

dealing with financial companies should be created.

Section 217 of the Dodd-Frank Act requires the Board, in consultation with the AOUSC, to conduct a study regarding international coordination relating to the resolution of systemic financial companies under the Bankruptcy Code and applicable foreign law (the "Section 217 Study"). Section 217 specifies four specific issues that are to be included in the Section 217 Study. These issues generally are: (1) Whether international coordination exists, (2) the facilitation of such international coordination; (3) the barriers to such coordination; and (4) the ways to improve such coordination.

II. Solicitation for Comments on the Bankruptcy Studies

To assist the Board in conducting the Section 216 Study and the Section 217 Study, the Board seeks public comment on the following questions:

1. With respect to the Section 216 Study, how should the Board address the following areas, taking into account among other things the enactment of Title II of the Dodd-Frank Act?

(i) The effectiveness of chapter 7 and chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code in facilitating the orderly resolution or reorganization of systemic financial companies;

(A) The key factors and considerations that should be taken into account in assessing the "effectiveness" of the Bankruptcy Code in facilitating the orderly resolution or reorganization of systemic financial companies;

(B) The key factors and considerations that should be taken into account in assessing whether the Bankruptcy Code is effective in facilitating the "orderly" resolution or reorganization of systemic financial companies;

(C) The extent to which the effectiveness of the Bankruptcy Code in facilitating the orderly resolution or reorganization of "systemic financial companies" differs from the effectiveness of the Bankruptcy Code in facilitating the orderly resolution or reorganization of non-systemic financial companies;

(ii) Whether a special financial resolution court or panel of special masters or judges should be established to oversee cases involving financial companies to provide for the resolution of such companies under the Bankruptcy Code, in a manner that minimizes adverse impacts on financial markets without creating moral hazard;

(A) The "adverse impacts on financial markets" that would be minimized by the establishment of such a court or panel;

(B) How establishing such a court or panel would minimize "moral hazard;"

(C) The key factors and considerations that should be taken into account in assessing whether the establishment of such a court or panel would minimize such adverse impacts without creating such moral hazard;

(iii) Whether amendments to the Bankruptcy Code should be adopted to enhance the ability of the Code to resolve financial companies in a manner that minimizes adverse impacts on financial markets without creating moral hazard;

(A) The "adverse impacts on financial markets" that would be minimized by amendments to the Bankruptcy Code;

(B) How such amendments would minimize "moral hazard;"

(C) The specific amendments to the Bankruptcy Code that would minimize such adverse impacts without creating such moral hazard;

(D) The key factors and considerations that should be taken into account in assessing whether such amendments to the Bankruptcy Code would minimize such adverse impacts without creating such moral hazard;

(iv) Whether amendments should be made to the Bankruptcy Code, the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, and other insolvency laws to address the manner in which qualified financial contracts of financial companies are treated;

(A) The specific amendments to the Bankruptcy Code, the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, and other insolvency laws that might be made to address the manner in which qualified financial contracts of financial companies are treated;

(B) The key factors and considerations that should be taken into account in assessing whether such amendments to the Bankruptcy Code, the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, and other insolvency laws should be made;

(C) The key factors and considerations that should be taken into account in assessing the likely outcome of making or not making such amendments;

(v) The implications, challenges, and benefits to creating a new chapter or subchapter of the Bankruptcy Code to deal with financial companies;

(A) The key factors and considerations that should be taken into account in assessing whether a new chapter or subchapter of the Bankruptcy Code should be created to deal with financial companies;

(B) The benefits that might result from the creation of a new chapter or subchapter of the Bankruptcy Code to deal with financial companies;

(C) The extent to which such benefits would not be likely to be obtained without creating such a new chapter or subchapter;

(D) The challenges that might be faced by creating a new chapter or subchapter of the Bankruptcy Code to deal with financial companies; and

(E) The key factors and considerations that should be taken into account in assessing the likely outcome of creating or not creating a new chapter or subchapter of the Bankruptcy Code to deal with financial companies.

2. With respect to the Section 217 Study, how should the Board address the following areas?

(i) The extent to which international coordination currently exists;

(ii) Current mechanisms and structures for facilitating international cooperation;

(iii) Barriers to effective international coordination; and

(iv) ways to increase and make more effective international coordination of the resolution of financial companies, so as to minimize the impact on the financial system without creating moral hazard.

3. With respect to both the Section 216 Study and the Section 217 Study:

(i) Any studies, research, empirical data or other information supporting any comments on any of the foregoing questions, where available; and

(ii) Any additional factors or considerations that should be taken into account in either the Section 216 Study or the Section 217 Study.

By order of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, April 20, 2011.

Jennifer J. Johnson,
Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 2011-9986 Filed 4-28-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6210-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

[OMB Control No. 9000-0155; Docket 2011-0079; Sequence 13]

Information Collection; Prohibition on Acquisition of Products Produced by Forced or Indentured Child Labor

AGENCY: Department of Defense (DOD), General Services Administration (GSA), and National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA).

