B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants or Others

No written comments were either solicited or received.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The Exchange has filed the proposed rule change pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(iii) of the Act 11 and Rule 19b-4(f)(6) thereunder. 12 Because the proposed rule change does not (i) Significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest; (ii) impose any significant burden on competition; and (iii) become operative prior to 30 days from the date on which it was filed, or such shorter time as the Commission may designate, if consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest, the proposed rule change has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act and Rule 19b-4(f)(6) (iii) thereunder.

A proposed rule change filed under Rule 19b–4(f)(6) ¹³ normally does not become operative prior to 30 days after the date of the filing. However, pursuant to Rule 19b4(f)(6)(iii), ¹⁴ the Commission may designate a shorter time if such action is consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest. The Exchange has requested that the Commission waive the 30-day operative delay to ensure that NASDAQ OMX is able to implement the rule changes.

The Commission finds that waiver of the operative delay is consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest. The Commission notes in waiving the 30-day operative delay that the Commission published for comment in the **Federal Register** the initial filing to amend NASDAQ OMX's By-Laws, did not receive any

comments,¹⁵ and subsequently approved the proposed rule change.¹⁶ Further, the Commission notes that the Exchange's proposal is identical to the proposed rule change previously approved by the Commission.¹⁷ Accordingly, the Commission finds that it is consistent with investor protection and the public interest to waive the 30-day operative delay in accordance with 19b–4(f)(6)(iii) so that NASDAQ OMX's By-Laws can be effective without undue delay, and therefore designates the proposal operative upon filing.¹⁸

At any time within 60 days of the filing of such proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml); or
- Send an e-mail to *rule-comments@sec.gov*. Please include File Number SR–NASDAQ–2011–054 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

• Send paper comments in triplicate to Elizabeth M. Murphy, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, NE., Washington DC 20549–1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR–NASDAQ–2011–054. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the

Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10 a.m. and 3 p.m. Copies of such filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File No. SR-NASDAQ-2011-054 and should be submitted on or before May 24, 2011.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority. 19

Cathy H. Ahn,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2011-10652 Filed 5-2-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–64348; File No. SR-Phlx-2011-58]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; NASDAQ OMX PHLX LLC; Notice of Filing of Proposed Rule Change To Increase the Position Limit for Options on the Standard and Poor's® Depositary Receipts (SPDRs®)

April 27, 2011.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Act") ¹ and Rule 19b–4 thereunder, ² notice is hereby given that on April 18, 2011, NASDAQ OMX PHLX LLC ("Phlx" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the self-regulatory organization. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

¹¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(iii).

^{12 17} CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6).

^{13 17} CFR 240.19b–4(f)(6). In addition, Rule 19b–4(f)(6)(iii) requires that a self-regulatory organization submit to the Commission written notice of its intent to file the proposed rule change, along with a brief description and text of the proposed rule change, at least five business days prior to the filing of the proposed rule change, or such shorter time as designated by the Commission. The Commission notes that the Exchange has satisfied this requirement.

¹⁴ 17 CFR 240.19b–4(f)(6)(iii).

¹⁵ See supra note 6.

¹⁶ See supra note 3.

¹⁷ Id.

¹⁸ For purposes only of waiving the 30-day operative delay, the Commission has considered the proposed rule's impact on efficiency, competition, and capital formation. *See* 15 U.S.C. 78c(f).

^{19 17} CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

^{1 15} U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

trading platform for options, Phlx XL,

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange is filing with the Commission a proposal to amend Phlx Rule 1001 (Position Limits) to increase the position limit for options on the Standard and Poor's Depositary Receipts ("SPDRs®").3

The text of the proposed rule change is available on the Exchange's Web site at http://nasdaqomxphlx.cchwallstreet. com/NASDAQOMXPHLX/Filings/, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission's Public Reference

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the self-regulatory organization included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for, the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The purpose of the proposal is to amend Rule 1001 to increase the position limit applicable to options on SPDRs®, which are trading under the symbol SPY, from 300,000 to 900,000 contracts on the same side of the market.4

The Exchange began trading options on SPDRs® on the Exchange's electronic

3 "SPDRs®", "Standard & Poor's®", "S&P®", "S&P

 $500^{\tiny{\circledR}}$, "Standard & Poor's 500", and "500" are

trademarks of The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc.

