

Lemont, IL 60439. Instrument: Pilatus 300K Pixel Detector System. Manufacturer: Dectris Ltd., Switzerland. Intended Use: See Notice at 75 FR 82372, December 30, 2010. Comments: None received. Decision: Approved. We know of no instruments of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instruments described below, for such purposes as this is intended to be used, that was being manufactured in the United States at the time of its order. Reasons: The instrument's unique capabilities are a high detection efficiency (no readout noise and direct detection scheme), high dynamic range (20-bits), and fast readout speeds, which are not available in any domestically produced equipment.

Docket Number: 10-069. Applicant: University of Minnesota School of Dentistry, Minneapolis, MN 55455. Instrument: Dental Imaging System: Cross-Polarization Swept Source Optical Coherence Tomography with a MEMS Handpiece. Manufacturer: Santec Corporation, Japan. Intended Use: See Notice at 75 FR 82372, December 30, 2010. Comments: None received. Decision: Approved. We know of no instruments of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instruments described below, for such purposes as this is intended to be used, that was being manufactured in the United States at the time of its order. Reasons: Three crucial aspects of the instrument are size (the hand piece is 16 x 15 x 80 mm), speed (can operate at 30 kHz swept source speed), and image contrast (able to suppress the parallel polarization by 30 dB), which are not available in any domestically produced instrument.

Dated: January 24, 2011.

**Gregory W. Campbell,**  
Director, Subsidies Enforcement Office,  
Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 2011-2106 Filed 1-28-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-583-833]

#### **Certain Polyester Staple Fiber From Taiwan: Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* January 31, 2011.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Michael A. Romani, AD/CVD Operations, Office 5, Import

Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; *telephone:* (202) 482-0198.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **Background**

At the request of interested parties, the Department of Commerce (the Department) initiated an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain polyester staple fiber from Taiwan for the period May 1, 2009, through April 30, 2010. *See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Requests for Revocation in Part*, 75 FR 37759 (June 30, 2010). The preliminary results are currently due no later than January 31, 2011.

##### **Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results**

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), requires the Department to make a preliminary determination within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an order for which a review is requested and a final determination within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary determination is published in the **Federal Register**. If it is not practicable to complete the review within these time periods, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend the time limit for the preliminary determination to a maximum of 365 days after the last day of the anniversary month.

We determine that it is not practicable to complete the preliminary results of this review by the current deadline of January 31, 2011, because we require additional time to obtain additional information concerning the respondent's U.S. and home-market sales. Therefore, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(2), we are extending the time period for issuing the preliminary results of this review by 74 days to April 15, 2011.

This notice is published in accordance with sections 751(a)(3)(A) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: January 24, 2011.

**Christian Marsh,**

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2011-1938 Filed 1-28-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-533-809, A-583-821]

#### **Forged Stainless Steel Flanges From India and Taiwan: Final Results of Sunset Reviews and Revocation of Antidumping Duty Orders**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On November 1, 2010, the Department of Commerce (the Department) initiated the sunset review of the antidumping duty orders on forged stainless steel flanges from India and Taiwan. Because the domestic interested parties did not participate in these sunset reviews, the Department is revoking these antidumping duty orders.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* January 23, 2011.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Robert James or Deborah Scott, AD/CVD Operations, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; *telephone:* (202) 482-0649 or (202) 482-2657, respectively.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **Background**

On February 9, 1994, the Department issued antidumping duty orders on certain forged stainless steel flanges from India and Taiwan. *See Amended Final Determination and Antidumping Duty Order; Certain Forged Stainless Steel Flanges From India*, 59 FR 5994 (February 9, 1994) and *Antidumping Duty Order: Certain Forged Stainless Steel Flanges From Taiwan*, 59 FR 5995 (February 9, 1994). On January 23, 2006, the Department published its most recent continuation of the orders. *See Continuation of Antidumping Duty Orders: Forged Stainless Steel Flanges from India and Taiwan*, 71 FR 3457 (January 23, 2006) (*Notice of Continuation*). On November 1, 2010, the Department initiated sunset reviews of these orders. *See Initiation of Five-Year ("Sunset") Review*, 75 FR 67082 (November 1, 2010).

We did not receive a notice of intent to participate from domestic interested parties in these sunset reviews by the deadline date. As a result, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(iii)(A), the Department determined that no domestic interested party intends to participate in these sunset reviews, and

on November 22, 2010,<sup>1</sup> we notified the International Trade Commission, in writing, that we intended to issue a final determination revoking these antidumping duty orders. See 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(iii)(B)(2).

