ORIGINAL DATE AND TIME: February 9, 2011 at 11 a.m.

NEW DATE AND TIME: February 10, 2011 at 11 a.m.

PLACE: Room 101, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436. Telephone: (202) 205–2000.

In accordance with 19 CFR 201.35(d)(1), the Commission has determined to change the date for the meeting which was scheduled for February 9, 2011 at 11 a.m. to February 10, 2011 at 11 a.m. to vote on Inv. Nos. 731–TA–1071 and 1072 (Review) (Magnesium from China and Russia). Earlier announcement of this change was not possible.

Issued: February 7, 2011. By order of the Commission.

William R. Bishop,

Hearings and Meeting Coordinator. [FR Doc. 2011–3033 Filed 2–8–11; 11:15 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-687]

Certain Video Displays, Components Thereof, and Products Containing Same; Notice of Commission Determination To Terminate the Investigation Based on Settlement and Licensing Agreements

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined to terminate the above-captioned investigation based on settlement and licensing agreements.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Michael Liberman, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-3116. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at http://www.usitc.gov. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at http:// edis.usitc.gov. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on

this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This investigation was instituted on September 16, 2009, based on a complaint filed by LG Electronics, Inc., alleging a violation of section 337 in the importation, sale for importation, and sale within the United States after importation of certain video displays, components thereof, or products containing same that infringe one or more of claims 24 and 25 of U.S. Patent No. 5.790.096; claims 1-9 of U.S. Patent No. 5,537,612 ("the '612 patent"); claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 5,459,522; claims 1-5 and 7-16 of U.S. Patent No. 7,154,564 ("the '564 patent"). 74 FR 47616 (2009) Complainant named Funai Electric Company, Ltd. of Osaka, Japan, Funai Corporation, Inc. of Rutherford, New Jersey, P&F USA, Inc. of Alpharetta, Georgia (collectively, "Funai"), and Vizio, Inc. of Irvine, California as respondents. On January 8, 2010, the presiding ALJ issued an ID granting Complainant's motion for leave to file a second amended complaint and amend the notice of investigation to, inter alia, add AmTran Technology Co. Ltd. and AmTran Logistics, Inc. as respondents to the investigation. Subsequently, the Funai respondents were terminated from the investigation based on a settlement agreement.

The evidentiary hearing on violation of Section 337 was held from June 9, 2010 through June 21, 2010. On September 17, 2010, the ALJ issued his final ID finding a violation of section 337 with respect to one of the four asserted patents.

On November 19, 2010, the Commission determined to review the final ID with respect to certain matters relating to the '612 and '564 patents, and issued a notice, in which the Commission specified the issues under review and the questions pertaining to such issues. 75 FR 73126 (November 29, 2010).

On January 18, 2011, the private parties filed a joint motion to terminate the investigation based upon settlement and licensing agreements.

On the same day, the Commission determined to extend the target date for completion of this investigation by 21 days, i.e., from January 18, 2011, to February 8, 2011. On January 21, 2011, the Commission investigative attorney filed a response in support of the motion to terminate.

The Commission has determined to grant the motion and thus terminate the investigation.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section

337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and Part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

Issued: February 4, 2011. By order of the Commission.

William R. Bishop,

Hearings and Meeting Coordinator. [FR Doc. 2011–2960 Filed 2–9–11; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-72,953]

Matthews International Corporation, Bronze Division, Kingwood, WV; Notice of Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application dated July 15, 2010, by a state workforce official requested administrative reconsideration of the negative determination regarding workers' eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) applicable to workers and former workers of Matthews International Corporation, Bronze Division, Kingwood, West Virginia (subject firm). The negative determination was issued on June 1, 2010. The Department's Notice of Determination was published in the Federal Register on June 16, 2010 (75 FR 34177). The workers are engaged in activities related to the production of bronze burial markers and memorial products.

In the request for reconsideration, the petitioner supplied new information regarding an alleged shift in production to Mexico and increased imports by the subject firm's customers of like or directly competitive articles.

The Department has carefully reviewed the request for reconsideration and the existing record, and has determined that the Department will conduct further investigation to determine if the petitioning workers meet the eligibility requirements of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended.

Conclusion

After careful review of the application, I conclude that the claim is of sufficient weight to justify reconsideration of the U.S. Department of Labor's prior decision. The application is, therefore, granted.