

time frame because additional information from the respondent, Mexinox, is necessary to complete our analysis. The Department will not have sufficient time to obtain and analyze the new information prior to the current deadline for the preliminary results. Accordingly, the Department is extending the time limit for completion of the preliminary results of this administrative review until no later than August 2, 2010. We intend to issue the final results no later than 120 days after publication of the preliminary results notice.

This extension is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(3)(A) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: April 1, 2010.

John M. Andersen,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2010-7919 Filed 4-6-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Docket 19-2010]

Foreign-Trade Zone 196 - Fort Worth, Texas, Application for Manufacturing Authority, ATC Logistics & Electronics (Cell Phone Kitting and Distribution), Fort Worth, Texas

An application has been submitted to the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) by ATC Logistics & Electronics (ATCLE), operator of Site 2, FTZ 196, Fort Worth, Texas, requesting manufacturing authority. The application was submitted pursuant to the provisions of the Foreign-Trade Zones Act, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a-81u), and the regulations of the Board (15 CFR part 400). It was formally filed on March 29, 2010.

The ATCLE facility (152 employees, 186,000 square feet, 2 million unit capacity) is used for the kitting and distribution of cell phones. Components and materials sourced from abroad (representing 96% of the value of the finished product) include: cell phone batteries; cell phone chargers and adaptors; headphones; earphones; microphones; speaker sets; battery doors; cables; holsters; leather carrying cases and pouches; wrist straps; sealing gaskets; key pads; and decals (duty rate ranges from duty free to 8.0%).

Under FTZ procedures, ATCLE would be able to choose the duty rates during customs entry procedures that apply to cell phones (duty free) for the foreign inputs noted above for its shipments to

the U.S. market. ATCLE could also realize logistical benefits through the use of weekly customs entry procedures. The request indicates that the savings from FTZ procedures would help improve the plant's international competitiveness.

In accordance with the Board's regulations, Maureen Hinman of the FTZ Staff is designated examiner to evaluate and analyze the facts and information presented in the application and case record and to report findings and recommendations to the Board.

Public comment is invited from interested parties. Submissions (original and 3 copies) shall be addressed to the Board's Executive Secretary at the address below. The closing period for their receipt is June 7, 2010. Rebuttal comments in response to material submitted during the foregoing period may be submitted during the subsequent 15-day period to June 21, 2010.

A copy of the application will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Executive Secretary, Foreign-Trade Zones Board, Room 2111, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230-0002, and in the "Reading Room" section of the Board's website, which is accessible via www.trade.gov/ftz.

For further information, contact Maureen Hinman at maureen.hinman@trade.gov or (202) 482-0627.

Dated: March 30, 2010.

Andrew McGilvray,

Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2010-7886 Filed 4-6-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Docket T-2-2010]

Foreign-Trade Zone 196 - Fort Worth, Texas, Application for Temporary/Interim Manufacturing Authority, ATC Logistics & Electronics (Cell Phone Kitting and Distribution), Fort Worth, Texas

An application has been submitted to the Executive Secretary of the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) by ATC Logistics & Electronics, operator of Site 2, FTZ 196, Fort Worth, Texas, requesting temporary/interim manufacturing (T/IM) authority. The application was filed on March 29, 2010.

ATCLE has requested authority for the kitting and distribution of cell phones

(HTSUS 8517.12, duty free) under T/IM procedures at its facility (152 employees, 186,000 square feet, 2 million unit capacity). Foreign components that would be used in production (representing 96% of the value of the finished product) include: cell phone batteries; cell phone chargers and adaptors; headphones; earphones; microphones; speaker sets; battery doors; cables; holsters; leather carrying cases and pouches; wrist straps; sealing gaskets; key pads; and decals (duty rate ranges from duty free to 8.0%). T/IM authority could be granted for a period of up to two years. ATCLE has also submitted a request for long-term FTZ manufacturing authority (see Docket 19-2010).

Under FTZ procedures, ATCLE would be able to choose the duty rates during customs entry procedures that apply to cell phones (duty free) for the foreign inputs noted above for its shipments to the U.S. market. ATCLE could also realize logistical benefits through the use of weekly customs entry procedures. The request indicates that the savings from FTZ procedures would help improve the plant's international competitiveness.

In accordance with the Board's regulations, Maureen Hinman of the FTZ Staff is designated examiner to evaluate and analyze the facts and information presented in the application and case record and to report findings and recommendations pursuant to Board Orders 1347 and 1480.

Public comment is invited from interested parties. Submissions (original and 3 copies) shall be addressed to the Board's Executive Secretary at the following address: Office of the Executive Secretary, Foreign-Trade Zones Board, U.S. Department of Commerce, Room 2111, 1401 Constitution Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20230. The closing period for their receipt is May 7, 2010.

ATCLE has also submitted a request for long-term FTZ manufacturing authority, which may include additional products and components. It should be noted that the request for permanent authority would be docketed separately and would be processed as a distinct proceeding. Any party wishing to submit comments for consideration regarding the request for permanent authority would need to submit such comments pursuant to the separate notice that would be published for that request.

A copy of the application will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Foreign-Trade Zones Board's Executive Secretary at the address listed above, and in the

“Reading Room” section of the Board’s website, which is accessible via www.trade.gov/ftz. For further information, contact Maureen Hinman at maureen.hinman@trade.gov or (202) 482-0627.

Dated: March 30, 2010.