ACTION: Notice of request for comments regarding an extension to an existing OMB clearance.

SUMMARY: Under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. chapter 35), the Regulatory Secretariat (MVCB) will be submitting to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) a request to review and approve an extension of a previously approved information collection requirement regarding prohibition on acquisition of products produced by forced or indentured child labor.

DATES: Submit comments on or before: June 28, 2011.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments identified by Information Collection 9000-0155 by any of the following methods:

- Regulations.gov: <http://www.regulations.gov>. Submit comments via the Federal eRulemaking portal by inputting "Information Collection 9000-0155" under the heading "Enter Keyword or ID" and selecting "Search". Select the link "Submit a Comment" that corresponds with "Information Collection 9000-0155". Follow the instructions provided at the "Submit a Comment" screen. Please include your name, company name (if any), and "Information Collection 9000-0155" on your attached document.

- Fax: 202-501-4067.

- Mail: General Services

Administration, Regulatory Secretariat (MVCB), 1275 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20417. ATTN: Hada Flowers/IC 9000-0155.

Instructions: Please submit comments only and cite Information Collection 9000-0155, in all correspondence related to this collection. All comments received will be posted without change to <http://www.regulations.gov>, including any personal and/or business confidential information provided.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Clare McFadden, Procurement Analyst, Acquisition Policy Division, GSA (202) 501-0044 or e-mail clare.mcfadden@gsa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

A. Purpose

This information collection complies with Executive Order 13126, Prohibition on Acquisition of Products Produced by Forced or Indentured Child Labor, signed by the President on June 12, 1999. Executive Order 13126 requires that this prohibition be enforced within the federal acquisition system by means of: (1) A provision that requires the contractor to certify to the contracting officer that the contractor or, in the case

of an incorporated contractor, a responsible official of the contractor has made a good faith effort to determine whether forced or indentured child labor was used to mine, produce, or manufacture any product furnished under the contract and that, on the basis of those efforts, the contractor is unaware of any such use of child labor; and (2) A provision that obligates the contractor to cooperate fully in providing reasonable access to the contractor's records, documents, persons, or premises if reasonably requested by authorized officials of the contracting agency, the Department of the Treasury, or the Department of Justice, for the purpose of determining whether forced or indentured child labor was used to mine, produce, or manufacture any product furnished under the contract.

The information collection requirements of the Executive Order are evidenced via the certification requirements delineated at FAR 22.1505, 52.212-3, 52.222-18, and 52.222-19.

To eliminate some of the administrative burden on offerors who must submit the same information to various contracting offices, the Civilian Agency Acquisition Council and the Defense Acquisition Regulations Council (Councils) decided to amend the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) to require offerors to submit representations and certifications electronically via the Business Partner Network (BPN), unless certain exceptions apply. Online Representations and Certifications Application (ORCA) is the specific application on the BPN to replace the paper based Representations and Certifications (Reps and Certs) process. The change to the FAR is being accomplished by FAR Case 2002-024. The clearance associated with this case referenced this OMB Control No. 9000-0155 and reduced the hours of burden by 35%—attributable to mandated use of ORCA. This reduction is already reflected in the figures below.

B. Annual Reporting Burden

Respondents: 500.

Responses per Respondent: 1.

Hours per Response: 0.325.

Total Burden Hours: 162.

Obtaining Copies of Proposals:

Requesters may obtain a copy of the information collection documents from the General Services Administration, Regulatory Secretariat Branch (MVCB), 1275 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20417, telephone (202) 501-4755. Please cite OMB Control No. 9000-0155, Prohibition on Acquisition of Products

Produced by Forced or Indentured Child Labor, in all correspondence.

Dated: April 19, 2011.

Millisa Gary,

Acting Director, Office of Governmentwide Acquisition Policy.

[FR Doc. 2011-10289 Filed 4-28-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6820-EP-P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

[OMB Control No. 9000-0025; Docket 2011-0079; Sequence 10]

Federal Acquisition Regulation; Information Collection; Trade Agreements Certificate

AGENCY: Department of Defense (DOD), General Services Administration (GSA), and National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA).

ACTION: Notice of request for public comments regarding an extension to an existing OMB clearance.

SUMMARY: Under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. chapter 35), the Regulatory Secretariat (MVCB) will be submitting to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) a request to review and approve an extension of a previously approved information collection requirement concerning trade agreements certificate.

Public comments are particularly invited on: Whether this collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of functions of the FAR, and whether it will have practical utility; whether our estimate of the public burden of this collection of information is accurate, and based on valid assumptions and methodology; ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and ways in which we can minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, through the use of appropriate technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

DATES: Submit comments on or before June 28, 2011.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments identified by Information Collection 9000-0025 by any of the following methods:

- Regulations.gov: <http://www.regulations.gov>. Submit comments via the Federal eRulemaking portal by inputting "Information Collection 9000-