision of the Secretary to add or deny adding classes of employees to the cohort by submitting evidence that the proposed decision relies on a record of either factual or procedural errors in the implementation of these procedures. NIOSH estimates that the time to prepare and submit such a challenge is 45 minutes. Because of the uniqueness of this submission, NIOSH is not providing a form. The submission will typically be in the form of a letter to the Secretary.

There are no costs to petitioners unless a petitioner chooses to purchase the services of an expert in dose reconstruction, an option provided for under the rule.