Andrew McGilvray,
Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2010-7885 Filed 4-6-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Docket 24-2010]

Foreign-Trade Zone 75 -- Phoenix, Arizona, Application for Reorganization under Alternative Site Framework

An application has been submitted to the Foreign-Trade Zones (FTZ) Board (the Board) by the City of the Phoenix, grantee of FTZ 75, requesting authority to reorganize the zone under the alternative site framework (ASF) adopted by the Board (74 FR 1170, 1/12/09; correction 74 FR 3987, 1/22/09). The ASF is an option for grantees for the establishment or reorganization of general-purpose zones and can permit significantly greater flexibility in the designation of new “usage-driven” FTZ sites for operators/users located within a grantee’s “service area” in the context of the Board’s standard 2,000-acre activation limit for a general-purpose zone project. The application was submitted pursuant to the Foreign-Trade Zones Act, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a-81u), and the regulations of the Board (15 CFR part 400). It was formally filed on March 31, 2010.

FTZ 75 was approved by the Board on March 25, 1982 (Board Order 185, 47 FR 14931, 04/07/82), and was expanded on July 2, 1993 (Board Order 647, 58 FR 37907, 07/14/93), on February 27, 2008 (Board Order 1545, 73 FR 13531, 03/13/08), and on March 23, 2010 (Board Order 1672).

The current zone project includes the following sites: *Site 1* (338 acres) - within the 550-acre Phoenix Sky Harbor Center and adjacent air cargo terminal at the Phoenix Sky Harbor International Airport, Phoenix; *Site 2* (18 acres) CC&F South Valley Industrial Center, 7th Street and Victory Street, Phoenix; *Site 3* (74 acres) - Riverside Industrial Center, 4747 West Buckeye Road, Phoenix; *Site 4* (18 acres) - Santa Fe Business Park, 47th Avenue and Campbell Avenue, Phoenix; and, *Site 5* (32.5 acres) - the jet fuel storage and

distribution system at and adjacent to the Phoenix Sky Harbor International Airport, Phoenix.

The grantee’s proposed service area under the ASF would be Maricopa County and portions of Pinal and Yavapai Counties, Arizona, as described in the application. If approved, the grantee would be able to serve sites throughout the service area based on companies’ needs for FTZ designation. The proposed service area is within and adjacent to the Phoenix Customs and Border Protection port of entry.

The applicant is requesting authority to reorganize its existing zone project to include all of the existing sites as “magnet” sites. The ASF allows for the possible exemption of one magnet site from the “sunset” time limits that generally apply to sites under the ASF, and the applicant proposes that Site 1 be so exempted. No usage-driven sites are being requested at this time. Because the ASF only pertains to establishing or reorganizing a general-purpose zone, the application would have no impact on FTZ 75’s authorized subzones.

In accordance with the Board’s regulations, Christopher Kemp of the FTZ Staff is designated examiner to evaluate and analyze the facts and information presented in the application and case record and to report findings and recommendations to the Board.

Public comment is invited from interested parties. Submissions (original and 3 copies) shall be addressed to the Board’s Executive Secretary at the address below. The closing period for their receipt is June 7, 2010. Rebuttal comments in response to material submitted during the foregoing period may be submitted during the subsequent 15-day period to June 21, 2010.

A copy of the application will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Executive Secretary, Foreign-Trade Zones Board, Room 2111, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230-0002, and in the “Reading Room” section of the Board’s website, which is accessible via www.trade.gov/ftz. For further information, contact Christopher Kemp at Christopher.Kemp@trade.gov or (202) 482-0862.

Dated: March 31, 2010.

Andrew McGilvray,
Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2010-7884 Filed 4-6-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Docket 51-2008]

Foreign-Trade Zone 82; Application for Subzone Authority; ThyssenKrupp Steel and Stainless USA, LLC; Invitation for Public Comment on Preliminary Recommendation

The FTZ Board is inviting public comment on its staff’s preliminary recommendation pertaining to the application by the City of Mobile, grantee of FTZ 82, to establish a subzone at the ThyssenKrupp Steel and Stainless USA, LLC (ThyssenKrupp) facility in Calvert, Alabama. The staff’s preliminary recommendation is for approval of the application with a restriction limiting the FTZ benefits to ThyssenKrupp’s production for export. The bases for this finding are as follows:

Analysis of the application record indicates that full approval of the ThyssenKrupp application could have a negative impact on domestic raw material suppliers as well as other domestic steel producers. Regarding raw material suppliers, while there may not be sufficient quantities available from domestic sources for all raw materials proposed in the application, significant U.S. production remains of several key materials. Unrestricted use of FTZ procedures in the steel industry could harm certain domestic raw material producers if cost savings are provided for imported materials used in ThyssenKrupp’s production for the U.S. market.

As to impact on other domestic steel producers, while ordinarily all companies in an industry would have an equal opportunity to use FTZ procedures for their operations, the structure of many existing U.S. steel plants could make those companies’ use of FTZ procedures overly complicated and costly. Unlike the ThyssenKrupp plant, many existing facilities are “mini-mills” and have less integration at a single site. Product may move between several facilities during the manufacturing process. This structure would require FTZ applications, CBP activations, and bonds to be done separately for each facility, whereas ThyssenKrupp will only face those burdens (and costs) once due to the nature of its Alabama facility.

In addition, ThyssenKrupp will be sourcing the “slab” for its carbon steel operations from Brazil, and will be shipping some stainless steel production to Mexico for certain cold-rolling operations. Other domestic producers conduct such operations in