

12. *Date:* April 19, 2010.

Time: 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Room: 315.

Program: This meeting will review applications for Seminars and Institutes, submitted to the Division of Education Programs at the March 2, 2010 deadline.

13. *Date:* April 20, 2010.

Time: 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Room: 315.

Program: This meeting will review applications for Seminars and Institutes, submitted to the Division of Education Programs at the March 2, 2010 deadline.

14. *Date:* April 20, 2010.

Time: 9 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Room: 421.

Program: This meeting will review applications for Literature in America's Media Makers Grants Program, submitted to the Division of Public Programs at the January 13, 2010 deadline.

15. *Date:* April 21, 2010.

Time: 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Room: 315.

Program: This meeting will review applications for Seminars and Institutes, submitted to the Division of Education Programs at the March 2, 2010 deadline.

16. *Date:* April 22, 2010.

Time: 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Room: 315.

Program: This meeting will review applications for Seminars and Institutes, submitted to the Division of Education Programs at the March 2, 2010 deadline.

17. *Date:* April 22, 2010.

Time: 9 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Room: 421.

Program: This meeting will review applications for Art History in America's Historical and Cultural Organizations Grants Program, submitted to the Division of Public Programs at the January 13, 2010 deadline.

18. *Date:* April 22, 2010.

Time: 9 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Room: 415.

Program: This meeting will review applications for Colleges and Universities, submitted to the Office of Challenge Grants at the February 3, 2010 deadline.

19. *Date:* April 26, 2010

Time: 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Room: 315.

Program: This meeting will review applications for Seminars and Institutes, submitted to the Division of Education Programs at the March 2, 2010 deadline.

20. *Date:* April 27, 2010.

Time: 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Room: 315.

Program: This meeting will review applications for Seminars and Institutes,

submitted to the Division of Education Programs at the March 2, 2010 deadline.

21. *Date:* April 28, 2010.

Time: 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Room: 315.

Program: This meeting will review applications for Seminars and Institutes, submitted to the Division of Education Programs at the March 2, 2010 deadline.

22. *Date:* April 29, 2010.

Time: 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Room: 315.

Program: This meeting will review applications for Seminars and Institutes, submitted to the Division of Education Programs at the March 2, 2010 deadline.

Michael P. McDonald,

Advisory Committee, Management Officer.

[FR Doc. 2010-5640 Filed 3-15-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7536-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. NRC-2010-0091]

Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request

AGENCY: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC).

ACTION: Notice of pending NRC action to submit an information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) and solicitation of public comment.

SUMMARY: The NRC invites public comment about our intention to request the OMB's approval for renewal of an existing information collection that is summarized below. We are required to publish this notice in the **Federal Register** under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

Information pertaining to the requirement to be submitted:

1. *The title of the information collection:* NRC Forms 540 and 540A, "Uniform Low-Level Radioactive Waste Manifest (Shipping Paper) and Continuation Page"; NRC Forms 541 and 541A, "Uniform Low-Level Radioactive Waste Manifest, Container and Waste Description, and Continuation Page"; NRC Forms 542 and 542A, "Uniform Low-Level Radioactive Waste Manifest, Index and Regional Compact Tabulation, and Continuation Page".

2. *Current OMB approval number:* 3150-0164, 3150-0165, and 3150-0166.

3. *How often the collection is required:* Forms are used by shippers whenever radioactive waste is shipped. Quarterly or less frequent reporting is

made to Agreement States depending on specific license conditions. No reporting is made to the NRC.

4. *Who is required or asked to report:* All NRC or Agreement State low-level waste facilities licensed pursuant to 10 CFR Part 61 or equivalent Agreement State regulations. All generators, collectors, and processors of low-level waste intended for disposal at a low-level waste facility must complete the appropriate forms.

5. *The number of annual respondents:* NRC Form 540 and 540A: 220
NRC Form 541 and 541A: 220
NRC Form 542 and 542A: 22

6. *The number of hours needed annually to complete the requirement or request:*

NRC Form 540 and 540A: 4,200
NRC Form 541 and 541A: 18,480
NRC Form 542 and 542A: 567

7. *Abstract:* NRC Forms 540, 541, and 542, together with their continuation pages, designated by the "A" suffix, provide a set of standardized forms to meet Department of Transportation (DOT), NRC, and State requirements. The forms were developed by NRC at the request of low-level waste industry groups. The forms provide uniformity and efficiency in the collection of information contained in manifests which are required to control transfers of low-level radioactive waste intended for disposal at a land disposal facility. NRC Form 540 contains information needed to satisfy DOT shipping paper requirements in 49 CFR Part 172 and the waste tracking requirements of NRC in 10 CFR Part 20. NRC Form 541 contains information needed by disposal site facilities to safely dispose of low-level waste and information to meet NRC and State requirements regulating these activities. NRC Form 542, completed by waste collectors or processors, contains information which facilitates tracking the identity of the waste generator. That tracking becomes more complicated when the waste forms, dimensions, or packagings are changed by the waste processor. Each container of waste shipped from a waste processor may contain waste from several different generators. The information provided on NRC Form 542 permits the States and Compacts to know the original generators of low-level waste, as authorized by the Low-Level Radioactive Waste Policy Amendments Act of 1985, so they can ensure that waste is disposed of in the appropriate Compact.

