

*et seq.*), and Secretary of Labor's Order No. 5-2007 (72 FR 31160).

Signed at Washington, DC, this 26th day of February 2010.

**David Michaels,**

*Assistant Secretary of Labor for Occupational Safety and Health.*

[FR Doc. 2010-4555 Filed 3-3-10; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-26-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

#### Labor Surplus Area Classification Under Executive Orders 12073 and 10582

**AGENCY:** Employment and Training Administration, Labor.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The purpose of this notice is to update the 2010 Labor Surplus Areas annual list published in the **Federal Register**, Vol. 74, No. 209, Friday, October 30, 2009, pages 56217-56239.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* The update of the annual list of labor surplus areas is effective immediately for all states, the District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Samuel Wright, Office of Workforce Investment, Employment and Training Administration, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Room S-4231, Washington, DC 20210. *Telephone:* (202) 693-2870 (This is not a toll-free number).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** For supplementary, eligibility, classification procedures and petition for exceptional circumstances procedure information refer to the original 2010 Labor Surplus Area list at <http://edocket.access.gpo.gov/2009/pdf/E9-26165.pdf>.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 25th day of February 2010.

**Jane Oates,**

*Assistant Secretary for Employment and Training Administration.*

[FR Doc. 2010-4465 Filed 3-3-10; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-FT-P**

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-416; NRC-2010-0082]

### Entergy Operations, Inc.; Grand Gulf Nuclear Station, Unit 1; Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is considering issuance of an exemption, pursuant to Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR) section 73.5, "Specific exemptions," from the implementation date for certain new requirements of 10 CFR part 73, "Physical protection of plants and materials," for Facility Operating License No. DPR-46, issued to Entergy Operations, Inc. (Entergy, the licensee), for operation of the Grand Gulf Nuclear Station, Unit 1 (GGNS), located in Claiborne County, Mississippi. Therefore, as required by 10 CFR 51.21, the NRC performed an environmental assessment. Based on the results of the environmental assessment, the NRC is issuing a finding of no significant impact.

#### Environmental Assessment

##### Identification of the Proposed Action

The proposed action would exempt Entergy from the required implementation date of March 31, 2010, for several new requirements of 10 CFR part 73. Specifically, Entergy would be granted an exemption from being in full compliance with certain new requirements contained in 10 CFR 73.55 by the March 31, 2010, deadline. Entergy has proposed an alternate full compliance implementation date of March 31, 2011, 1 year beyond the date required by 10 CFR part 73. The proposed action, an extension of the schedule for completion of certain actions required by the revised 10 CFR part 73, does not involve any physical changes to the reactor, fuel, plant structures, support structures, water, or land at the Entergy site.

The proposed action is in accordance with the licensee's application dated January 14, 2010, as supplemented by letters dated January 18 and February 4, 2010.

##### The Need for the Proposed Action

The proposed action is needed to provide the licensee with additional time to perform the required upgrades to the Entergy security system due to resource and logistical impacts of the spring 2010 refueling outage and other factors, such as limited vendor resources.

#### Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action

The NRC has completed its environmental assessment of the proposed exemption. The staff has concluded that the proposed action to extend the implementation deadline would not significantly affect plant safety and would not have a significant adverse effect on the probability of an accident occurring.

The proposed action would not result in an increased radiological hazard beyond those previously analyzed in the environmental assessment and finding of no significant impact made by the Commission in promulgating its revisions to 10 CFR part 73 as discussed in a **Federal Register** notice dated March 27, 2009 (74 FR 13926). There will be no change to radioactive effluents that affect radiation exposures to plant workers and members of the public. Therefore, no changes or different types of radiological impacts are expected as a result of the proposed exemption.

The proposed action does not result in changes to land use or water use, or result in changes to the quality or quantity of non-radiological effluents. No changes to the National Pollution Discharge Elimination System permit are needed. No effects on the aquatic or terrestrial habitat in the vicinity of the plant, or to threatened, endangered, or protected species under the Endangered Species Act, or impacts to essential fish habitat covered by the Magnuson-Steven's Act are expected. There are no impacts to the air or ambient air quality.

There are no impacts to historical and cultural resources. There would be no impact to socioeconomic resources. Therefore, no changes to or different types of non-radiological environmental impacts are expected as a result of the proposed exemption.

Accordingly, the NRC concludes that there are no significant environmental impacts associated with the proposed action. In addition, in promulgating its revisions to 10 CFR part 73, the Commission prepared an environmental assessment and published a finding of no significant impact [Part 73, Power Reactor Security Requirements, 74 FR 13926 (March 27, 2009)].

The NRC staff's safety evaluation will be provided in the exemption that will be issued as part of the letter to the licensee approving the exemption to the regulation, if granted.

#### Environmental Impacts of the Alternatives to the Proposed Action

As an alternative to the proposed actions, the NRC staff considered denial