SPDRs®, also sometimes referred to colloquially as

"spiders", are exchange traded funds ("ETFs") based

on the S&P 500® Index. Each share of the traditional

SPDRs® ETF (SPDRs® Trust Series 1) holds a stake

SPDRs®, and options thereon, are generally used by

large institutions and traders as bets on the overall

individual retail investors who believe in passive

4 By virtue of Rule 1002, which is not amended

by this filing, exercise limits on options on SPDRs®

⁵ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 51071

would be similar to position limits established in

(January 21, 2005), 70 FR 4911 (January 31, 2005)

position and exercise limits for options on SPDRs®

from 75,000 to 300,000 contracts on the same side

of the market) (the "last position increase order").

(SR-Phlx-2005-05) (approval order increasing

in the 500 stocks represented by the S&P 500®

direction of the market. They are also used by

management (index investing).

Rule 1001.

position limit of only 300,000 contracts on the same side on the market while the significantly lesser-volume QQQSM options, which are comparable to SPY options, have a position limit of 900,000 contracts on the same side of the market. The Exchange believes that SPY options should, like options on QQQSM, have a position limit of 900,000 contacts. Given the increase in volume and continuous unprecedented demand for trading options on SPDRs®, the Exchange believes that the current position limit of 300,000 contracts 7 is entirely too low and inadequate and is a deterrent to the optimal use of the product for hedging and trading purposes. There are multiple reasons to increase the position limit for SPY options.

First, traders have informed the Exchange that the current SPY option

See also Securities Exchange Act Release Nos. 51043 (January 14, 2005), 70 FR 3402 (January 24, 2005) (SR–Amex–2005–06) (approval order); 51041 (January 14, 2005), 70 FR 3408 (January 24, 2005) (SR-CBOE-2005-06) (approval order); and 51042 (January 14, 2005), 70 FR 3412 (January 24, 2005) (SR–ISE–2005–05) (approval order).

 6 QQQ SM options were formerly traded under the ticker symbol QQQQ SM . QQQ SM , Nasdaq-100®, Nasdaq-100 Index®, Nasdaq®, Nasdaq-100 Index Tracking Stock SM, and are trademarks or service

⁸ Chicago Board Options Exchange, which lists and trades SPX options, has established that there is no position limit on SPX options. See CBOE Rule 24.4 and Securities Exchange Act Release No. 44994 (October 26, 2001), 66 FR 55722 (November 2, 2001) (SR-CBOE-2001-22) (order approving permanent elimination of SPX options position limit).

position limit of 300,000 contracts, which has remained flat for more than five years despite the tremendous trading volume increase, is no longer sufficient for optimal trading and hedging purposes. SPY options are, as noted, used by large institutions and traders as a means to invest in or hedge the overall direction of the market. Second, options on SPDRs® are 1/10th the size of options on the S&P 500® Index, traded under the symbol SPX. Thus, a position limit of 300,000 contracts in options on SPDRs® is equivalent to a 30,000 contract position limit in options on SPX.8 Traders who trade options on SPDRs® to hedge positions in SPX options (and the SPDRs® ETF based on SPX, SPDRs® Trust Series 1) have indicated on several occasions that the current position limit for options on SPDRs® is simply too restrictive,9 which may adversely affect their (and the Exchange's) ability to provide liquidity in this product. And third, the products that are perhaps most comparable to options on SPDRs®, namely options on QQQ^{SM} , are subject to a 900,000 contract position limit on the same side of the market. 10 This has. in light of the huge run-up in SPY option trading making them the number one nationally-ranked option in terms of volume, resulted in a skewed and unacceptable SPY option position limit. Specifically, the position limit for options on SPDRs® at 300,000 contracts is but 33% of the position limit for the less active options on QQQSM at 900,000 contracts.¹¹ The Exchange proposes that options on SPDRs® similarly be subject to a position limit of 900,000 contracts.12

The volume and notional value of options on SPDRs® and QQQSM, as well as the volume and market capitalizations of their underlying ETFs, are set forth below:

on January 10, 2005. That year, the position limit for these options was increased to the current limit of 300,000 contracts on the same size of the market, and has remained unchanged.5 However, institutional and retail traders have greatly increased their demand for options on SPDRs® for hedging and trading purposes, such that these options have experienced an explosive gain in popularity and have been the most actively traded options for the last two years. For example, options on SPDRs® (SPY), the most actively traded options in the U.S. in terms of volume, traded a total of 33,341,698 contracts across all exchanges from March 1, 2011 through March 16, 2011. In contrast, over the same time period options on the Nasdaq-100 Index® Tracking Stock ("QQQ $^{\mathrm{SM}}$ "), 6 the third most actively traded options, traded a total of 8,730,718 contracts (less than 26.2% of the volume of options on SPDRs®). Currently, SPY options have a

marks of The Nasdaq Stock Market, Inc. ("Nasdaq").

⁹ See supra note 3.