Submit, by May 17, 2010 comments that address the following questions:

1. Is the proposed collection of information necessary for the NRC to

properly perform its functions? Does the information have practical utility?

2. Is the burden estimate accurate?

3. Is there a way to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected?

4. How can the burden of the information collection be minimized, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology?

A copy of the draft supporting statement may be viewed free of charge at the NRC Public Document Room, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Room O-1 F21, Rockville, Maryland 20852. OMB clearance requests are available at the NRC worldwide Web site: <http://www.nrc.gov/public-involve/doc-comment/omb/index.html>. The document will be available on the NRC home page site for 60 days after the signature date of this notice. Comments submitted in writing or in electronic form will be made available for public inspection. Because your comments will not be edited to remove any identifying or contact information, the NRC cautions you against including any information in your submission that you do not want to be publicly disclosed. Comments submitted should reference Docket No. NRC-2010-0091. You may submit your comments by any of the following methods. Electronic comments: Go to <http://www.regulations.gov> and search for Docket No. NRC-2010-0091. Mail comments to NRC Clearance Officer, Tremaine Donnell (T-5 F52), U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001. Questions about the information collection requirements may be directed to the NRC Clearance Officer, Tremaine Donnell (T-5 F52), U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, by telephone at 301-415-6258, or by e-mail to INFOCOLLECTS.Resource@NRC.GOV.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 10th day of March 2010.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Tremaine Donnell,

NRC Clearance Officer, Office of Information Services.

[FR Doc. 2010-5674 Filed 3-15-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 50-269, 50-270, and 50-287; NRC-2010-0093]

Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC; Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendments to Facility Operating License, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for a Hearing and Order Imposing Procedures for Access to Sensitive Unclassified Non-Safeguards Information

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Renewed Facility Operating Licenses DPR-38, DPR-47, and DPR-55, issued to Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC (the licensee), for operation of the Oconee Nuclear Station Units 1, 2, and 3 located in Oconee County, South Carolina.

The proposed amendments would change the Technical Specifications to allow the usage of gadolinia as an integral burnable neutron absorber. The amendments application dated October 19, 2009, contains sensitive unclassified non-safeguards information (SUNSI). Before issuance of the proposed license amendment, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's regulations.

The Commission has made a proposed determination that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration. Under the Commission's regulations in Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR), Section 50.92, this means that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendment would not (1) involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety. As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensee has provided its analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration, which is presented below:

(1) Involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

The proposed revisions to the technical specifications and to Duke's NRC approved methodology reports support the use of gadolinia in the Oconee fuel design. The methodology reports will be approved by the NRC prior to plant operation with the new fuel. The proposed safety limit ensures that fuel integrity will be maintained during normal operations and anticipated

operational transients. The Core Operating Limits Report (COLR) will be developed in accordance with the approved methodology reports. The proposed safety limit value does not affect the performance of any equipment used to mitigate the consequences of an analyzed accident. There is no negative impact on the source term or pathways which have been assumed in accidents previously analyzed. No analysis assumptions are violated and there are no adverse effects on the factors that contribute to offsite or onsite dose[s] as the result of an accident.

[Therefore, the proposed action does not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated.]

(2) Create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated.

The proposed safety limit value does not change the methods governing normal plant operation, nor are the methods utilized to respond to plant transients altered. The new and revised fuel melt equations are not an accident/event initiator. No new initiating events or transients result from the use of the revised safety limit.

[Therefore, the proposed action does not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident than any accident previously evaluated.]

(3) Involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.

The proposed safety limit value has been reviewed and approved by the NRC as part of the approval of the AREVA NP TACO3 and GDTACO topical reports to, in part, specifically calculate the temperature at which the fuel will melt. Duke uses TACO3 and will use GDTACO in accordance with the restrictions stipulated in the safety evaluation of both AREVA NP topical reports and those set forth in Duke's NRC approved methodology reports to ensure that the limit is not exceeded for those events in which fuel melt is not allowed. The other reactor core safety limits will continue to be met by analyzing the reload using NRC approved methods and incorporation of resultant operating limits into the COLR.

[Therefore, the proposed amendment does not involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.]

The NRC staff has reviewed the licensee's analysis and, based on this review, it appears that the three standards of 10 CFR 50.92(c) are satisfied. Therefore, the NRC staff proposes to determine that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration.

The Commission is seeking public comments on this proposed determination. Any comments received within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice will be considered in making any final determination.

Normally, the Commission will not issue the amendment until the expiration of 60 days after the date of publication of this notice. The