

**SUMMARY:** The Bureau of Reclamation is reopening the comment period for the Pick-Sloan Missouri Basin Program, Eastern and Western Division Proposed Project Use Power Rate Adjustment for an additional 30 days from the date of publication of this Notice. The initial Notice proposing this adjustment was published in the **Federal Register** on January 11, 2010 (75 FR 1408). The public comment period ended on February 10, 2010.

**DATES:** Written comments on the proposed power rate adjustment will be accepted on or before May 28, 2010.

**ADDRESSES:** Please send written comments to Mike Ferguson, GP-2020, Power O&M Administrator, P.O. Box 36900, Billings, MT 59107-6900.

All booklets, studies, comments, letters, memoranda, and other documents made or kept by Reclamation for the purpose of developing the proposed rate for Project Use Power will be made available for inspection and copying at the Great Plains Regional Office, located at 316 North 26th Street, Billings, MT 59101.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mike Ferguson, Bureau of Reclamation, Great Plains Regional Office at 406-247-7705 or by e-mail at [mferguson@usbr.gov](mailto:mferguson@usbr.gov).

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **Proposed Rate Adjustment**

Power rates for the P-SMBP are established pursuant to the Reclamation Act of 1902 (43 U.S.C. 391 *et seq.*), as amended and supplemented by subsequent enactments, particularly section 9(c) of the Reclamation Project Act of 1939 (43 U.S.C. 485h (c)) and the Flood Control Act of 1944 (16 U.S.C. 825s).

Reclamation proposes to:

- (a) Increase the energy charge from 12.55 mills/kWh to 16.17 mills/kWh.
- (b) the monthly demand charge will remain at zero.

The Project Use Power Rate will be reviewed each time Western Area Power Administration (Western) adjusts the P-SMBP Firm Power Rate.

Dated: April 14, 2010.

**Michael J. Ryan,**  
*Regional Director.*

[FR Doc. 2010-9805 Filed 4-27-10; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-MN-P**

#### **INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION**

[Investigation No. 731-TA-1063, 1064, 1066-1068 (Review)]

##### **Frozen Warmwater Shrimp From Brazil, China, India, Thailand, and Vietnam**

**AGENCY:** United States International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice of Commission determinations to conduct full five-year reviews concerning the antidumping duty orders on frozen warmwater shrimp from Brazil, China, India, Thailand, and Vietnam.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission hereby gives notice that it will proceed with full reviews pursuant to section 751(c)(5) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(5)) to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty orders on frozen warmwater shrimp from Brazil, China, India, Thailand, and Vietnam would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time. A schedule for the reviews will be established and announced at a later date. For further information concerning the conduct of these reviews and rules of general application, consult the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207).

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* April 9, 2010.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mary Messer (202-205-3193), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for these reviews may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On April 9, 2010, the Commission determined that it should proceed to full reviews in the subject five-year reviews pursuant to section 751(c)(5) of the Act. The Commission found that both the domestic and respondent interested party group responses to its notice of

institution (75 FR 1078, January 8, 2010) were adequate for each order under review.<sup>1</sup> A record of the Commissioners' votes, the Commission's statement on adequacy, and any individual Commissioner's statements are available from the Office of the Secretary and at the Commission's Web site.

**Authority:** These reviews are being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.62 of the Commission's rules.

Issued: April 22, 2010.

By order of the Commission.

**Marilyn R. Abbott,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2010-9812 Filed 4-27-10; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**

#### **DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

##### **Occupational Safety and Health Administration**

[Docket No. OSHA-2009-0005]

##### **Avalotis Corp.; Grant of a Permanent Variance**

**AGENCY:** Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), Department of Labor.

**ACTION:** Notice of a grant of a permanent variance.

**SUMMARY:** This notice announces the grant of a permanent variance to Avalotis Corp. ("the employer"). The permanent variance addresses the provision that regulates the tackle used for boatswain's chairs (29 CFR 1926.452(o)(3)), as well as the provisions specified for personnel hoists by paragraphs (c)(1) through (c)(4), (c)(8), (c)(13), (c)(14)(i), and (c)(16) of 29 CFR 1926.552. As an alternative to complying with these provisions, the employer may instead comply with the conditions listed in this grant; these alternative conditions regulate hoisting systems used during inside or outside chimney construction to raise or lower workers in personnel cages, personnel platforms, and boatswain's chairs between the bottom landing of a chimney and an elevated work location. Accordingly, OSHA finds that these alternative conditions protect workers at least as well as the requirements

<sup>1</sup> Commissioner Dean A. Pinkert determined that the respondent interested party response for the review of the order on subject merchandise from Brazil was inadequate but determined to conduct a full review of the order in order to promote administrative efficiency in light of his decision to conduct full reviews with respect to the orders in the other reviews.