¹⁰ See Rule 1001 and Securities Exchange Act Release No. 51322 (March 4, 2005), 70 FR 12260

⁽March 11, 2005) (SR-Phlx-2005-17) (notice of filing and immediate effectiveness).

¹¹ Similarly to options on SPDRs® (SPY) being 1/ 10th the size of options on the related index S&F 500®Index (SPX), so options on the Nasdaq-100 Index® Tracking Stock (QQQSM) are 1/10th the size of options on the related index NASDAQ-100 Index (NDX). The position limit for QQQSM options and its related index NDX have a comparable relationship to that of SPY options and SPX. That is, the position limit for options on QQQSM is 900,000 contracts and there is no positions limit for NDX options. See supra note 9 and Securities Exchange Act Release No. 52650 (October 21, 2005). 70 FR 62147 (October 28, 2005) (SR-CBOE-2001-41) (order approving elimination of NDX options position limit).

¹² The position limit for IWM options on yet another large ETF entitled iShares Russell 2000 Index Fund, (which options have significantly less trading volume than the number one ranked SPY options, as also the QQQSM options) are set at 500,000 contracts.

Option nat'l rank 2010	Option symbol	Name of underlying ETF	Option ADV 2010	Option notional value * December 31, 2010	Current options position limit position limit
1 4	SPY		3,625,904 contracts 963,502 contracts	\$177,823,76 million \$27,141,91 million	300,000 contracts. 900,000 contracts.

*Notional value is calculated as follows: OI \times Close \times 100; where OI = underlying security's open interest (in contracts), Close = closing price of underlying security on 12/31/2010.

ETF nat'l rank 2010	Name of ETF	ETF ADV 2010	ETF market capitalization December 31, 2010	ETF avg dollar volume
1	Powershares QQQ Trust			\$90,280.71 million. \$3,593 million .

The options reporting requirement would continue unabated. Thus, the Exchange would require that, just like for options on QQQSM, each member or member organization that maintains a position in SPDRs® options on the same side of the market, for its own account or for the account of a customer, must report certain information. This information would include, but would not be limited to, the option position, whether such position is hedged and if so, a description of the hedge and if applicable, the collateral used to carry the position. Exchange specialists and Registered Options Traders ("ROTs") 13 would continue to be exempt from this reporting requirement as specialist and ROT information can be accessed through the Exchange's market surveillance systems. In addition, the general reporting requirement for customer accounts that maintain an aggregate position of 200 or more option contracts ("large positions") would remain at this level for options on SPDRs®.14

The Exchange believes that position and exercise limits, at their current levels, no longer serve their stated purpose. There has been a steadfast and significant increase over the last decade in the overall volume of exchange-traded options; position limits, however, have not kept up with the volume. Part of this volume is attributable to a corresponding increase in the number of overall market participants, which has, in turn, brought about additional depth and increased liquidity in exchange-traded options. 15

As the anniversary of listed options trading approaches its fortieth year, the Exchange believes that the existing surveillance procedures and reporting requirements at Phlx, other options exchanges, and at the several clearing firms are capable of properly identifying unusual and/or illegal trading activity. In addition, routine oversight inspections of the Exchange's regulatory programs by the Commission have not uncovered any material inconsistencies or shortcomings in the manner in which the Exchange's market surveillance is conducted. These procedures utilize daily monitoring of market movements via automated surveillance techniques to identify unusual activity in both options and underlying stocks. 16

Furthermore, large stock holdings must be disclosed to the Commission by way of Schedules 13D or 13G.¹⁷ Options positions are part of any reportable positions and, thus, cannot be legally hidden. Moreover, the previously noted Rule 1003 requirement that members file reports with the Exchange for any customer who held aggregate large long or short positions of any single class for the previous day will continue to serve as an important part of the Exchange's surveillance efforts.

The Exchange believes that the current financial requirements imposed by the Exchange and by the Commission adequately address concerns that a member or its customer may try to maintain an inordinately large unhedged position in an option, particularly on SPDRs®. Current margin and risk-based haircut methodologies serve to limit the size of positions maintained by any one account by increasing the margin and/or capital that a member must maintain for a large

position held by itself or by its customer. It should also be noted that the Exchange has the authority under Exchange Rule 722(c)(3) to impose a higher margin requirement upon a member or member organization when the Exchange determines a higher requirement is warranted. In addition, the Commission's net capital rule, Rule 15c3–1 under the Act, 18 imposes a capital charge on members to the extent of any margin deficiency resulting from the higher margin requirement.

