her possession, and to render appropriate accounts to the client regarding the funds, securities, and other properties. These recordkeeping requirements are necessary to maintain the integrity of client property. Each State Bar requires its attorneys to perform similar record keeping.

The Code also requires an attorney or agent to report knowledge of certain violations of the Code to the USPTO. If the complaint is found to have merit, the USPTO will investigate and possibly prosecute violations of the Code. The Director of the Office of Enrollment and Discipline (OED) may, after notice and opportunity for a hearing, suspend, exclude, or disqualify any practitioner from further practice before the USPTO based on noncompliance with the regulations.

Practitioners who have been excluded or suspended from practice before the USPTO must keep and maintain records of their steps to comply with the suspension or exclusion order. These records serve as the practitioner's proof of compliance with the order.

### II. Method of Collection

By mail, facsimile, or hand delivery to the USPTO when an individual is required to participate in the information collection.

#### III. Data

OMB Number: 0651–0017. Form Number(s): There are no forms associated with this collection.

*Type of Review:* Revision of a currently approved collection.

Affected Public: Individuals or households; businesses or other for profits; not-for-profit institutions.

Estimated Number of Respondents:

635 responses.

Estimated Time per Response: The USPTO estimates that it will take the public approximately 2 to 40 hours, depending upon the complexity of the situation, to gather the necessary information, maintain the required records, prepare the complaint, and submit the various documents in this information collection to the USPTO.

Estimated Total Annual Respondent Burden Hours: 12,330 hours.

Estimated Total Annual Respondent Cost Burden: \$1,275,120. At \$100 per hour for a para-professional/clerical worker, the USPTO estimates \$1,197,000 per year for salary costs associated with respondents for the recordkeeping requirements in this collection. For complaint/violation reporting, the USPTO predicts that half of the complaints will be filed by practitioners and that the remaining complaints will be split evenly between non-legal professionals and semiprofessionals or skilled trades persons. The USPTO estimates that it will cost practitioners \$325 per hour, non-legal professionals \$156 per hour, and semiprofessionals or skilled trades persons \$60 per hour to submit a complaint, for a weighted average rate of \$217 per hour. Considering these factors, the USPTO estimates \$78,120 per year for salary costs associated with filing a complaint, for a total annual respondent cost burden of \$1,275,120 per year.

ltem	Estimated time for response (in hours)	Estimated annual responses	Estimated annual burden hours
Recordkeeping Maintenance (including financial books and records such as trust accounts, fiduciary accounts, operating accounts, and advertisements)	26 40 2	445 10 180	11,570 400 360
Total		635	12,330

Estimated Total Annual (Non-hour) Respondent Cost Burden: \$487. There are no capital start-up costs, maintenance costs or filing fees associated with this information collection. There are, however, postage costs.

The public may submit the complaints in this collection to the USPTO by mail through the United States Postal Service. If these documents are sent by first-class mail, a certificate of mailing for each piece of correspondence, stating the date of deposit or transmission to the USPTO, may also be included. The USPTO expects that 180 complaints will be mailed to the USPTO with first-class postage, with 50% or 90 complaints weighing 2 ounces at an average cost of 61 cents for a total of \$55; and 50% or 90 complaints weighing 1 pound at an average cost of \$4.80 for a total of \$432. Therefore, this information collection has a total of \$487 in annual (non-hour) respondent cost burden.

### **IV. Request for Comments**

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they will also become a matter of public record. Dated: March 16, 2010.

### Susan K. Fawcett,

Records Officer, USPTO, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 2010-6312 Filed 3-22-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-16-P

### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

## International Trade Administration [A-580-809]

Circular Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipe from the Republic of Korea: Extension of Time Limit for the Final Results and Rescission in Part of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** March 23, 2010. **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** 

Alexander Montoro or Nancy Decker, AD/CVD Operations, Office 1, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482–0238 and (202) 482–0196, respectively.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### **Background**

On December 8, 2009, the Department of Commerce ("Department") published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on circular welded non-alloy steel pipe from the Republic of Korea, covering the period November 1, 2007 through October 31, 2008. See Circular Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipe from the Republic of Korea: Preliminary Results and Rescission in Part of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 74 FR 64670 (December 8, 2009) ("Preliminary Results"). The final results of this administrative review were originally due no later than April 7, 2010. As explained in the memorandum from the Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, the Department has exercised its discretion to toll deadlines for the duration of the closure of the Federal Government from February 5, through February 12, 2010. Thus, all deadlines in this segment of the proceeding have been extended by seven days. The revised deadline for the final results of this review is currently April 14, 2010. See Memorandum to the Record from Ronald Lorentzen, DAS for Import Administration, regarding "Tolling of Administrative Deadlines As a Result of the Government Closure During the Recent Snowstorm," dated February 12, 2010.