### Scope of the Orders

The products covered by these orders are certain forged stainless steel flanges, both finished and not finished, generally manufactured to specification ASTM A-182, and made in alloys such as 304, 304L, 316, and 316L. The scope includes five general types of flanges. They are weld-neck, used for butt-weld line connections; threaded, used for threaded line connections; slip-on and lap joint, used with stub-ends/butt-weld line connections; socket weld, used to fit pipe into a machined recession; and blind, used to seal off a line. The sizes of the flanges within the scope range generally from one to six inches; however, all sizes of the above-described merchandise are included in the scope. Specifically excluded from the scope of these orders are cast stainless steel flanges. Cast stainless steel flanges generally are manufactured to specification ASTM A-351. The flanges subject to these orders are currently classifiable under subheadings 7307.21.1000 and 7307.21.5000 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise subject to these orders is dispositive of whether or not the merchandise is covered by the scope of the orders.

### Determination To Revoke and Effective Date of Revocation

Pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act) and 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(iii)(B)(3), if no domestic interested party files a notice of intent to participate, the Department shall, within 90 days after the initiation of the sunset review, issue a final determination revoking the order. Because the domestic interested parties did not file a notice of intent to participate in these sunset reviews, the Department finds that no domestic interested party is participating in these sunset reviews. Therefore, consistent with 19 CFR 351.222(i)(1)(i) and section 751(c)(3)(A) of the Act, we are revoking the antidumping duty orders. The effective date of revocation of these antidumping duty orders is January 23,

<sup>1</sup> The deadline for this notification fell on November 21, 2010, a Sunday. Accordingly, we notified the International Trade Commission on the next business day.

2011, the fifth anniversary of the date of publication in the **Federal Register** of the most recent notice of continuation of this antidumping duty order. See *Notice of Continuation*.

### Termination of Suspension of Liquidation

Pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.222(i)(2)(i), the Department intends to issue instructions to U.S. Customs and Border Protection, 15 days after publication of this notice, to terminate the suspension of liquidation of the merchandise subject to these orders entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, on or after January 23, 2011. Entries of subject merchandise prior to the effective date of revocation will continue to be subject to suspension of liquidation and antidumping duty deposit requirements. The Department will complete any pending administrative reviews of these orders and will conduct administrative reviews of subject merchandise entered prior to the effective date of revocation in response to appropriately filed requests for review.

These five-year (sunset) reviews and notice are published in accordance with sections 751(c) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: January 25, 2011.

**Christian Marsh,**

*Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 2011-2108 Filed 1-28-11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-357-812]

### Honey From Argentina: Notice of Initiation of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (the Department) has received a request for a new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on honey from Argentina. See *Notice of Antidumping Duty Order: Honey From Argentina*, 66 FR 63672 (December 10, 2001) (*Order*). In accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and 19 CFR 351.214(d), we are initiating an antidumping duty new shipper review of Villamora S.A. (Villamora). The period of review (POR) of this new shipper review is December 1, 2009, through November 30, 2010.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* January 31, 2011.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Patrick Edwards or Angelica Mendoza, AD/CVD Operations, Office 7, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230, telephone: (202) 482-8029 or (202) 482-3019, respectively.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Background

On December 10, 2001, the Department published the antidumping duty order on honey from Argentina. See *Order*, 66 FR at 63672. Thus, the antidumping duty order on honey from Argentina has a December anniversary month. On January 3, 2011, the Department received a timely filed request dated December 31, 2010, for a new shipper review from Villamora. In its request for a review, Villamora identified itself as an exporter of the subject merchandise. For the purpose of initiating this new shipper review, the Department determines that Villamora's submission was timely filed.

Pursuant to the requirements set forth in section 751(a)(2)(B)(i) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2), Villamora certified that (1) it did not export subject merchandise to the United States during the period of investigation (POI) (see section 751(a)(2)(B)(i)(I) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2)(i)); and (2) since the initiation of the investigation, it has never been affiliated with any company that exported subject merchandise to the United States during the POI, including those companies not individually examined during the investigation (see section 751(a)(2)(B)(i)(II) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2)(iii)(A)). Additionally, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2)(iv), Villamora submitted documentation establishing the following: (1) The date on which it first shipped subject merchandise to the United States; (2) the volume of its first shipment; and (3) the date of its first sale to an unaffiliated purchaser for exportation to the United States. Villamora also stated it had no shipments to the United States during the period subsequent to its first shipment.

#### Initiation of Review

Based on information on the record and in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.214(d), we find that the request submitted by Villamora meets the statutory and regulatory requirements for initiation of a new shipper review. See Memorandum to the File, through Angelica L. Mendoza, Program Manager, regarding "Initiation of the Antidumping