

leased workers from Dream Clean, Inc., Bristol, Tennessee.

TA-W-71,264: Aviza Technology, Inc., Scotts Valley, California, covered by Quimonda 200MM facility, including on-site leased workers from Aviza Technology, Sandston, Virginia.

TA-W-71,589: Hart Schaffner and Marx, Cape Girardeau, Missouri, covered by TA-W-64,153: Hart Schaffner and Marx, Cape Girardeau, Missouri.

TA-W-71,639: Pad Leasing Associates, working on-site at Newton Transportation Company, Inc., Hudson, North Carolina, covered by TA-W-71,531: Pad Leasing Associates, working on-site at Newton Transportation Company, Inc., Hudson, North Carolina.

TA-W-71,696: Health Net, Inc., Tigard, Oregon, covered by TA-W-70,166N: Health Net, Inc., Tigard, Oregon.

TA-W-71,792: Intel Corporation, Rio Rancho, New Mexico, covered by TA-W-63,952: Intel Corporation, Rio Rancho, New Mexico.

TA-W-71,879: Kenco Group, Webster City, Iowa, covered by TA-W-72,778: Kenco Group, Webster City, Iowa.

TA-W-71,925: Eastman Kodak Company, Windsor, Colorado, covered by TA-W-71,786: Eastman Kodak Company, Windsor, Colorado.

TA-W-72,077: Perry Slingsby Systems, Inc., Jupiter, Florida, covered by TA-W-72,003: Perry Slingsby Systems, Inc., Jupiter, Florida.

TA-W-72,372: Kaiser Permanente, Corona, California, covered by TA-W-71,894: Kaiser Permanente, Corona, California.

TA-W-72,432: Matthew Bender and Company, Inc., Bellevue, Washington, covered by TA-W-72,431C: Matthew Bender and Company, Inc., Bellevue, Washington.

TA-W-72,433; LexisNexis, New Providence, New Jersey, covered by TA-W-72,431B: LexisNexis, New Providence, New Jersey.

TA-W-72,694: Amdocs, Inc., CABS Billing Group, St. Louis, Missouri, covered by TA-W-70,738, as amended: Amdocs, Inc., St. Louis, Missouri, including employees working off site.

TA-W-72,758: Wacker Chemical Corporation, Allentown, Pennsylvania, covered by TA-W-70,221A: Wacker Chemical Corporation, Allentown, Pennsylvania.

TA-W-72,940: EDS, an HP Company, Alpharetta, Georgia, covered by

TA-W-70,163, as amended: EDS, an HP Company, Charlotte, North Carolina including an off-site employee working out of Alpharetta, Georgia.

I hereby certify that the aforementioned determinations were issued during the period of September 15, 2009 through February 4, 2010. Copies of these terminations are available for inspection in Room N-5428, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210 during normal business hours or will be mailed to persons who write to the above address. These determinations also are available on the Department's Web site at [www.doleta/tradeact](http://www.doleta/tradeact) under the searchable listing of determinations.

Dated: April 14, 2010.

**Elliott S. Kushner,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 2010-9349 Filed 4-21-10; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

#### Notice of Determinations Terminating Investigations of Petitions Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended (19 U.S.C. 2273), the Department of Labor herein presents summaries of determinations terminating investigations of petitions regarding eligibility to apply for trade adjustment assistance for workers by (TA-W-) number issued during the period of August 27, 2009 through January 5, 2010. After notice of the petitions was published in the **Federal Register** and on the Department's Web site, as required by Section 221 of the Act (19 U.S.C. 2271), the Department initiated investigations of these petitions.

The following determinations terminating investigations were issued. These petitions were not valid because they were not filed in accordance with the requirements of 29 CFR 90.11.

TA-W-71,364: Maggy London International, New York, New York.

TA-W-72,006: Aerotek Engineering, Troy, Michigan.

TA-W-72,042: Align Technology, Santa Clara, California.

TA-W-72,173: Reliant Machine, Inc., Green Bay, Wisconsin.

TA-W-72,446: White & Green Motors/KPH Enterprise, Eaton Rapids, Michigan.

TA-W-72,591: Hutchinson Technology, Eau Claire, Wisconsin.

TA-W-72,685: First Data Corp., Daytona Beach, Florida.

TA-W-72,814: Ariba, Inc., Sunnyvale, California.

TA-W-72,891: Pulva Corp., Saxonburg, Pennsylvania.

TA-W-72,941: Boeing Aerospace Corp., Seattle, Washington.

TA-W-72,984: Delphi Delco Electronics, de Mexico, Los Indios, Texas.

TA-W-72,993: Boeing Aerospace Corp., Seattle, Washington.

I hereby certify that the aforementioned determinations were issued during the period of August 27, 2009 through January 5, 2010. Copies of these terminations are available for inspection in Room N-5428, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210 during normal business hours or will be mailed to persons who write to the above address. These determinations also are available on the Department's Web site at [www.doleta/tradeact](http://www.doleta/tradeact) under the searchable listing of determinations.

Dated: April 14, 2010.

**Elliott S. Kushner,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 2010-9351 Filed 4-21-10; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

#### Notice of Determinations Terminating Investigations of Petitions Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended (19 U.S.C. 2273) the Department of Labor herein presents summaries of determinations terminating investigations of petitions regarding eligibility to apply for trade adjustment assistance for workers by (TA-W-) number issued during the period of November 20, 2009 through December 2, 2009. After notice of the petitions was published in the **Federal Register** and on the Department's Web site, as required by Section 221 of the Act (19 U.S.C. 2271), the Department initiated investigations of these petitions.

The following determinations terminating investigations were issued. The Department issued a negative determination on petitions related to the relevant investigation period applicable to the same worker group. The duplicative petitions did not present new information or change in circumstances which would result in a reversal of the Department's previous negative determination, and therefore