Finally, the Exchange believes that while position limit on options on QQQsSM, which as noted are similar to options on SPDRs®, has been gradually expanded from 75,000 contracts to the current level of 900,000 contracts in 2005, there have been no adverse affects on the market as a result of this position limit increase. Likewise, there have been no adverse affects on the market from expanding the position limit for options on SPDRs® from 75,000 contracts to the current level of 300,000 contracts in 2005.

The Exchange believes that restrictive option position limits prevent large customers, such as mutual funds and pension funds, from using options to gain meaningful exposure to and hedging protection through the use of options on SPDRs®. This can result in lost liquidity in both the options market and the equity market. The proposed position limit increase will remedy this situation to the benefit of large as well as retail traders, investors, and public customers. The Exchange believes that increasing position and exercise limits for options on would lead to a more liquid and competitive market environment for options on SPDRs® that would benefit customers interested in this product.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that its proposal is consistent with Section 6(b)

 $^{^{13}}$ For discussion regarding specialists and ROTs, see Rules 1020 and 1014(b)(ii), respectively.

¹⁴ For reporting requirements, see Rule 1003.

¹⁵ The Commission has previously observed that: Since the inception of standardized options trading, the options exchanges have had rules imposing limits on the aggregate number of options contracts that a member or customer could hold or exercise. These rules are intended to prevent the establishment of options positions that can be used or might create incentives to manipulate or disrupt the underlying market so as to benefit the options position. In particular, position and exercise limits are designed to minimize the potential for minimanipulations and for corners or squeezes of the

underlying market. In addition such limits serve to reduce the possibility for disruption of the options market itself, especially in illiquid options classes. See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 39489 (December 24, 1997), 63 FR 276 (January 5, 1998) (SR-CBOE-97-11) (order approving).

¹⁶ These procedures have been effective for the surveillance of SPY options trading and will continue to be employed.

^{17 17} CFR 240.13d-1.

^{18 17} CFR 240.15c3-1.

of the Act 19 in general, and furthers the objectives of Section 6(b)(5) of the Act 20 in particular, in that it is designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in facilitating transactions in securities, and to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanisms of a free and open market and a national market system. The Exchange is proposing to expand the position limit on options on SPDRs®. The Exchange believes that this proposal will be beneficial to large market makers (which generally have the greatest potential and actual ability to provide liquidity and depth in the product), as well as retail traders, investors, and public customers.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants or Others

No written comments were either solicited or received.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Within 45 days of the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register** or within such longer period (i) as the Commission may designate up to 90 days of such date if it finds such longer period to be appropriate and publishes its reasons for so finding or (ii) as to which the self-regulatory organization consents, the Commission will:

- (A) By order approve or disapprove the proposed rule change, or
- (B) Institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods: Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml); or
- Send an e-mail to *rule-comments@sec.gov*. Please include File No. SR–Phlx–2011–58 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

• Send paper comments in triplicate to Elizabeth M. Murphy, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Station Place, 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549–1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-Phlx-2011-58. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (http://www.sec.gov/ rules/sro.shtml). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for Web site viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10 a.m. and 3 p.m. Copies of such filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-Phlx-2011-58 and should be submitted on or before May 24, 2011.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority. 21

Cathy H. Ahn,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2011–10653 Filed 5–2–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-64349; File No. SR-NYSEArca-2011-22]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; NYSE Arca, Inc.; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change Amending NYSE Arca Equities Rule 7.16 (Short Sales) To Modify the Exchange's Procedures for Early Termination of the Short Sale Price Test Restrictions of Rule 201 of Regulation SHO Based on a Triggering Transaction That Another Exchange or a Self-Regulatory Organization Has Determined Was a Clearly Erroneous Execution

April 27, 2011.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1)¹ of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Act")² and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,³ notice is hereby given that, on April 25, 2011, NYSE Arca, Inc. (the "Exchange" or "NYSE Arca") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I and II below, which Items have been substantially prepared by the self-regulatory organization. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend NYSE Arca Equities Rule 7.16 (Short Sales) to modify the Exchange's procedures for early termination of the short sale price test restrictions of Rule 201 of Regulation SHO ("Rule 201") 4 under the Act based on a triggering transaction that another exchange or a self-regulatory organization ("SRO") has determined was a clearly erroneous execution pursuant to the rules of that exchange or SRO. The text of the proposed rule change is available at the Exchange, the Commission's Public Reference Room, and http:// www.nvse.com.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the self-regulatory organization included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for, the proposed rule change

^{19 15} U.S.C. 78f(b).

²⁰ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

^{21 17} CFR 200.30–3(a)(12).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 15 U.S.C. 78a.

³ 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

^{4 17} CFR 242.201.