### Extension of Time Limit for Final Results

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("Act"), requires that the Department issue the final results of an administrative review within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary results are published. If it is not practicable to complete the review within that time period, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend the deadline for the final results to a maximum of 180 days after the date on which the preliminary results are published.

The Department has determined that it requires additional time to complete this review. Verification was conducted after the *Preliminary Results* and the Department needs to allow time for parties to brief the issues, provide rebuttal comments, and conduct a hearing, if requested. Moreover, the Department needs to consider all the issues raised, possibly including complex issues regarding cost

methodology. Thus, it is not practicable to complete this review by April 14, 2010, and the Department is extending the time limit for completion of the final results by an additional 60 days to June 13, 2010, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act. However, June 13, 2010, falls on a Sunday, and it is the Department's long-standing practice to issue a determination the next business day when the statutory deadline falls on a weekend, federal holiday, or any other day when the Department is closed. See Notice of Clarification: Application of "Next Business Day" Rule for Administrative Determination Deadlines Pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930, As Amended, 70 FR 24533 (May 10, 2005). Accordingly, the deadline for completion of the final results is now no later than June 14, 2010.

We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(3)(A) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: March 17, 2010.

### John M. Andersen,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2010–6347 Filed 3–22–10; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S

### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

### National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

### RIN 0648-XV40

### Marine Mammals; File No. 14118

**AGENCY:** National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice; receipt of application.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that Becky Woodward, Ph.D., 266 Woods Hole Road, MS #50, Woods Hole, MA 02543, has applied in due form for a permit to conduct research on cetaceans.

**DATES:** Written, telefaxed, or e-mail comments must be received on or before April 22, 2010.

ADDRESSES: The application and related documents are available for review by selecting "Records Open for Public Comment" from the Features box on the Applications and Permits for Protected Species (APPS) home page, https://apps.nmfs.noaa.gov, and then selecting File No. 14118 from the list of available applications. These documents are also available upon written request or by appointment in the following offices:

See SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION.

Written comments on this application should be submitted to the Chief, Permits, Conservation and Education Division, NMFS, 1315 East-West Highway, Room 13705, Silver Spring, MD 20910. Comments may also be submitted by facsimile to (301) 713–0376, or by email to NMFS.Pr1Comments@noaa.gov. Please include the File No. in the subject line of the email comment.

Those individuals requesting a public hearing should submit a written request to the Chief, Permits, Conservation and Education Division at the address listed above. The request should set forth the specific reasons why a hearing on this application would be appropriate.

# **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Carrie Hubard or Kristy Beard, (301) 713–2289.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The subject permit is requested under the authority of the Marine Mammal Protection Act of 1972, as amended (MMPA; 16 U.S.C. 1361 et seq.), the regulations governing the taking and importing of marine mammals (50 CFR part 216), the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (ESA; 16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.), and the regulations governing the taking, importing, and exporting of endangered and threatened species (50 CFR 222–226).

The applicant requests a permit to attach tags to a variety of large and small endangered and non-endangered cetacean species. Research would occur in the North Atlantic from Maine to Texas and in the North Pacific from Alaska to California, including Hawaii. A peduncle belt type tag attachment mechanism has been developed as a noninvasive tagging option for medium to long-term cetacean studies. Two different types of peduncle belt tags would be used: (1) a form-fitting saddle pack tag which sits on the dorsal ridge of the peduncle just before the fluke insertion and (2) a peduncle-let harness which secures a towed telemetry buoy. Multiple research objectives would be addressed using data from the tags, including: (1) long-term movement and habitat use studies using satellite/GPS/ depth tags, (2) medium-term acoustic studies using an audio recording package to examine transmitted and received sound, and (3) extended finescale behavioral ecology studies using multi-sensor data recording packages.

Initial efforts would be limited to five species. In the first year, a maximum of 10 humpback whales (*Megaptera novaeangliae*), 10 long-finned pilot whales (*Globicephala melas*), 10 short-